

Monthly EM&A Report (May 2022)

0120/20/ED/0483 02

Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1



Ref.: DSDYLSTWEM00 0 0286L.22

14 June 2022

By E-mail and By Hand

AFCOM 12/F Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2 138 Shatin Rural Committee Road Shatin, Hong Kong.

Attention: Mr YEUNG H. M. Simon

Dear Mr YEUNG,

Re: Contract No. SPW 08/2020 Independent Environmental Checker for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

Verification of the Monthly EM&A Report (May 2022)

Reference is made to the Monthly EM&A Report (May 2022) by the ET with Fugro Document No. 0120/20/ED/0483/02 (the Report), which was received via e-mail dated 14 June 2022.

Having reminded that, in accordance with the Condition 3.6 of the EP-565/2019, it is the ET's responsibility to ensure all submitted EM&A data shall be true, valid and correct, we have no further comments and herewith verify that the Report has fulfilled the EP Condition 3.4 as having complied with the requirements set out in the EM&A Manual.

Please contact the undersigned or our Mr. Y.H. HUI should you have any questions on the matter.

Yours sincerely,

WONG Fu Nam

Independent Environmental Checker

c.c.

DSD

Fugro

Mr LAM Yu Wang

Mr YU Lap Bong

By E-mail

By E-mail

Document Control

Document Information

| Project Title | Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1 |
|--------------------|--|
| Document Title | Monthly EM&A Report (May 2022) |
| Fugro Project No. | 0120/20 |
| Fugro Document No. | 0120/20/ED/0483 |
| Issue Number | 02 |

Client Information

| Client | Drainage Services Department |
|----------------|---|
| Client Address | 45/F, Revenue Tower, 5 Gloucester Road, Wan Chai, Hong Kong |
| Client Contact | Mr. LAM Yu Wang |

Environmental Team

| Initials | Name | Role | Signature |
|----------|----------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| LB | Alvin L.B. Yu | Environmental Team Leader | CVY |
| СУ | Cyrus C.Y. Lai | Senior Environmental Consultant | |
| КН | Toby K.H. Wan | Assistant Environmental Consultant | - Toky |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- i. This Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is prepared for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 "Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1". Drainage Services Department (DSD) has appointed Fugro Technical Services Limited (FTS) to undertake the Environmental Team services for the project and implement the EM&A works.
- ii. This is the 14th Monthly EM&A Report for the Contract which summaries findings of the EM&A programme during the reporting period from 1 May 2022 to 31 May 2022. As informed by the Contractor, major activities in the reporting month were:
 - Pre-drill work at A.tank by 1 rig;
 - Pre-drill work at Air Flotation Thickener and Sludge Digestion Tank by 3 rigs;
 - Installation of sheet pile at IW & PST;
 - Piling work at PST;
 - Drilling and installation of dewatering well and observation well at IW & PST;
 - ELS works at IW & PST;
 - Pipe laying for Zone 3 diversion;
 - Zone 3 Diversion works:
 - Temp. Gravity thickening tank Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - b. Temp. Sludge Holding Tank Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - c. Temp. Water heater house Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - d. Temp. Primary Sludge Pumping Station ELS work;
 - e. Temp. Digested sludge pump / Supernatant Pumping ELS Work;
 - f. Ferrie Chloride and Chemical Dosing System R.C. works;
 - g. Digested Sludge Pumping Station house Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - Demolition of Sludge Holding Tank no. 3;
 - · Foundation works at CLP substation;
 - Installation of MIC unit at MIC office;
 - Backfill work at FST no. 5-8;
 - ELS works at Zone 2B; and
 - Disposal of construction waste as indicated in **Appendix I**.

Breaches of Environmental Quality Performance Limits (AL levels)

- iii. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for air quality monitoring and construction noise monitoring in the reporting month.
- iv. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring in the reporting month.
- v. No Action / Limit exceedance was recorded for noise levels at stations (NMS1 and NMS2) in close proximity to the two active ardeid night roosts (ANR1 and ANR2) observed within the Survey Area during the reporting month.



- vi. No Action / Limit exceedance for the ecological monitoring of birds in the reporting month.
- vii. No corrective actions were required according to the Event and Action Plans for the Monitoring Parameters.

Land Contamination

viii. Regular site inspection was carried out to ensure the recommended mitigation measures are properly implemented. The signed final Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for "Main Storeroom & Workshops", "Mechanical Workshop" and "Waste Storage Area" were submitted to EPD respectively on 1st November 2021, 23rd November 2021 and 29th April 2022. No contaminated soil and ground water was found within the Main Storeroom & Workshop, Mechanical Workshop and the Waste Storage Area, and no remedial action is required for both locations. Part of the Site investigation (SI) work within the SAS Thickener House-1 (i.e. ENV-BH16, ENV-BH17, ENV-BH22 and ENV-BH23) was completed by 16th May 2022. While the laboratory results of sampling works show that there is no contaminated soil or groundwater within the SAS Thickener House-1, the findings are summarized in the draft CAR for the area which is under review and will be submitted to EPD.

Complaint Log

ix. No complaints were received in the reporting period.

Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

x. No notifications of summons and successful prosecutions were received in the reporting period.

Reporting Change

xi. There were no reporting changes during the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

- xii. The main works will be anticipated in the next three months are as follow:
 - Demolition of Admin. Building, Settled Sewage Overflow Chamber, Sludge Holding Tanks no.
 1, 3 & 4 (below ground), Water Heater House, Return Activated Sludge Screw Pump Pumping station, Air Floatation Thickener and Auxiliary Pumping Station (below ground);
 - Pipe Laying and construction of RC chamber at Zone 2B and subsequence diversion work;
 - ELS work and RC structure at IW & PST;
 - Piling work at PST & Transformer House;
 - Piling work at Sludge Thickening Building;
 - ELS works at IW & PST;
 - Construction of RC structure at 3 zone (Location D -Temp. Primary Sludge Pumping Station);
 - Pipe laying for Zone 3 diversion;
 - Backfilling work and installation of pipe pile wall for demolition of Aeration Tank no. 5-8 at AGS;
 - Construction of CLP Substation;
 - Construction of MiC office;
 - Demolition of PST no. 4;
 - Ground investigation at SDB, SDT & STB;
 - Sheet piling work around Sludge digester no. 1 − 3;
 - Installation of brand drain at Biogas Holder no. 1;
 - Installation of concrete blocks and soil Surcharge at Biogas Holder no. 1;
 - Construction of temp. traffic road at north of SHT no. 3 & 4;



- Construction of PST structure; and
- 3 zone diversion works:
 - a. E&M work at temp. Gravity thickening tank (Atal);
 - b. E&M work at temp. Sludge Holding Tank (Atal);
 - c. E&M work at temp. water heater house (Atal);
 - d. RC work at temp. Primary sludge pumping station;
 - e. ELS, RC construction and E&M work at Temp. digested sludge pump, Ferrie Chloride and Chemical Dosing System;
 - f. E&M work at Digested Sludge Pumping Station.



Contents

| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 8 |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.1 | Background | 8 |
| 1.2 | Project Organization | 9 |
| 1.3 | Construction Programme and Activities | 9 |
| 1.4 | Works undertaken during the month | 9 |
| 1.5 | Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits | 10 |
| 2. | AIR QUALITY | 11 |
| 2.1 | Monitoring Requirement | 11 |
| 2.2 | Monitoring Equipment | 11 |
| 2.3 | Monitoring Methodology for Direct Reading Dust Meter | 11 |
| 2.4 | Maintenance and Calibration for Direct Reading Dust Meter | 12 |
| 2.5 | Monitoring Locations | 12 |
| 2.6 | Monitoring Results | 12 |
| 2.7 | Comparison of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results with EIA Predictions | 13 |
| 3. | NOISE | 14 |
| 3.1 | Monitoring Requirement | 14 |
| 3.2 | Monitoring Equipment | 14 |
| 3.3 | Monitoring Parameters and Frequency | 14 |
| 3.4 | Monitoring Methodology | 15 |
| 3.5 | Maintenance and Calibration | 15 |
| 3.6 | Monitoring Locations | 16 |
| 3.7 | Monitoring Results | 16 |
| 3.8 | Comparison of Noise Monitoring data with EIA Predictions | 17 |
| 4. | WATER QUALITY | 18 |
| 4.1 | Monitoring Requirement | 18 |
| 4.2 | Monitoring Equipment | 18 |
| 4.3 | Equipment Calibration | 19 |
| 4.4 | Monitoring Parameters | 19 |
| 4.5 | Monitoring Operation | 19 |
| 4.6 | Laboratory Measurement / Analysis | 20 |
| 4.7 | Monitoring Locations | 20 |
| 4.8 | Monitoring Results | 21 |
| 4.9 | WetSeps | 21 |
| 5. | ECOLOGY MONITORING | 22 |
| 5.1 | Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring | 22 |
| 5.2 | Ecological Monitoring of Birds | 26 |
| | | |



| 6. | LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL | 35 |
|------|---|------------|
| 6.1 | Audit Requirements | 35 |
| 6.2 | Results and Observations | 35 |
| 7. | LAND CONTAMINATION | 36 |
| 7.1 | Contamination Assessment Report | 36 |
| 8. | SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT | 37 |
| 8.1 | Site Inspection | 37 |
| 8.2 | Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status | 37 |
| 9. | NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS | 38 |
| 9.1 | Non-compliance (Exceedances of AL levels) | 38 |
| 9.2 | Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions | 38 |
| 10. | IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURE | 39 |
| 10.1 | Implementation Status of Environmental Protection and Pollution Control / Mitigation Meas | ures 39 |
| 11. | FUTURE KEY ISSUES | 41 |
| 11.1 | Construction Programme for the Next Three Month | 41 |
| 11.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | 41 |
| 11.3 | Monitoring Schedules for the next three months | 41 |
| 12. | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 42 |
| 12.1 | Conclusions | 42 |
| 122 | Comment and Recommendations | 13 |



Tables

Table 1.2 Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits Summary

Table 2.1 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Table 2.2 Air Quality Monitoring Location

Table 2.3 Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results

Table 2.4 Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

Table 3.1 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Table 3.2 Monitoring Parameters and Frequencies of Noise Monitoring

Table 3.3 Construction Noise Monitoring Location

Table 3.4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Table 3.5 Comparison of Noise monitoring data with EIA predictions

Table 4.1 Water Quality Monitoring and Sampling Equipment

Table 4.2 Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

Table 4.3 Coordinates of Water Quality Monitoring Locations

Table 4.4 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance

Table 5.1 Noise Monitoring Parameters (For Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey)

Table 5.2 Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey Findings

Table 5.3 Noise Monitoring Results (For Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey)

Table 5.4 Noise Monitoring Parameters

Table 5.5 Abundance of all Avifauna Species

Table 5.6 Abundance of Species of Conservation Importance

Table 5.7 Shannon Diversity Index Value of all Avifauna Species

Table 5.8 Shannon Diversity Index Value of Species with Conservation Importance

Table 5.9 Wetland habitat utilization of all avifauna species

Table 5.10 Wetland habitat utilization of avifauna species of conservation importance

<u>Table 5.11 Noise Monitoring Results (For Ecological Monitoring of Birds)</u>

Table 8.1 – Waste Generated by the Construction and Disposal Ground

Table 10.1 – Status of submissions required under the EP



Figures

| Figure 1 | Location of Proposed Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant |
|--|---|
| Figure 2 Location of Construction Dust Monitoring Stations | |
| Figure 3 | Noise Monitoring Locations |
| Figure 4 | Water Quality Monitoring Locations |
| Figure 5 | Ecology Monitoring Locations |

Appendices

| Appendix A | Construction Programme |
|------------|---|
| Appendix B | Project Organization Chart |
| Appendix C | Action and Limit Levels |
| Appendix D | Calibration Certificates/ Reports of Monitoring Equipments |
| Appendix E | Environmental Monitoring Schedule |
| Appendix F | Environmental Monitoring Results |
| Appendix G | Wind Data |
| Appendix H | Event and Action Plan |
| Appendix I | Waste Flow Table |
| Appendix J | Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures |
| Appendix K | Weather and Meteorological Conditions |
| Appendix L | Cumulative statistics on Environmental Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions |
| Appendix M | Summary of the ET Leader's Site Environmental Audit in the Reporting Month |
| Appendix N | Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies |
| Appendix O | Active Night Roost Monitoring Area and Vantage Points; and Noise Monitoring Stations |
| Appendix P | Ecological Bird Monitoring Area with Locations of Point Count Sites and Transect Route |



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The existing Yuen Long Sewage Treatment Works (YLSTW) is a secondary sewage treatment works, located at Yuen Long Industrial Estate serves Yuen Long Town, Yuen Long Industrial Estate and Kam Tin areas with a design capacity of 70,000 m³ per day. Based on the latest planning data, the volume of sewage generation from the YLSTW catchment is estimated to increase to 150,000 m³ per day after 20 years. In addition, since YLSTW has been operating for over 30 years and most of its facilities are of out-dated design and reaching the end of their design life, the environmental facilities of the plant will also be upgraded and hence improving the adjacent environment through upgrading the YLSTW to Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant (YLEPP). The Location of Proposed Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant is given in **Figure 1**.
- 1.1.2 YLSTW will be reconstructed in two stages to increase its capacity to 150,000 m³ per day. The proposed works, as Stage 1 of the project, will firstly increase the treatment capacity to 100,000 m³ per day. In the course of Stage 1 construction, about half of the existing facilities of YLSTW would be demolished, while the other half would be kept in operation to maintain the sewage treatment service for Yuen Long area. This 72-month works contract commenced on 9 November 2020. Demolition of existing YLSTW for construction of new treatment facilities are in progress.
- 1.1.3 The Project is a designated project under Schedule 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO) (Cap. 499) for which Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report and Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual was approved by EPD (Register No.: AEIAR-220/2019) on 25 April 2019. The Environmental Permit (EP) (EP No. EP-565/2019) was issued by EPD on 26 April 2019.
- 1.1.4 Fugro Technical Services Limited (FTS) has been appointed as the Environmental Team (ET) by Drainage Services Department (DSD) to undertake the Environmental Team services for the Project and implement the EM&A works under the Contract No. DC/2019/10 Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant -Main Works for Stage 1 (hereinafter referred as "the Contract").
- 1.1.5 This is the 14th Monthly EM&A report to document the findings of site inspection activities and EM&A programme for this project from 1 May 2022 to 31 May 2022 (reporting period) and is submitted to fulfil Condition 3.4 of the EP and Section 12.4.1 of the EM&A Manual. According to Condition 4 of the EP, electronic reporting is provided on the internet website to facilitate public inspection of the report.



1.2 Project Organization

1.2.1 The Project Organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 – Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Party | Position | Name | Telephone |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------|
| Project Proponent (Drainage Services Department) | Engineer | Mr. Lam Yu Wang | 2594 7473 |
| Engineer's Representative | Chief Resident Engineer | Mr. Simon Yeung | 9075 7172 |
| (AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.) | Senior Resident Engineer | Mr. Patrick Leung | 6124 8838 |
| Independent Environmental Checker (Ramboll Hong Kong Limited) | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Mr. F.N. Wong | 2531 0247 |
| Contractor (Paul Y CREC Joint Venture) | Environmental Officer | Ms. Iris Ho | 5490 5271 |
| Environmental Team (Fugro Technical Services Limited) | Environmental Team Leader (ETL) | Mr. Alvin Yu | 3565 4373 |

1.3 Construction Programme and Activities

1.3.1 The construction programme of this project is shown in **Appendix A**.

1.4 Works undertaken during the month

- 1.4.1 The main construction works carried out in the reporting period were as follow:
 - Pre-drill work at A.tank by 1 rig;
 - Pre-drill work at Air Flotation Thickener and Sludge Digestion Tank by 3 rigs;
 - Installation of sheet pile at IW & PST;
 - Piling work at PST;
 - Drilling and installation of dewatering well and observation well at IW & PST;
 - ELS works at IW & PST;
 - Pipe laying for Zone 3 diversion;
 - Zone 3 Diversion works:
 - a. Temp. Gravity thickening tank Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - b. Temp. Sludge Holding Tank Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - c. Temp. Water heater house Pipe laying and E&M installation work;
 - d. Temp. Primary Sludge Pumping Station ELS work;
 - e. Temp. Digested sludge pump / Supernatant Pumping ELS Work;
 - f. Ferrie Chloride and Chemical Dosing System R.C. works;
 - g. Digested Sludge Pumping Station house Pipe laying and E&M installation work:
 - Demolition of Sludge Holding Tank no. 3;
 - Foundation works at CLP substation;
 - Installation of MIC unit at MIC office;
 - Backfill work at FST no. 5-8;
 - ELS works at Zone 2B; and
 - Disposal of construction waste as indicated in Appendix I.



1.4.2 The environmental mitigation measures corresponding to the main construction works implemented in the reporting period can be referred to **Appendix J**.

1.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

1.5.1 A summary of the status of the relevant permits, licenses and/or notifications on environmental protection for this project is presented in **Table 1.2**.

Table 1.2 – Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits Summary

| Permit/ Notification/ License | Reference No | Valid From | Valid Till |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Environmental Permit | EP-565/2019 | 26-Apr-2019 | The whole construction and operation period of the Project |
| Notification of Works under APCO | 461616 | 6-Nov-2020 | The whole construction period of the Project |
| Construction Waste Disposal Billing Account | 7038933 | 20-Nov-2020 | The whole construction period of the Project |
| Registration as Chemical Waste Producer under WDO | WPN5213-528-P2796-03 | 4-Feb-2021 | The whole construction period of the Project |
| Construction Noise Permit | GW-RN0935-21 | 20-Dec-2021 | 19-Jun-2022 |
| Construction Noise Permit (Percussive Piling) | PP-RN0015-22 | 6-Apr-2022 | 5-Jul-2022 |
| Construction Noise Permit | GW-RN0294-22 | 13-Apr-2022 | 4-Oct-2022 |
| Water Pollution Control Ordinance (CAP. 358) Licence pursuant to Section 20 | WT00038102-2021 | 4-Aug-2021 | 31-Aug-2026 |
| Marine Dumping Permit Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal | EP/MD/22-030 | 10-Mar-2022 | 09-Sep-2022 |
| Marine Dumping Permit Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Site) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal | EP/MD/22-031 | 10-Apr-2022 | 09-May-2022 |
| Marine Dumping Permit Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicated Site) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal | EP/MD/23-007 | 10-May-2022 | 09-Jun-2022 |
| Disposal of Special waste at Landfills Admission Ticket (Pond Sediment) | Admission Ticket Number: 16792 | 1-May-2022 | 30-May-2022, Extended till 13-Jun-2022 |
| Disposal of Special waste at Landfills Admission Ticket (Sludge) | Admission Ticket Number: 16811 | 11-Apr-2022 | 10-Oct-2022 |



2. AIR QUALITY

2.1 Monitoring Requirement

2.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels should be measured at the designated air quality monitoring stations to ensure that any deteriorating air quality could be readily detected and timely action shall be undertaken to rectify such situation. Impact 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted for at least three times every 6 days when the highest dust impact occurs.

2.2 Monitoring Equipment

- 2.2.1 A portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring at the designated monitoring stations.
- 2.2.2 Wind data monitoring equipment is provided at the conspicuous locations for logging wind speed and wind direction near to the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location is agreed with the ER and the IEC.
- 2.2.3 The details of the air quality monitoring equipment used are summarized in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1 – Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Item | Location | Brand | Model | Equipment | Serial No. |
|------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 1 | AM1 | Cibata | Model LD-5R | SIBATA LD-5R Digital Dust | 155716 |
| 2 | AM2 | Sibata | Model LD-5R | Indicator | 155717 |
| 3 | | Global Water | GL500-7-2 | Wind Station | 2012000974 |

2.3 Monitoring Methodology for Direct Reading Dust Meter

2.3.1 SIBATA LD-5R Digital Dust Indicator complete with appropriate sampling inlets are employed for 1-hour TSP measurement.

Measuring Procedures

- a) Pulling up the air sampling inlet cover
- b) Changing the Mode 0 to BG
- c) Pressing Start/Stop switch
- d) Turning the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
- e) Pressing Start/Stop switch again
- f) Returning the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
- g) Pressing the timer set switch to set measuring time
- h) Removing the cap and start the measurement

Equipment Calibration

1-hour dust meter should be calibrated at 1 year intervals. The calibration certificates are presented in **Appendix D**.



2.4 Maintenance and Calibration for Direct Reading Dust Meter

2.4.1 ET shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to prove that the instrument is capable of achieving comparable results to the HVS. The instrument should also be calibrated regularly, and the 1-hour sampling shall be determined periodically by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method. The calibration certificate for the direct reading dust meter is provided in **Appendix D**.

2.5 Monitoring Locations

- 2.5.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, two air quality monitoring locations, namely AM1, AM2 are covered under Contract No. SPW 07/2020 "Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1".
- 2.5.2 The most updated locations are summarized in **Table 2.2** and the locations of the air monitoring stations shown in **Figure 2**.

Table 2.2 – Air Quality Monitoring Location

| Monitoring Station | | Location |
|--------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| | AM1 | Topfine Machinery (China) Co. Ltd |
| | AM2 | Squatter house at the west of YLSTW |

2.6 Monitoring Results

- 2.6.1 The schedule of air quality monitoring in reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.
- 2.6.2 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hr TSP at AM1 and AM2.
- 2.6.3 No effect that arose from the other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site was noted during the current monitoring month.
- 2.6.4 The weather and meteorological conditions during the monitoring are provided in **Appendix K**.
- 2.6.5 The Air Quality Monitoring Results of 1-hr TSP are summarized in **Table 2.3**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 2.3 – Summary of Air Quality Monitoring Results

| Monitoring Station | Average (μg/m³) | Range (μg/ m³) | Action Level (μg/ m³) | Limit Level (μg/ m³) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1-hour TSP | | | | |
| AM1 | 87 | 60-130 | 291 | 500 |
| AM2 | 98 | 63-130 | 296 | 500 |

- 2.6.6 The Action and Limit Levels for air quality monitoring have been set and are presented in **Appendix C**.
- 2.6.7 The Event and Action Plan for air quality is given in **Appendix H**.
- 2.6.8 The wind data obtained from the on-site wind station during the reporting period is provided in **Appendix G**.

2.7 Comparison of 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results with EIA Predictions

2.7.1 The monitoring data of 1-hr TSP was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 2.4**.

Table 2.4 – Comparison of 1-hr TSP data with EIA predictions

| Monitoring Station | EIA ID | Predicted Maximum Hourly Average TSP Concentration (μg/ m³) | Maximum 1-hr TSP Monitoring Results in May 2022 (μg/ m³) | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1-hour TSP | | | | | |
| AM1 | ASR09 | 205 451 | 130 | | | |
| AM2 | ASR11 | 205-451 | 130 | | | |

Notes:

Predicted TSP Concentration extracted from Table 3.20 of EIA Report, AEIAR-220/2019

2.7.2 The 1-hr TSP monitoring results at AM1 and AM2 were below the Predicted Maximum Hourly Average TSP Concentration in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report.



3. NOISE

3.1 Monitoring Requirement

3.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, Leq (30min) monitoring is conducted at least once a week when there are Project-related construction activities being undertaken within a radius of 300 m from the monitoring stations. The monitoring is conducted during the construction phase between 0700 and 1900 on normal weekdays at the designated monitoring locations.

3.2 Monitoring Equipment

- 3.2.1 As referred to the requirements of the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, the sound level meters in compliance with the International Electro technical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications should be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter should be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The measurements may be accepted as valid only if the difference between calibration levels obtained before and after the noise measurement is less than 1.0 dB (94 dB ± 0.1 dB).
- 3.2.2 The details of the noise monitoring equipment used are summarized in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 – Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Item | Brand | Model | Equipment | Serial No. |
|------|---------|----------------|--|------------|
| 1 | Casella | CEL-63X Series | Casella 63x Digital Sound Level Meter | 1488304 |
| 2 | Casella | CEL-63X Series | Casella 63x Digital Sound Level Meter | 1488272 |
| 3 | Casella | CEL-120/1 | Casella 120 Acoustic Calibrator | 2383982 |
| 4 | Casella | CEL-120/1 | Casella 120 Acoustic Calibrator | 2383707 |
| 5 | SENSOR | AR816 | Anemometer | N/A |

3.3 Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

3.3.1 The parameters and frequencies of impact noise monitoring is summarized in **Table 3.2**.

Table 3.2 – Monitoring Parameters and Frequencies of Noise Monitoring

| Parameter | Frequency |
|--|---|
| LAeq (30 min) (L10 and L90 will be recorded for reference) | At each station at 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays at a frequency of once a week when construction activities are underway |



3.4 Monitoring Methodology

- 3.4.1 Noise measurement should be conducted as the following procedures:
 - The monitoring station will set at a point 1m from the exterior of the sensitive receivers building façade and set at a position 1.2m above the ground. (In case façade measurement is not feasible on-site, a free field correction of +3dB(A) will be applied.)
 - The battery condition was checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.
 - Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time will set as follows:

frequency weighting: A

time weighting: Fast

measurement time: 30 minutes

- Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter shall be calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement will considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement is required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.
- Noise measurement should be paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation shall be recorded when intrusive noise is not avoided.
- Noise measurements shall not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s. Calibration certificate of the anemometer is provided in **Appendix D**.

3.5 Maintenance and Calibration

- 3.5.1 Maintenance and calibration procedures should also be carried out, including:
 - The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator should be cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.
 - The sound level meter and calibrator should be calibrated annually by a HOKLAS laboratory.
 - Relevant calibration certificates are provided in Appendix D.



3.6 Monitoring Locations

- 3.6.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, three noise monitoring locations, namely CM1, CM2 and CM3 are covered under Contract No. SPW 07/2020 "Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1".
- 3.6.2 The most updated locations are summarized in **Table 3.3** and the locations of the noise monitoring stations shown in **Figure 3**.

Table 3.3 – Construction Noise Monitoring Location

| Monitoring Station ID | Location | Measurements |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| CM1 | Squatter house at the north of YLSTW | Free Field |
| CM2 | Squatter house at the west of YLSTW | Free Field |
| CM3 | Squatter house at the east of YLSTW | Free Field |

Note: Correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.

3.7 Monitoring Results

- 3.7.1 The schedule of noise monitoring in reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.
- 3.7.2 No Action / Limit Level exceedance of location CM1, CM2 and CM3 was recorded for construction noise in the reporting month.
- 3.7.3 During the monitoring month, at CM2, road traffic from the squatter house at the west of Yuen Long STW was observed, at CM3, road traffic from the Nam Sang Wai Road was observed. No effect that arose from the other special phenomena and work progress of the concerned site for CM1 was noted during the current monitoring month.
- 3.7.4 No raining and wind with speed over 5 m/s was observed during noise monitoring according to the onsite observation. The weather and meteorological conditions during the monitoring month are provided in **Appendix K**.
- 3.7.5 The Construction Noise Monitoring Results are summarized in **Table 3.4**. Detailed monitoring data are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 3.4 – Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results

| Time Period | Noise Monitoring Stations | L _{eq} (30min) dB(A) (Range) | Action Level | Limit Level dB(A) |
|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 0700-1900 hrs on normal weekdays | CM1 | 56-64 | When one | 75 |
| | CM2 | 62-67 | documented complaint is | 75 |
| | CM3 | 64-67 | received | 75 |

Remark:

CM1, CM2 and CM3: Free-field measurement (+3 dB(A) correction has been applied).

- 3.7.6 The Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise have been set and are presented in **Appendix C**.
- 3.7.7 The Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise is given in **Appendix H**.



3.8 Comparison of Noise Monitoring data with EIA Predictions

3.8.1 The noise monitoring data was compared with the EIA predictions as summarized in **Table 3.5**.

Table 3.5 – Comparison of Noise monitoring data with EIA predictions

| Monitoring Station | EIA ID | Maximum Predicted Mitigated Construction Noise Level L _{eq} (30min) dB(A) | Maximum Construction Noise Level in May 2022 L _{eq} (30min) dB(A) |
|-----------------------|--------|--|--|
| CM1 | NSR1 | 72 | 64 |
| CM2 | NSR2 | 74 | 67 |
| CM3 | NSR3 | 75 | 67 |

Notes:

Predicted TSP Concentration extracted from Table 4.9 of EIA Report, AEIAR-220/2019

3.8.2 The construction noise monitoring results at CM1, CM2 and CM3 were below the Maximum Predicted mitigated Construction Noise Level in the approved Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report (Register No.: AEIAR-220/2019).



4. WATER QUALITY

4.1 Monitoring Requirement

4.1.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact monitoring is conducted for three days per week at mid-flood and mid-ebb with sampling and measurement at the designated monitoring stations.

4.2 Monitoring Equipment

4.2.1 Equipment used for in-situ measurement and water sampling during impact water quality monitoring is summarised in **Table 4.1**. The equipment is in compliance with the requirements set out in the EM&A Manual. All in-situ monitoring instruments were calibrated by a HOKLAS-accredited laboratory. Calibration of temperature, DO, salinity, pH and turbidity is conducted in three month interval. Calibration certificates for the water quality monitoring equipment are attached in **Appendix D**.

Table 4.1 – Water Quality Monitoring and Sampling Equipment

| Parameter | Equipment | Model | Range | Equipment Accuracy | Serial No. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------|
| | | | Temp: -5 to 50°C DO: 0-50mg/L DO%: 0-500% | Temp: ±0.2°C | 19E100634 |
| Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen, | YSI Water Quality | Vulom EVO 2 | | DO: ±0.1mg/L or 1% for 0-20mg/L; ±5% for 20-50mg/L Sal: ±2% of the reading or 0.2 ppt (whichever | 19E100633 |
| Salinity, pH, Turbidity | Multipara meter Sonde | Xylem EXO 3 | Sal: 0 to 70ppt pH: 0 to 14 pH units Turb: 0- | greater) pH: ±0.2 units Turb: ±3% or 0.3NTU | 19A105807 |
| | | 4000NTU (FNU) (whichever greater) | 19A105808 | | |
| Current | Current | Valeport Model 106 | Speed: 0.03 to 5 m/s Direction: 0 to 360 | Speed: ± 1.5% of reading above 0.15m/s, ± 0.004 m/s below 0.15m/s Direction: ± 2.5o | 67738 |
| Velocity and Direction Current | River Surveyor M9 | Water Depth: 0- 80m | Water Depth: 1% Current speed: ±0.25% of measured velocity or ±0.2cm/s Current direction: ±2degree magnetic | 5906 | |
| Water Sampling | Water Sampler | Acrylic Beta Water Bottle Kit, | NA | NA | NA |

| Parameter | Equipment | Model | Range | Equipment Accuracy | Serial No. |
|-------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | | Horizontal, 3.2L / 4.2L | | | |
| Positioning | DGPS | Simrad MX521B Smart Antenna with Simrad MX610 CDU | NA | GPS: ±1m | NA |
| Water Depth | Echo Sounder | Garmin ECHO 101 | Maximum depth: 457.2 m | 0.1 m | NA |

4.3 Equipment Calibration

- 4.3.1 All in-situ monitoring instruments shall be checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS before use and subsequently re-calibrated at three monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring programme. Responses of sensors and electrodes shall be checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter shall be carried out before measurement at each monitoring location.
- 4.3.2 Sufficient stocks of spare parts shall be maintained for replacements when necessary. Backup monitoring equipment shall also be made available so that monitoring is uninterrupted even when some equipment is under maintenance or calibration etc.

4.4 Monitoring Parameters

The monitoring parameters and frequency for both in-situ measurement and laboratory analysis are summarised in **Table 4.2**.

Table 4.2 – Monitoring Parameters and Frequency

| Parameters | Monitoring Frequency |
|--|--|
| In-situ Measurement | |
| Turbidity (in NTU), pH, DO (in mg/L and % of saturation), Temperature (in °C), Salinity (in ppt) | 3 days per week, at mid-flood and mid-ebb tides (The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not |
| <u>Laboratory Analysis</u> | be less than 36 hours.) |
| Suspended Solids | |

4.5 Monitoring Operation

- 4.5.1 The position of water monitoring station will be located by the Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) or equivalent. The water depth of water monitoring station will be determined by the echo sounder affixed to the bottom of the monitoring vessel or a portable echo sounder depth detector.
- 4.5.2 Once the location and water depth are confirmed, water samples shall be collected at 3 depths (1m below the surface, mid-depth, and 1m above the seabed) of the water column at each location, except where water depth is less than 6m, the mid-depth will be omitted and if the



water depth is less than 3m only the mid-depth station will be monitored. Duplicate marine samples will be collected in each sampling event. The water samples are decanted from the water sampler into the water sample bottles. The bottles are labelled, tightly sealed, placed into a cool-box and packed with ice ready for delivery to the laboratory.

4.5.3 Two consecutive measurements of water quality data, including pH, salinity, dissolved oxygen and turbidity will be recorded according to the monitoring locations. Separate deployment of the monitoring instruments and water samplers will be conducted for the consecutive measurements or samplings. The monitoring location / position, time, water depth, sampling depth, tidal stages, weather conditions, sea condition and any special phenomena or work underway nearby shall also be recorded. If the difference in value between the first and second measurement of DO or turbidity parameters is more than 25% of the value of the first reading, the reading shall be discarded and further readings should be taken.

4.6 Laboratory Measurement / Analysis

Background

4.6.1 Fugro Technical Services Limited (HOKLAS Reg: No.015) has been appointed to conduct the laboratory measurement or analysis of water sample in this project.

Quality Assurance / Quality Control

4.6.2 The laboratory incorporates a variety of QA/QC monitoring programme into their testing system. Where applicable or available, the quality of the analysis will be monitored by conducting the following QC analysis:

For each batch of 20 samples:

- A minimal of 1 laboratory method blank will be analyzed;
- A minimal of 1 sample duplicate will be analyzed;
- A minimal of 1 sample matrix spike will be analyzed.

4.7 Monitoring Locations

- 4.7.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, water quality monitoring should be carried out at 3 designated monitoring locations.
- 4.7.2 The coordinates of the monitoring location stated in the EM&A Manual is summarised in **Table**4.3 and the locations of the water quality monitoring stations shown in **Figure 4**.

Table 4.3 – Coordinates of Water Quality Monitoring Locations

| | Sampling Location | Easting | Northing |
|----|--|---------|----------|
| M1 | Serve as the control station at upstream location of construction site (Flood Tide) / Serve as the impact station at downstream location of construction site (Ebb Tide) | 821 086 | 836 656 |
| M2 | Serve as the impact station at downstream location of construction site (Flood Tide)/ Serve as the control station at upstream location of construction site (Ebb Tide) | 820 996 | 836 246 |



| | Sampling Location | Easting | Northing |
|----|--|---------|----------|
| M3 | Serve as the impact station at downstream location of construction site (Flood Tide) / Serve as the control station at upstream location of construction site (Ebb Tide) | 820 645 | 836 335 |

4.8 Monitoring Results

- 4.8.1 The schedule of water quality monitoring in reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.
- 4.8.2 Impact water quality monitoring was conducted at all designated monitoring stations in the reporting month. Impact water quality monitoring results and graphical presentations are provided in **Appendix F**.
- 4.8.3 The weather and meteorological conditions during the monitoring are provided in **Appendix K**.
- 4.8.4 Number of Action/ Limit exceedance recorded in the reporting month at each impact stations is summarized in **Table 4.4**.

Table 4.4 – Summary of Water Quality Exceedance

| Sampling Location | Exceedance Level | D | 0 | Turb | idity | | ended ids | То | tal |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-----|
| | | Flood | Ebb | Flood | Ebb | Flood | Ebb | Flood | Ebb |
| N 4 1 | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M1 | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M2 | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVIZ | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M3 | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IVI3 | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Taral | Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

- 4.8.5 During the reporting period, no Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring.
- 4.8.6 The Event and Action Plan for water quality is given in **Appendix H**.

4.9 WetSeps

4.9.1 Two WetSeps are deployed within the site for treatment of the site runoff prior to disposal in compliance with the conditions stipulated in the water discharge license.



5. ECOLOGY MONITORING

5.1 Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring

5.1.1 Monitoring Requirement

With reference to the Pre-construction Ardeid Night Roost survey (January 2021) findings that identified two active ardeid night roosts within 100 m from the Project boundary (one approximately 40 m east of the Project boundary and the other one approximately 45 m northeast of the Project boundary), consequent monthly monitoring of these active ardeid night roosts was done in accordance to the EM&A Manual Sections 7.3.10 and 7.3.11; and EIA Report Section 8.12.1.3.

The Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring survey was conducted with the following objectives:

- Check the status and location of any active ardeid night roosts within 100 m from the Project boundary (Survey Area) with reference to **EM&A Manual Section 7.3.10**;
- Monitor the effectiveness of proposed mitigation measures and detect any unpredicted indirect ecological impacts arising from the proposed Project as specified in EIA Report Section 8.12.1.3; and
- Recommend remedial actions, where appropriate, based on the impact monitoring results (EIA Report Section 8.12.1.3) for the implementation of the contractor as only necessary.

5.1.2 **Monitoring Methodology**

5.1.2.1 Monitoring Area

With reference from Section 7.3.10 of the approved EM&A Manual, the monitoring was conducted in areas within 100 m from the Project boundary. The monitoring area and vantage points for direct observation of any active night roosts are shown in Appendix O.

5.1.2.2 **Monitoring Activity**

5.1.2.2.1 Active Ardeid Night Roost

Current Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring Survey focused on the two active night roosts within the Survey Area (100 m from the Project boundary) that were previously confirmed during the pre-construction Survey. These roosts include one that was approximately 40 m east of the Project boundary and another around 45 m northeast of the mentioned boundary (Section 3 of the approved Pre-construction Survey Report of Ardeid Night Roost). Primary data collection with the use of 7x and 10x binoculars; and field guides including the Avifauna of Hong Kong (Carey et al., 2001) and The Birds of Hong Kong and South China (Viney et al., 2005), was from about one hour before sunset time until one hour after sunset with reference to Section 7.3.10 of the approved EM&A Manual. Sunset time was according to Hong Kong Observatory (HKO). The survey was conducted on 19 May 2022.



Species composition, abundance and locations of night roosts were recorded. Species composition, abundance and location of pre-roosting aggregations (PRA) were also noted. PRAs are gatherings of avian individuals prior to flying into a night roost (Moore and Switzer, 1998). The time of return of the ardeids to the pre-roost and the final night roost were also recorded. Direct observations were made from vantage points adjacent the Project site with clear and unobstructed view of any active roosting location (s) within the Survey Area. However, aside from the established vantage points for the focused mangrove strips along Shan Pui River, observations were also conducted throughout the whole 100 m study site to cover other areas aside from the mangrove strips.

Observations such as any changes in site condition or disturbances detected or observed at the monitoring locations, including both construction and non-construction related activities, during the monitoring activity was recorded with reference to **Section 7.3.10 of the approved EM&A Manual**. Additionally, other observations such as bird droppings on the ground which may possibly indicate presence of night roosts were noted in addition to noting of the roosting substrate (i.e. substrate species and approximate height). Any breeding activity usage of the roosting locations within the Survey Area was also noted.

5.1.2.2.2 Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Locations, Frequency, Time and Parameters

The noise monitoring locations were established at 22°28′4.25″N, 114°1′41.32″E; and 22°28′10.43″N, 114°1′42.17″E for NMS1 and NMS2 stations, respectively. Monitoring frequency was only once a month in concurrence with the construction phase monthly monitoring of the active night roosts for correlation. Monitoring time for both stations started around 19:01, the earliest final night roost period recorded during the survey and lasted for 30 minutes. **Table 5.1** presents the monitoring parameters.

Table 5.1 – Noise Monitoring Parameters (For Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey)

| Parameter | Frequency and Period |
|--|--|
| LAeq (30 min) | Monthly in concurrence with the construction phase |
| (L10 and L90 will be recorded for reference) | monthly monitoring of the active night roosts |

The Action and Limit Levels for Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey have been set and are presented in **Appendix C**.

However, exceedances to the limit level were endeavoured to be prevented by the full implementation of mitigation measures (Section 4.2 of the approved Pre-construction Survey Report of Ardeid Night Roost and Sections 5.2.1-5.2.2 of this Report) during the construction phase.

Event and Action Plan

In instances of exceedance/s in the action and/or limit levels, the different measures as specified in Table 3.3 Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise of the approved EM&A



Manual and likewise presented in **Appendix H** of this report shall be implemented as responses.

5.1.3 **Monitoring Results**

5.1.3.1 Active Ardeid Night Roost

The monitoring activity was conducted on 19 May 2022 and started around 17:58 (one hour before sunset) on a low tide condition. During the pre-roost period (PRP), the period when avian individuals gather first before flying into a night roost, Chinese Pond Heron *Ardeola bacchus* (3 individuals), Great Egret *Ardea alba* (2 individuals) and Intermediate Egret *Egretta intermedia* (3 individuals) were observed in pre-roost aggregate (PRA) around 18:25 at the mudflat east side (ANR1) of the Project boundary while Great Egret (2 individuals) and Little Egret *Egretta garzetta* (7 individuals) were concurrently noted at the mudflat northeast side (ANR2) of the Project boundary during the period (**Table 5.2**).

For the final night roost at around 19:01, Chinese Pond Heron (3 individuals) were observed at the roosting area ANR1 utilizing the inside portions of the understory to canopy layers of the roosting substrate *Sonneratia apetala* and *S. caseolaris*; while individuals of Intermediate Egret (6 individuals), Little Egret (3 individuals), and Chinese Pond Heron (5 individuals) were noted at ANR2 and utilized the canopy layer of the aforementioned roosting substrate.

No disturbance (construction related and/or otherwise) to the active night roost areas was observed during the period. Bird droppings were observed within the vicinity of the roosting area located east of the Project boundary.



Table 5.2 – Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey Findings

| Date: 19 May 2022 | | | Sunset Tin | ne: 18:58 | | | |
|--|------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | Tidal Con | dition: Low Tide | | | |
| Pre-roost Period | | | | Final roost Period | | | |
| Chinese Pond Heron Ardeola bacchus, Great Egret Ardea alba, Intermediate Egret Egretta intermedia, and Little Egret Egretta garzetta (18:25) | | Time of Return: | Chinese Pond Heron <i>Ardeola bacchus,</i> Intermediate Egret <i>Egretta intermedia,</i> and Little Egret <i>Egretta garzetta</i> (19:01) | | | | |
| | | Locat | ion | | Lo | ocation | |
| Parameters | | ANR1 | ANR2 | Parameters | ANR1 | ANR2 | |
| Pre-roost Aggregat | on (Y/N): | N | Υ | Substrate Species: | Sonneratia apetala and S. caseolaris | Sonneratia apetala and S. caseolaris | |
| Substrate Species: Substrate Height (m): | | Sonneratia apetala and S. caseolaris | Sonneratia apetala and S. caseolaris | Substrate Height (m): | Approx. 5 m. | Approx. 3-4 m. | |
| | | Approx. 5 m. | Approx. 3-4 m. | | | | |
| A 1:16 | | Abundance (individuals) | | Ardeid Species | Abundance (individuals) | | |
| Ardeid Species Com | position | ANR1 | ANR2 | Composition | ANR1 | ANR2 | |
| Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola | 3 | - | Chinese Pond Heron Ardeola bacchus | 3 | 5 | |
| Great Egret <i>Ardea al</i> | ba | 2 | 2 | Intermediate Egret Egretta intermedia | - | 6 | |
| Intermediate Egret E intermedia | gretta | 3 | - | Little Egret Egretta garzetta | - | 3 | |
| Little Egret <i>Egretta g</i> | arzetta | - | 7 | | | | |
| B 11 A 21 12 22 | 6 0 | ANR1 | | | N | | |
| Breeding Activity (Y | /N): | ANR2 | N | | | | |

Pre-roost Period: Period when avian individuals gather first before flying into a night roost

ANR1: Active ardeid night roost area east of the Project boundary

ANR2: Active ardeid night roost area northeast of the Project boundary

-: not recorded



5.1.3.2 **Noise Monitoring**

Noise monitoring activities were conducted on 19 May 2022 in concurrence with the construction phase monthly monitoring of the pre-identified active night roosts. Noise monitoring started at 19:01 and lasted for 30 minutes, until 19:31.

Current survey results showed noise levels (L_{Aeq} (30 min.)) at both monitoring stations to be well below the action and limit levels as presented in **Table 5.3**.

Table 5.3 – Noise Monitoring Results

| Frequency and Period | Location | Start Time | L _{Aeq} (30 min.) | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---|----------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Monthly in concurrence with the construction | NMS1 | 19:01 | 47.9 | CE E 4D(A)1 | 72.2 dp/a)2 |
| phase monthly monitoring of the active night roosts | NMS2 | 19:01 | 52.2 | 65.5 dB(A) ¹ | 72.2 dB(A) ² |

Notes:

NMS1= Noise monitoring station 1 located east of the Project boundary

NMS2= Noise monitoring station 2 located northeast of the Project boundary

5.1.4 Detection of Any Unpredicted Indirect Ecological Impacts Arising from the Project

No unpredicted indirect ecological impacts that arose from the project were noted during the current monitoring period .

5.1.5 **Summary**

5.1.5.1 Status and Location of Any Active Ardeid Night Roost

Two active ardeid night roost areas (ANR1 and ANR2) were observed within the Survey Area during the May 2022 monitoring period. These roosts were located at the mangrove strips in the east and northeast portions of the Project boundary. These were used by individuals of Chinese Pond Heron, Intermediate Egret and Little Egret.

5.1.5.2 **Noise Monitoring Results**

Both noise levels at each of the monitoring stations were below the action and limit levels.

5.2 Ecological Monitoring of Birds

5.2.1 Monitoring Requirement

With reference to **Section 7.3.6** of the **EM&A Manual**, monthly ecological monitoring of birds, focusing on avifauna species of conservation interest, and overwintering waterbirds utilising wetland habitats in Fung Lok Wai and Nam Sang Wai as well as along Shan Pui River and Kam Tin River within the monitoring area (500 m from the Project Boundary) was conducted in addition to monitoring on the utilization of wetland habitats by birds also within the same monitoring area as required by **Section 7.3.1** of the **EM&A Manual**.



¹⁼ Behavioural response of some kind more likely to occur (Wright et al. 2010)

²⁼ Flight with abandonment of the site becomes the most likely outcome of the disturbance (Wright et al. 2010)

5.2.2 Monitoring Methodology

5.2.2.1 Monitoring Area

The monitoring area included wetland habitats in Fung Lok Wai and Nam Sang Wai as well as along Shan Pui River and Kam Tin River within 500m from the Project boundary with reference to **Section 7.3.6** of the **EM&A Manual**. The location of point count sites and transect routes is shown in **Appendix P**.

5.2.2.2 **Monitoring Activity**

Avifauna survey on the different wetland habitats using the transect count and point count methods was conducted on 17 May 2022 (day time) and started around 07:45. Meanwhile, the survey overlooking the mudflats and mangroves in the Shan Pui River, was concurrently conducted on the same date with the day time survey during the low tide (generally 1.5m or below) period at around 15:05. The methodology for the monitoring activity followed **Sections 8.3.3.6** and **8.3.3.7** of the **EIA Report (AEIAR-220/2019)** and as detailed below.

For the transect count and point count methods, the presence and relative abundance of avifauna species at various wetland habitats were recorded visually and aurally.

Avifauna species were detected either by direct sighting or by their call and identified to species level. Any notable behaviours such as feeding, roosting and breeding were also recorded. Bird species encountered outside the point count locations and walk transects were also recorded. A comprehensive list of species recorded from the Assessment Area was prepared, with wetland-dependence, conservation and/or protection status indicated. Ornithological nomenclature in this report follows Carey et al. (2001), Viney et al. (2005) and the most recent updated list from Hong Kong Bird Watching Society (HKBWS).

Noise levels were recorded with the methodology and equipment as mentioned in **Section 3.4** and **Section 3.2**, respectively, of this EM&A report. The parameter as shown in was recorded at each of the point count locations.

Table 5.4 - Noise Monitoring Parameters

| Parameter | Frequency and Location |
|--|---|
| LAeq (30 min) (L10 and L90 will be recorded for reference) | Monthly in concurrence with the monthly ecological bird monitoring at the different point count locations |

In addition to recording of noise levels, any changes in site condition or disturbances detected or observed at the monitoring locations, including both construction and non-construction related activities with reference to **Section 7.3.7** of the **EM&A Manual** were also noted.

5.2.2.3 Data Analysis

For the bird communities, the monitoring results were compared to pre-construction baseline condition during the dry and wet seasons as summarized in the Baseline Bird Survey Report with reference to **Section 7.3.8** of the **EM&A Manual**. However, to further account the



seasonality, monitoring results of the current month were compared to the results of the corresponding month of the baseline data.

The data for point count method and transect walk method were presented separately to account for the difference in the survey effort of the two methods. For each method, abundance and species composition of the avifauna communities during the monitoring month were summarized.

To check the presence of variation in bird abundance between baseline and impact monitoring, t-test was applied ($\alpha = 0.05$). Moreover, to check the presence of variation in bird species diversity, the two-sided Hutcheson t-test was also used. The two-sided Hutcheson t-test was developed as a method to compare the diversity of two community samples using the Shannon diversity index (Hutcheson 1970). Shannon diversity index will be computed using the formula,

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i ln p_i$$

where, H' = Shannon Diversity Index; $P_i = proportion of the population of species; i= number of species in sample; In = natural logarithm. Shannon diversity index is used as it accounts the proportion (relative abundance) of each species; thus, it gives a better description of diversity than a plain number of species (species richness).$

The Action and Limit Levels for ecological monitoring of birds have been set and are presented in **Appendix C**.

Wetland habitat utilization during the construction phase monitoring shall only be compared seasonally, hence the comparison shall only be done after all the data (dry season and wet season) were collected with reference to **Appendix 8.5** of the approved **EIA Report**.

5.2.3 **Monitoring Results**

Results of the avifauna survey on the different habitats within the monitoring area using the transect count and point count methods as conducted last 17 May 2022 (daytime) and started around 07:45 are presented in **Sections 5.2.3.1** and **5.2.3.2**. Meanwhile, results for the surveys overlooking the mudflats and mangroves in the Shan Pui River, with monitoring activities conducted on similar date with the daytime survey during the low tide (generally 1.5m or below) period around 15:05 had results presented in **Section 5.2.3.3**.

5.2.3.1 Abundance

5.2.3.1.1 All Avifauna Species

An overall total of 202 avifauna individuals was recorded in the monitoring area during the May 2022 monitoring period, of which 166 individuals were recorded from the point count method and 36 individuals from the transect walk method. Relative to the May 2017 baseline data (point count method = 190; and transect walk = 2), current insignificant decrease in total abundance for the point count method (t-value = -1.7; p-value = 0.09; α = 0.05) was noted. Details of these findings are summarized in **Table 5.5** and **Appendix F.7.**



Table 5.5 – Abundance of all Avifauna Species

| Abundance of all Avifauna Species | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|---------|---------|--|
| Point Count Method | | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks | |
| P1 | FLW1 | 3 | 17 | + | |
| P2 | FLW2 | 4 | 16 | + | |
| P3 | FLW3 | 6 | 5 | - | |
| P4 | FLW4 | 18 | 10 | - | |
| P5 | FLW5 | 13 | 21 | + | |
| P6 | FLW6 | 44 | 23 | - | |
| P7 | FLW7 | 22 | 10 | - | |
| P9 | SP/NSW3 | 26 | 17 | - | |
| P10 | SP/NSW2 | 9 | 14 | + | |
| P11 | NSW1 | 36 | 24 | - | |
| P12 | SP/NSW1 | 9 | 9 | = | |
| | Total | 190 | 166 | - | |
| | Mean | 17.27 | 15.09 | - | |
| | | | | | |
| Transect Walk Method | | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks | |
| Fung Lok Wai | FLW | 1 | 24 | + | |
| Nam Sang Wai | NSW | 1 | 9 | + | |
| YLIE-CW | YLIE-CW | 0 | 3 | + | |
| | Total | 2 | 36 | + | |
| | Mean | 0.67 | 12 | + | |

5.2.3.1.2 Avifauna Species of Conservation Importance

Of the 202 avifauna individuals recorded in the monitoring area during the May 2022 monitoring period, 79 individuals (point count method = 70 individuals; transect walk method = 9 individuals) were of conservation importance. With reference to May 2017 data, current results showed insignificant decrease in total abundance of point count method (t-value = -0.09; p-value = 0.93; $\alpha = 0.05$) was noted. Details of these findings are summarized in **Table 5.6** and **Appendix F.7**.

Table 5.6 – Abundance of Species of Conservation Importance

| Abundance of Species of Conservation Importance | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------|---------|---------|--|
| Point Count Method | | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks | |



⁺ increased abundance; - decreased abundance; = similar abundance

| Abundance of Spec | ies of Conservation Imp | ortance | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| P1 | FLW1 | 2 | 7 | + |
| P2 | FLW2 | 0 | 1 | + |
| P3 | FLW3 | 0 | 0 | = |
| P4 | FLW4 | 9 | 2 | - |
| P5 | FLW5 | 5 | 6 | + |
| P6 | FLW6 | 21 | 22 | + |
| P7 | FLW7 | 0 | 9 | + |
| P9 | SP/NSW3 | 22 | 11 | - |
| P10 | SP/NSW2 | 3 | 7 | + |
| P11 | NSW1 | 4 | 0 | - |
| P12 | SP/NSW1 | 5 | 5 | = |
| | Total | 71 | 70 | - |
| | Mean | 6.45 | 6.36 | - |
| Transect Walk Method | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks |
| Fung Lok Wai | FLW | 1 | 9 | + |
| Nam Sang Wai | NSW | 1 | 0 | - |
| YLIE-CW | YLIE-CW | 0 | 0 | = |
| | Total | 2 | 9 | + |
| | Mean | 0.67 | 3 | + |

5.2.3.2 Diversity (Species Richness¹ and Shannon Diversity Index²)

5.2.3.2.1 All Avifauna Species

A total of 30 avifauna species (species richness) were recorded during the May 2022 monitoring period, of which, 29 species were recorded by the point count method while 13 species were noted by the transect walk method. Relative to the baseline data (point count method = 31 species; transect walk method = 2 species), decrease in total species richness for the point count method was noted. In terms of Shannon diversity index (H'), an insignificant decrease (t-value = 1.70; t-crit = 1.97; p-value =0.09; α = 0.05) from baseline reference value was observed in point count method. Details of these findings are summarized in **Table 5.7.**



⁺ increased abundance; - decreased abundance; = similar abundance

¹ actual number of species

 $^{^2}$ use to account the proportion (in terms of relative abundance) of each species 0120/20/ED/0483 02 \mid Monthly EM&A Report (May 2022) Page 30 of 43

Table 5.7 – Shannon Diversity Index Value of all Avifauna Species

| Shannon Diversity Index Value of all Avifauna Species | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|---------|---------|--|
| Point Count Method | | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks | |
| P1 | FLW1 | 1.10 | 1.89 | + | |
| P2 | FLW2 | 0.69 | 1.42 | + | |
| P3 | FLW3 | 1.56 | 1.33 | - | |
| P4 | FLW4 | 1.90 | 1.36 | - | |
| P5 | FLW5 | 2.10 | 1.95 | - | |
| P6 | FLW6 | 2.23 | 1.76 | - | |
| P7 | FLW7 | 1.91 | 1.22 | - | |
| P9 | SP/NSW3 | 1.56 | 1.69 | + | |
| P10 | SP/NSW2 | 1.68 | 1.33 | - | |
| P11 | NSW1 | 2.75 | 1.93 | - | |
| P12 | SP/NSW1 | 1.21 | 1.52 | + | |
| | Overall H | 3.13 | 2.98 | - | |
| | Species Richness | 31 | 29 | - | |
| | | | | | |
| Transect Walk Method | | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks | |
| Fung Lok Wai | FLW | 0 | 1.83 | + | |
| Nam Sang Wai | NSW | 0 | 1.52 | + | |
| YLIE-CW | YLIE-CW | ** | 1.10 | + | |
| | Overall H | 0 | 2.36 | + | |
| | Species Richness | 1 | 13 | + | |

5.2.3.2.2 Avifauna Species of Conservation Importance

Of the 30 species of avifauna identified during the May 2022 monitoring period, eight species were of conservation importance (point count method = 8 species; transect walk method = 2 species). Relative to the baseline values in May 2017, increases in the number of species with conservation importance were recorded from both the point count method and transect walk method were noted. In terms of Shannon diversity index (H'), an insignificant decrease (t-value = 0.96; t-crit = 1.98; p-value = 0.34; α = 0.05) from baseline reference value was observed in point count method. Details of these findings are summarized in **Table 5.8**.



^{**} result when no species recorded; 0 computation result from only one recorded species

⁺ increased Shannon diversity index (H'); - decreased Shannon diversity index (H')

Table 5.8 – Shannon Diversity Index Value of Species with Conservation Importance

| Shannon Diversity Ind | ex Value of Species wi | th Conservation Imp | ortance | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Point Count Method | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks |
| P1 | FLW1 | 0.69 | 0.80 | + |
| P2 | FLW2 | ** | 0 | + |
| P3 | FLW3 | ** | ** | = |
| P4 | FLW4 | 0.68 | 0 | - |
| P5 | FLW5 | 1.33 | 0.87 | - |
| P6 | FLW6 | 1.13 | 1.66 | + |
| P7 | FLW7 | ** | 1 | + |
| P9 | SP/NSW3 | 1.08 | 0.93 | - |
| P10 | SP/NSW2 | 1.10 | 0.68 | - |
| P11 | NSW1 | 1.39 | ** | - |
| P12 | SP/NSW1 | 0.50 | 0.67 | + |
| | Overall H' | 1.72 | 1.60 | - |
| | Species Richness | 7 | 8 | + |
| | ' | | | |
| Transect Walk Method | | | | |
| EIA Report ID | EM&A Manual ID | May-17 | May -22 | Remarks |
| Fung Lok Wai | FLW | 0 | 0.64 | + |
| Nam Sang Wai | NSW | 0 | ** | - |
| YLIE-CW | YLIE-CW | ** | ** | = |
| | Overall H' | 0 | 0.64 | + |
| | Species Richness | 1 | 2 | + |

5.2.3.3 Wetland Habitat Utilization

Avifauna communities were observed during the current monitoring period in the different wetland habitats, i.e. modified watercourse, ponds, mangrove, and reedbed.

With reference to **Section 7.3.1** of the **EM&A Manual**, the utilization of the wetland habitats by birds within the monitoring area was recorded and monitored.

5.2.3.3.1 All Avifauna Species

During the current monitoring period, majority of the different wetland habitats were observed with very low (VL) abundance. In terms of species richness, majority of these wetland habitats were also observed with very low (VL) number of species (**Table 5.9**).



^{**} result when no species recorded; 0 computation result from only one recorded species;

⁺ increased Shannon diversity index (H'); - decreased Shannon diversity index (H'); = similar Shannon diversity index (H')

Table 5.9 – Wetland habitat utilization of all avifauna species

| Wetland Habitats | Area Description | Abundance ¹ | Species Richness ² |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Confluence of Shan Pui River and Kam Tin River | VL | VL-L |
| Modified Watercourse | Shan Pui River adjacent to Project site | VL | VL |
| | Upper course of Shan Pui River along YLIE | VL | VL-L |
| | Active Ponds adjacent to Project site in Fung Lok Wai | VL | L-M |
| Ponds | Active Ponds North to Nullah 2 in Fung Lok Wai | VL-L | L-M |
| | Inactive Ponds in Fung Lok Wai | VL | VL |
| | Active and Inactive Ponds in Nam Sang Wai | VL | VL-L |
| Mangrove | Mangrove within Assessment Area | VL | VL |
| Reedbed | Reedbed in Nam Sang Wai | VL | VL |

Source: approved EIA Report (AEIAR-220/2019)

5.2.3.3.2 Avifauna Species of Conservation Importance

Majority of the different wetland habitats had very low (VL) abundance and were also generally utilized by very low (VL) number of avifauna species with conservation importance (**Table 5.10**).

Table 5.10 – Wetland habitat utilization of avifauna species of conservation importance

| Wetland Habitats | Area Description | Abundance ¹ | Species Richness ² |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Confluence of Shan Pui River and Kam Tin River | VL | VL |
| Modified Watercourse | Shan Pui River adjacent to Project site | VL | VL |
| | Upper course of Shan Pui River along YLIE | VL | VL |
| | Active Ponds adjacent to Project site in Fung Lok Wai | VL | VL |
| Ponds | Active Ponds North to Nullah 2 in Fung Lok Wai | VL | VL-L |
| | Inactive Ponds in Fung Lok Wai | VL | VL |
| | Active and Inactive Ponds in Nam Sang Wai | - | - |
| Mangrove | Mangrove within Assessment Area | VL | VL |
| Reedbed | Reedbed in Nam Sang Wai | - | - |

Notes:



^{1.} Abundance of avifauna species of conservation importance amongst wetland habitats within the assessment area: VL = Very Low (~<50 individuals); L = Low (~100 individuals); M = Moderate (~300 individuals); H = High (~500 individuals), VH = Very High (>700 individuals)

^{2.} Species richness (total number of species) amongst wetland habitats within the assessment area: VL = Very Low (≤5 species); L = Low (~10 species); M = Moderate (~15 species); H = High (~20 species), VH = Very High (>25 species)

^{-:} no recorded individuals

| Wetland Habitats Area Description | Abundance ¹ | Species Richness ² |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|

- 1. Abundance of avifauna species of conservation importance amongst wetland habitats within the assessment area: VL = Very Low (~<50 individuals); L = Low (~100 individuals); M = Moderate (~300 individuals); H = High (~500 individuals), VH = Very High (>700 individuals)
- 2. Species richness (total number of species) amongst wetland habitats within the assessment area: VL = Very Low (≤5 species); L = Low (~10 species); M = Moderate (~15 species); H = High (~20 species), VH = Very High (>25 species)
- -: no recorded individuals

Source: approved EIA Report (AEIAR-220/2019)

5.2.3.4 Noise Levels

Noise levels L_{Aeq} (30 min) recorded on 17 May 2022 (day time) from each of the point count locations during the ecological bird monitoring are shown in **Table 5.11**.

Table 5.11 – Noise Monitoring Results (For Ecological Monitoring of Birds)

| Francisco and Davied | Lasatian | Day time (17/05/2022) | | |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Frequency and Period | Location | Start Time | L _{Aeq} (30 min) dB(A) | |
| | FLW1 | 09:25 | 53.7 | |
| | FLW2 | 08:57 | 54.2 | |
| | FLW3 | 08:50 | 53.8 | |
| | FLW4 | 07:45 | 50.6 | |
| Monthly in concurrence | FLW5 | 07:50 | 49.1 | |
| with the ecological | FLW6 | 08:17 | 47.8 | |
| monitoring of birds | FLW7 | 08:25 | 50.7 | |
| | SP/NSW3 | 15:05 | 56.7 | |
| | SP/NSW2 | 15:37 | 55.0 | |
| | NSW1 | 15:41 | 51.8 | |
| | SP/NSW1 | 15:08 | 56.2 | |



LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

6.1 Audit Requirements

6.1.1 According to the EM&A Manual, a Landscape Architect or related professional shall be employed to audit the implementation of landscape construction works particularly during site clearance operations when the proposed tree felling and transplanting will take place and subsequent maintenance operations. Site audits should be undertaken every week during the construction phase to check that the proposed landscape and visual mitigation measures are properly implemented and maintained as per their intended objectives. The mitigation measure recommended in the EIA Report as the audit requirements for landscape and visual, including: preservation of existing vegetation, transplanting of affected trees, compensatory tree planting, control of night-time lighting glare, erection of decorative screen hoarding and management of construction activities and facilities are summarized in **Appendix J**.

6.2 Results and Observations

- 6.2.1 To monitor and audit the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures, four weekly landscape and visual site audits were carried out on 4, 10, 18 and 25 May 2022.
- 6.2.2 No outstanding issues were reported during the reporting month. The ET Leader's Site Environmental Audit are summarized in **Appendix M**.



7. LAND CONTAMINATION

7.1 Contamination Assessment Report

- 7.1.1 Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Industrial have been adopted for the "Main Storeroom & Workshops" and the laboratory results for the sampling works (conducted between 30 June 2021 to 16 July 2021) show that there are no exceedances of the adopted RBRGs for the "Main Storeroom & Workshops". As no contaminated soil and groundwater was found within the "Main Storeroom & Workshops", no remediation actions are required for contaminated soil and groundwater for the scheduled land use of the "Main Storeroom & Workshops". Their findings are summarized in Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and submitted to EPD on 1 November 2021.
- 7.1.2 Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Industrial have been adopted for the "Mechanical Workshop" and the laboratory results for the sampling works (conducted between 23 July 2021 to 4 August 2021) show that there are no exceedances of the adopted RBRGs for the "Mechanical Workshop". As no contaminated soil and groundwater was found within the "Mechanical Workshop", no remediation actions are required for contaminated soil and groundwater for the scheduled land use of the "Mechanical Workshop". Their findings are summarized in Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and submitted to EPD on 23 November 2021.
- 7.1.3 Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Industrial have been adopted for the "Waste Storage Area" and the laboratory results for the sampling works (conducted between 24 November 2021 to 6 January 2022) show that there are no exceedances of the adopted RBRGs for the "Waste Storage Area". As no contaminated soil and groundwater was found within the "Waste Storage Area", no remediation actions are required for contaminated soil and groundwater for the scheduled land use of the "Waste Storage Area". Their findings are summarized in Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and submitted to EPD on 29 April 2022.
- 7.1.4 Risk-Based Remediation Goals (RBRGs) for Industrial have been adopted for the "SAS Thickener House-1" and the laboratory results for the sampling works (conducted between 13 April 2022 to 16 May 2022) show that there are no exceedances of the adopted RBRGs for the "SAS Thickener House-1", hence no contaminated soil or groundwater is found within the "SAS Thickener House-1". Their findings are summarized in draft Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) which is under review and will be submitted to EPD.



8. SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

8.1 Site Inspection

- 8.1.1 Site audits were carried out by ET on weekly basis at least once per week to monitor the implementation of proper environmental management practices and mitigation measures in the Project site.
- 8.1.2 In the reporting month, four site inspections were carried out on 4, 10, 18 and 25 May 2022.
- 8.1.3 No outstanding issues were reported during the reporting month. The ET Leader's Site Environmental Audit are summarized in **Appendix M**.

8.2 Advice on the Solid and Liquid Waste Management Status

- 8.2.1 The Contractor registered as a chemical waste producer for the Contract. Sufficient numbers of receptacles were available for general refuse collection and sorting.
- 8.2.2 The management of waste generated by the construction is presented in **Table 8.1**.

Table 8.1 – Waste Generated by the Construction and Disposal Ground

| Types of Waste | Disposal Ground |
|--|---|
| Inert C&D Waste (Excluding slurry and bentonite) | Tuen Mun Area 38 |
| Inert C&D Waste (For slurry and bentonite) | Tseung Kwan O Area 137 |
| Non-inert C&D Materials | North East New Territories Landfill (NENT) |
| Sludge | West New Territories Landfill (WENT) |
| | Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal: South Cheung Chau Open Sea Sediment Disposal Area |
| Marine Sediment | Type 1 – Open Sea Disposal (Dedicate Site) and Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal: Contaminated Mud Pit Vb of the Confined Marine Disposal Facilities to the East of Sha Chau |

- 8.2.3 The monthly summary of waste flow table is detailed in **Appendix I**.
- 8.2.4 If off-site disposal is required, the excavated marine mud from the land-based works shall be disposed of at the designated disposal sites within Hong Kong as allocated by the Marine Fill Committee or other locations as agreed by the Director. The Contractor shall ensure no spilling and overflowing of materials during loading / unloading / transportation is allowed.
- 8.2.5 The Contractor was reminded that chemical waste should be properly handled temporarily in designated chemical waste storage area on site in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packing, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Waste.



9. NON-COMPLIANCE, COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATIONS OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

9.1 Non-compliance (Exceedances of AL levels)

- 9.1.1 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hr TSP level at AM1 and AM2 in the reporting month.
- 9.1.2 No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise at CM1, CM2 and CM3 in the reporting month.
- 9.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for water quality at M1, M2 and M3 in the reporting month.
- 9.1.4 No Action / Limit exceedance was recorded for noise levels at stations (NMS1 and NMS2) in close proximity to the active ardeid night roosts in the reporting month.
- 9.1.5 No Action / Limit exceedance was noted for the ecological monitoring of birds in the reporting month.
- 9.1.6 No corrective actions were required according to the Event and Action Plans for the Monitoring Parameters.

9.2 Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

- 9.2.1 No environmental complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions was recorded in the reporting month.
- 9.2.2 Cumulative complaint log, summaries of complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions are presented in **Appendix L**.
- 9.2.3 No corrective actions were required.



10. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURE

10.1 Implementation Status of Environmental Protection and Pollution Control / Mitigation Measures

The Contractor had implemented environmental protection and pollution control / mitigation measures as stated in the EIA Report, the EP and EM&A Manual. **Appendix J summarized the Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures**.

The status of required submissions under the EP as of the reporting period are summarized in **Table 10.1**.

Table 10.1 – Status of submissions required under the EP

| EP Condition (EP-565/2019) | Submission Title | Submission Status |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Condition 2.9 | Construction Phase Emergency Response Plan | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.11 | Pre-construction Ardeid Night Roost Survey Report | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| EM&A Manual Sec. 7.3.3 & 7.3.4 | Baseline Bird Survey Report | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.12 | Noise Mitigation Measures Plan | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.13 | Proposal for Minimization of Overspill Light to Ecological Sensitive Areas | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.14 | Supplementary Contamination Assessment Plan | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.14 | Contamination Assessment Report for Main Storeroom & Workshops | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.14 | Contamination Assessment Report for Mechanical Workshop | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.14 | Contamination Assessment Report for Waste Storage Area | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 2.15 | Landscape and Visual Mitigation Plan | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, to be finalised and made available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 3.3 | Baseline Monitoring Report | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |



| EP Condition (EP-565/2019) | Submission Title | Submission Status |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Condition 3.4 | Monthly EM&A Report (from April 2021 to April 2022) | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 3.5 | Quarterly EM&A Report (from April 2021 to March 2022) | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |
| Condition 4.2 | Environmental Monitoring Data from April 2021 to April 2022 | Submitted to EPD with ET certification and IEC verification, finalised and available for public inspection via the dedicated website. |



11. FUTURE KEY ISSUES

11.1 Construction Programme for the Next Three Month

- Demolition of Admin. Building, Settled Sewage Overflow Chamber, Sludge Holding Tanks no. 1, 3 & 4 (below ground), Water Heater House, Return Activated Sludge Screw Pump Pumping station, Air Floatation Thickener and Auxiliary Pumping Station (below ground);
- Pipe Laying and construction of RC chamber at Zone 2B and subsequence diversion work;
- ELS work and RC structure at IW & PST;
- Piling work at PST & Transformer House;
- Piling work at Sludge Thickening Building;
- ELS works at IW & PST;
- Construction of RC structure at 3 zone (Location D -Temp. Primary Sludge Pumping Station);
- Pipe laying for Zone 3 diversion;
- Backfilling work and installation of pipe pile wall for demolition of Aeration Tank no. 5-8 at AGS;
- Construction of CLP Substation;
- Construction of MiC office;
- Demolition of PST no. 4;
- Ground investigation at SDB, SDT & STB;
- Sheet piling work around Sludge digester no. 1 − 3;
- Installation of brand drain at Biogas Holder no. 1;
- Installation of concrete blocks and soil Surcharge at Biogas Holder no. 1;
- Construction of temp. traffic road at north of SHT no. 3 & 4;
- Construction of PST structure; and
- 3 zone diversion works:
 - a. E&M work at temp. Gravity thickening tank (Atal);
 - b. E&M work at temp. Sludge Holding Tank (Atal);
 - c. E&M work at temp. water heater house (Atal);
 - d. RC work at temp. Primary sludge pumping station;
 - e. ELS, RC construction and E&M work at Temp. digested sludge pump, Ferrie Chloride and Chemical Dosing System;
 - f. E&M work at Digested Sludge Pumping Station.

11.2 Key Issues for the Coming Month

11.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, waste management, ecology, land contamination and landscape and visual impact issues.

11.3 Monitoring Schedules for the next three months

11.3.1 The tentative schedule for environmental monitoring in the next three months is provided in **Appendix E**.



12. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

12.1 Conclusions

- 12.1.1 1-hour TSP impact monitoring was carried out in the reporting month. No Action / Limit Level exceedance at AM1 and AM2 was recorded during the period.
- 12.1.2 Construction noise monitoring was carried out in the reporting month. No Action / Limit Level exceedance at CM1, CM2 and CM3 was recorded during the period.
- 12.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for water quality at M1, M2 and M3 in the reporting month.
- 12.1.4 Ardeid night roost monitoring was carried out in the reporting month. Two active ardeid night roost areas (ANR1 and ANR2) were observed within the Survey Area. These roosts were located at the mangrove strips in the east and northeast portions of the Project boundary. No Action / Limit Level exceedance at NMS1 and NMS2 was recorded during the period.
- 12.1.5 Ecological bird monitoring was carried out in the reporting month. No Action / Limit Level exceedance was recorded for the ecological monitoring of birds on this period.
- 12.1.6 Four environmental site inspections were carried out in the reporting month. Recommendations on mitigation measures for Permit/ Licenses were given to the Contractor for remediating the deficiencies identified during the site inspections.
- 12.1.7 Four landscape and visual site audits were carried out in the reporting month. Recommendations on mitigation measures for Permit/ Licenses were given to the Contractor for remediating the deficiencies identified during the site inspections.
- 12.1.8 No environmental complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution was recorded in the reporting month.



12.2 Comment and Recommendations

- 12.2.1 The recommended environmental mitigation measures, as proposed in the EIA report and EM&A Manual shall be effectively implemented to minimize the potential environmental impacts from the Project. The EM&A programme would effectively monitor the environmental impacts generated from the construction activities and ensure the proper implementation of mitigation measures.
- 12.2.2 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality Impact

No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Construction Noise Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical Waste and Construction Waste Management

• The Contractor is reminded to clean up the oil stain on road with chemical absorbent pad and treat it as chemical waste for disposal.

Land Contamination

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Ecological Impact

- The Contractor is reminded to maintain and reinstate the bird curtains at the east site boundary .
- The Contractor is reminded to maintain and reinstate the bird curtains at the northern and eastern site boundary.

Landscape and Visual Impact

• All trees shall be checked to ensure no construction materials or cables attached to them.

Hazard to Life

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Permit/ Licenses

No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.



Location of Proposed Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant






Location of Construction Dust


Monitoring Stations






Noise Monitoring Locations






Water Quality Monitoring Locations





Ecology Monitoring Locations






Appendix A

Construction Programme








Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone


| World by Frogress Report No. 10 OW II | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 | Rev. 0 | | |
| | | | |





Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone


| IVIONINITY Progress Report No. 16 - SIVIRP | | | |
|--|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 Rev. 0 | | | |
| | | | |





Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work Milestone

| Monthly Progress Report No. 18 - 3MRP | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Date | Revision Checked Approved | | |
| 30-Apr-22 Rev. 0 | | | |
| · | | | |






Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work Milestone

Monthly Progress Report No. 18 - 3MRP (April 2022)

Page 4 of 10


30-Apr-22 Rev. 0





Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work Milestone


| Monthly Frogress Report No. 18 - SMR | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 | Apr-22 Rev. 0 | | |
| | | | |





Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone


| IVIOITII II FTOGIESS NEPOLLINO. 10 - SIVINE | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 | pr-22 Rev. 0 | | |
| | | | |





Remaining Work Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone


| World light Togress Report No. 10 - SWIN | | | |
|--|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 | Rev. 0 | | |
| | | | |





Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone

| World light Togress Report No. 10 - SWIN | | | |
|--|----------|---------|----------|
| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
| 30-Apr-22 | Rev. 0 | | |
| | | | |





Critical Remaining Work ◆ Milestone

| Monthly Progress Report No. 18 - 3MRP | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date Revision Checked Approved | | | | | | | | |
| 30-Apr-22 Rev. 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Activity ID | Activity Name | Orig Early Start Early Finish Total F | | Total Float | | April | | | May | | | June |) | | J | uly | Augu | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------|----|----|-----|------|-------|------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | Dur | , , | | | 18 19 | | | 20 | | 21 22 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 03 10 | 17 | 24 | 01 | 08 1 | 15 22 | 29 | 05 12 | 19 | 26 03 | 10 | 17 | 24 31 |
| Z3BH-1000 | Biogas Holder No. 1 - Band drain Installation for Ground Improvement | 25 | 01-Jun-22 | 30-Jun-22 | -161 | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | drain Installatior |
| Stage 2 : Utility | Corridor Construction | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | - | | | 1 |
| Z3S2-2350 | Switching Duty from SDT No.2 to No. 3 (9) | 0 | | 27-Jun-22 | 104 | | | | | | | - 1 | | • | Switching | Duty from | SDT No.2 to | No. 3 (9) |
| Stage 2 : Utility | Corridor No. 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S2 : UC/PP3 I | Foundation and ELS Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z3S2-2060 | UC/PP 3 - Sheetpile Installation (2,674m2 @90m2/d) | 30 | 25-Jul-22 | 27-Aug-22 | 92 | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Z3S2-2340 | UC/PP 3 - Site Setup & Mobilization | 10 | 28-Jun-22 | 09-Jul-22 | 104 | | | | | | | | | | | UC/P | P 3 - Site Se | etup & Mobilizat |
| Stage 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stage 3 : New S | Sludge Thickening Building (STB) (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Stage 3 : STB F | Foundation and ELS | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| Z3S1a.7-70 | Complete Predrilling Works for STB | 0 | | 30-May-22 | -35 | | | | | | | ◆ Co | omplete Predrilling | Works for S | ГВ : | | | į |
| Z3S3-2090 | STB - Driven H-pile (101 nos, @1.5no/d/rig, 2rigs) | 94 | 04-Jul-22 | 24-Oct-22 | -62 | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| Z3S3-3010 | STB - Site Setup & Mobilization | 9 | 22-Jun-22 | 02-Jul-22 | -62 | | | | | | | | | | STI | B - Site Se | tup & Mobiliz | zation |
| Stage 3 : New S | Sludge Digester No. 1 and 2 (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | 1 | | | |
| Stage 3 : SD 1,2 | 2 Pre-drilling Works | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | | į | | | į |
| Z3S3-2010 | Sludge Digester No. 1-2 - Pre-drill (2 nos. SD-BH9 ,BH10) | 16 | 28-Mar-22 A | 21-May-22 | -52 | | | | | | | | ter No. 1-2 - Pre- | drill (2 nos. SI | | | | |






Contract DC/2019/10 - YLEPP - Main Works for Stage 1 Monthly Progress Report No. 18 - 3MRP (April 2022) Project ID : DWP.DPr13_220514 Layout : DC201910 MPR18-3MRP Page 10 of 10

| Monthly Progress Report No. 18 - 3MRP | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Date Revision Checked Approved | | | | | | | | |
| 30-Apr-22 | Rev. 0 | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |

Appendix B

Project Organization Chart





Appendix C

Action and Limit Levels



Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

| Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level |
|---------------------------|--|-------------|
| 1-hour TSP Level in μg/m³ | ¹ For baseline level ≤ 384 μg/m³, Action level = (baseline level * 1.3 + Limit level)/2; For baseline level > 384 μg/m³, Action level = Limit level | 500 μg/m³ |

Notes:

1. The Action Level for 1-hour TSP Level: a) AMS 2 = (63*1.3 + 500) / 2 = 291 µg/m³; b) AMS 3C = (70*1.3 + 500) / 2 = 296 µg/m³.

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| 0700 - 1900 hours on normal weekdays | When one documented complaint is received | 75 dB(A) * |

Notes:

- 1. If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.
- 2. Correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.

Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Parameters | Action Levels | Limit Levels | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Construction Phase Water Quality Monitoring | | | | | | | |
| DO in mg/L (Surface, Middle & | Surface & Middle 5%-ile of baseline data for surface and middle layer. | Surface & Middle 4 mg/L or 1%-ile of baseline data for surface and middle layer. | | | | | |
| Bottom) ² | Bottom 5%-ile of baseline data for bottom layer. | Bottom 2 mg/L or 1%-ile of baseline data for bottom layer. | | | | | |
| SS in mg/L (depth-averaged ¹) ³ | 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day | 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day | | | | | |
| Turbidity in NTU (depth-averaged ¹) ³ | 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day | 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day | | | | | |

Notes:

- 1. "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths;
- 2. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits;
- 3. For SS and turbidity, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

Action and Limit Levels for Ecology

Active Ardeid Night Roost Survey

As there are no specific guidelines on noise thresholds for roosting ardeids, the Action and Limit levels specified in below table were based on study conducted on exploring behavioural responses of shorebirds to impulsive noise (Wright et al. 2010).

| Time Period | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| after 17:30 during dry season | 65.5 dB(A) ¹ | 72.2 dB(A) ² |
| after 18:00 during wet season | 65.5 dB(A) ² | 72.2 db(A) ² |

Notes:

- 1. Behavioural response of some kind more likely to occur
- 2. Flight with abandonment of the site becomes the most likely outcome of the disturbance

Ecological Monitoring of Birds

| Method | Parameters | Action Level ³ | Limit Level ³ | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Transect | Abundance of all avifauna species (including but not only limited to overwintering waterbirds) in the community | | | | |
| | Species diversity of all avifauna species (including but not only limited to overwintering waterbirds) in the community | | | | |
| | Abundance of species with conservation importance only | Significant decline ^{1,2} in any of these parameters during the current monitoring month | | | |
| Point Count | Species diversity of species with conservation importance only | | Significant decline in any of these | | |
| | Abundance of all avifauna species (including but not only limited to overwintering waterbirds) in the community | relative to the corresponding month during the baseline survey. | parameters for three consecutive months. | | |
| | Species diversity of all avifauna species (including but not only limited to overwintering waterbirds) in the community | | | | |
| | Abundance of species with conservation importance only | | | | |
| | Species diversity of species with conservation importance only | | | | |

Notes:

- 1. Significant decline in abundance will be determined using two-tailed t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$.
- 2. Significant decline in species diversity will be determined using the Hutcheson t-test, two tailed.
- 3. Response will be triggered if any of the above level is reached for each parameter.

Appendix D

Calibration Certificates/ reports of Monitoring Equipments



Air Quality Monitoring Equipments





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 940891CA212394(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

: Laser dust monitor

Manufacturer

: SIBATA

Model No.

: LD-5R

Serial No.

: 155716

Specification Limit

: NA

Next Calibration Date : 02-Sep-2022

Laboratory Information

Description

: 1. Balance

2. TSP high volume air sampler

Equipment ID. / Serial no.: 1. C-065-9

2.4350

Date of Calibration : 03-Sep-2021

Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C

Calibration Location: General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary

Method Used

: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They

should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results:

| Reference concentration (mg/m³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.0416 | 631 | 10.52 |
| 0.0388 | 626 | 10.43 |
| 0.0266 | 598 | 9.97 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.

2. The interpolation equation: Concentration $(mg/m^3) = K \times [UUT reading (CPM)], where K = 0.003460$

3. Correlation coefficient (r): 0.9992

| Checked by : | cem | _ Date :_ | 28 - 9 - 202 | _ Certified by : | ha | _ Date : 38-9(2)21 | |
|--------------------|------|-----------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|--|
| CA-R-297 (22/07/20 | 009) | | | Char | Chun Wai (Ma | anager) | |



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 940891CA212394

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

: Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

: Laser dust monitor

Manufacturer

: SIBATA

Model No.

: LD-5R

Serial No.

: 155717

Specification Limit

: NA

Next Calibration Date : 02-Sep-2022

Laboratory Information

Description

: 1. Balance

2. TSP high volume air sampler

Equipment ID. / Serial no. : 1. C-065-9

2.4350

Date of Calibration : 03-Sep-2021

Ambient Temperature : 25 ± 10 °C

Calibration Location: General Chemical Laboratory of FTS and Ma Wan A1 Site Boundary

Method Used

: By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They

should be placed at the same location and powered on and off at the same time.

Calibration Results:

| Reference concentration (mg/m³) | Total count for 1 hour | CPM (Count per minute) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.0416 | 672 | 11.20 |
| 0.0388 | 650 | 10.83 |
| 0.0266 | 597 | 9.95 |

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.

2. The interpolation equation: Concentration $(mg/m^3) = K \times [UUT reading (CPM)], where K = 0.003345$

3. Correlation coefficient (r):

| Checked by : | Cum | _Date :_ | 28-9-2021 | Certified by : | h- | Date : x -9.212 1 | |
|--------------------|------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--|
| CA-R-297 (22/07/20 | າດ໑າ | | | Cha | n Chun Wai (N | (lanager) | |



19/F, Fugro House – KCC2, 1 Kwai On Rd, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : MaWTF, Ma Wan

Date of Calibration: 26-Jul-21

Location ID: A1 Site Boundary

Next Calibration Date: 30-Oct-21

Technician: Herman Wang

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa): 998.1 Corrected Pressure (mm Hg): 749

Temperature (°C): 34.0 Temperature (K): 307

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make: Tisch
Model: TE-5025A
Calibration Date: 11-Sep-20

Qstd Slope: 2.11508
Qstd Intercept: -0.02962
Expiry Date: 11-Sep-21

CALIBRATIONS

| Plate | H2O (L) | H2O (R) | H2O | Qstd | I | IC | LINEAR |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|----------|---------|-------------|----------------------|
| No. | (in) | (in) | (in) | (m³/min) | (chart) | (corrected) | REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.50 | -6.50 | 12.000 | 1.616 | 57.00 | 55.74 | Slope = 28.3811 |
| 13 | 4.30 | -5.40 | 9.700 | 1.454 | 52.00 | 50.85 | Intercept = 9.9481 |
| 10 | 2.90 | -4.50 | 7.400 | 1.272 | 48.00 | 46.94 | Corr. coeff.= 0.9979 |
| 7 | 1.90 | -2.80 | 4.700 | 1.016 | 39.00 | 38.14 | |
| 5 | 1.00 | -2.00 | 3.000 | 0.815 | 34.00 | 33.25 | |

Calculations:

Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]
IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

Tstd = 298 deg K

Pstd = 760 mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow: 1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





13/F, Fugro House - KCC2, 1 Kwai On Rd, Kwai Chung, NT, Hong Kong.

CALIBRATION REPORT OF WIND METER

Project: Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Date of Calibration: 26-Mar-2022 Location: Yuen Long Sewage Treatment Works Next Calibration Date: 25-Sep-2022

Technician: Sam Fong

Brand: Global Water

Benetech

Model: GL500-7-2 Serial No: 2012000974

Anemometer

Model: GM816 Equipment ID: 08

Procedures:

1. Wind Still Test: The wind speed sensor was held by hand until stabilized.

2. Wind Speed Test: The wind meter was calibrated in-situ and compared with the Anemometer.

3. Wind Direction Test: The wind meter was calibrated in-situ and compared with a marine compass from

four directions.

Wind Still Test:

Brand:

| Wind Speed (m/s) | |
|------------------|--|
| 0.00 | |

Wind Speed Test:

| Global Water (m/s) | Anemometer (m/s) | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 1.4 | 1.2 | | |
| 2.1 | 2.3 | | |
| 2.9 | 2.8 | | |

Wind Direction Test:

| | Marine Compass (o) |
|-----|--------------------|
| 348 | 352 |
| 206 | 208 |
| 267 | 265 |
| 293 | 290 |

- TORY

Wan Ka Ho

Project Consultant

Report Date: 28/3/2022

Noise Monitoring Equipments





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 212769CA212463(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client: Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project: Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

Casella

Model No. Serial No.

Meter Preamplifier Microphone CEL-63X CE-251 CEL-495 1488272 03876 002752

Equipment ID

N/A

Next Calibration Date

27-Oct-2022

Specification Limit

EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description

B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)

Equipment ID. :

R-108-1

Date of Calibration : 28-Oct-2021

Calibration Location: Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Ambient Temperature :

20±2 °C

Method Used

By direct comparison

Relative Humidity

<80% R.H.

Calibration Results:

| Parame | ters | Mean Value (dB) | Specific | ation | Limit(dB) |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| | 4000Hz | 1.8 | 2.6 | to | -0.6 |
| | 2000Hz | 1.5 | 2.8 | to | -0.4 |
| A-weigthing | 1000Hz | 0.2 | 1.1 | to | -1.1 |
| frequency | 500Hz | -3.2 | -1.8 | to | -4.6 |
| response | 250Hz | -8.7 | -7.2 | to | -10.0 |
| | 125Hz | -16.1 | -14.6 | to | -17.6 |
| | 63Hz | -26.2 | -24.7 | to | -27.7 |
| Differential level | 94dB-104dB | 0.0 | | ± 0.6 | 3 |
| linearity | 104dB-114dB | 0.0 | | ± 0.6 | 3 |

Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast
- 4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
- 5 The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to unit under test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during tranportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of

Checked by: CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) _ Date : ___<u>3 -[[- 202]</u> Certified by : __

K. T. Zeung Date: Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager)



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 212769CA220043

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client: Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project: Calibration Services Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

Sound Level Meter

Manufacturer

Casella

Model No.

Serial No.

:

Equipment ID

N-62

Next Calibration Date

05-Jan-2023

Specification Limit

EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1

Meter

CEL-63X

1488304

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description

B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calibrator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)

Microphone

CE-251

03456

Equipment ID. :

R-108-1

Date of Calibration : 06-Jan-2022

Calibration Location: Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Ambient Temperature :

20±2 °C

Method Used

: By direct comparison

Relative Humidity

<80% R.H.

Preamplifier

CEL-495

002850

Calibration Results:

| Parameters | | Mean Value (dB) | Specific | ation | Limit(dB) |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----------|
| | 4000Hz | 2.0 | 2.6 | to | -0.6 |
| | 2000Hz | 1.0 | 2.8 | to | -0.4 |
| A-weigthing | 1000Hz | -0.5 | 1.1 | to | -1.1 |
| frequency | 500Hz | -3.9 | -1.8 | to | -4.6 |
| response | 250Hz | -9.3 | -7.2 | to | -10.0 |
| | 125Hz | -16.8 | -14.6 | to | -17.6 |
| | 63Hz | -26.9 | -24.7 | to | -27.7 |
| Differential level | 94dB-104dB | 0.1 | | ± 0.6 | 3 |
| linearity | 104dB-114dB | 0.1 | | ± 0.6 | i |

Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast.
- 4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
- 5 The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during tranportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

| Checked by : | Date : _ | 10-1-2022 | _ Certified by : | K.h. Lema | _ Date : | 11.1-2022 |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) | | | Leung | Kwok Tai (Assista | nt Manager | .) |



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 212769CA212069(3)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client: Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project: Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer

Casella (Model CEL-120/1)

Serial No.

2383707

Equipment ID

N/A

Next Calibration Date :

25-Aug-2022

Specification Limit

EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Calibration Equipment

Description

Reference Sound level meter

Equipment ID. :

R-119-2

Date of Calibration:

26-Aug-2021

Calibration Location:

Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Ambient Temperature: 20±2 °C

Method Used

By direct comparison

Relative Humidity

<80% R.H.

Calibration Results:

| Parameters (Setting of UUT) | Mean Value (error of measurement) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94dB | -0.4 dB | ±0.4dB |
| 114dB | -0.3 dB | 1 ±0.40B |

Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. The unit under test complies with the specification limit.
- 4. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the unit-under-test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the environmental changes, variation and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

| Checked by : | Date : | 27-8-202 | _Certified by :_ | KINEung | _Date :_ | 27-8-2021 |
|-----------------------|--------|----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) | • | | Leung | Kwok Tai (Assista | ant Manag | jer) |



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 212769CA220043(1)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Client Supplied Information

Client: Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

: Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer

Casella (Model CEL-120/1)

Serial No.

2383982

Equipment ID

N/A

Next Calibration Date :

05-Jan-2023

Specification Limit

EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Description

Reference Sound level meter

Equipment ID. :

R-119-1

Date of Calibration:

06-Jan-2022

Ambient Temperature:

22 °C

Calibration Location:

Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Relative Humidity

: <80% R.H.

Method Used

By direct comparison

Calibration Results:

| Parameters (Setting of UUT) | Mean Value (error of measurement) | Specification Limit(dB) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 94dB | 0.0 dB | 10.4dD |
| 114dB | -0.2 dB | ±0.4dB |

Remarks:

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. The equipment does comply with the specification limit.
- 4. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 212769CA220614

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF ANEMOMETER

Client Supplied Information

Client: Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project: Calibration Services Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description

Anemometer

Manufacturer:

Smart Sensor

Model No.

AR816

Serial No.

N/A

Equipment ID.: AM-001

Next Calibration Date :

28-Mar-2023

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description

Reference Anemometer

Equipment ID.:

R-101-4

Date of Calibration

29-Mar-2022

Ambient Temperature :

22 °C

Calibration Location :

Calibration Laboratory of FTS

Method Used: In-house Method R-C-279

Calibration Results:

| Reference Reading | UUT Reading | Error |
|-------------------|-------------|-------|
| (m/s) | (m/s) | (m/s) |
| 2.1 | 2.0 | -0.1 |
| 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.4 |
| 5.4 | 6.0 | 0.6 |
| 7.0 | 8.0 | 1.0 |
| 8.8 | 10.0 | 1.2 |

Remarks:

- 1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The expanded uncertainty is 0.5 m/s with a coverage factor of 2 at a confidence level of 95%.
- 3. The reported readings in this calibration are an average from 10 trials.

| Checked by : | _ _ Date :_ | 31-3-2022 | _Certified by : | Kit Leung | _ Date :_ | 1-4-2022 |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| CA-R-297 (22/07/2009) | | | Leung Kw | ok Tai (Assistant | Manager) | |

Water Quality Monitoring Equipments





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342



Page 1 of 3

Report on Calibration of YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Information Supplied by Client

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited (MCL)

Client's address : 13/F, Fugro House - KCC2, No. 1 Kwai On Road, Kwai Chung,

N.T., H.K.

Sample description : One YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Client sample ID : Serial No. 19E100633

Test required : Calibration of the YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Laboratory Information

Lab. sample ID : WA220342/1

Date sample received : 23/02/2022

Date of calibration : 28/02/2022

Next calibration date : 27/05/2022

Test method used : In-house comparison method



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342

Page 2 of 3

Results:

A. pH calibration

| A pilouintation | | | |
|--|------|-------|--|
| pH reading at 25°C for Q.C. solution(6.86) and at 25°C for Q.C. solution(9.18) | | | |
| Theoretical Measured Deviation | | | |
| 9.18 | 9.17 | -0.01 | |
| 6.86 | 6.93 | +0.07 | |

B Salinity calibration

| D. Samily Cambianon | والمراقع | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Salinity, ppt | | | | |
| Theoretical | Measured | Deviation | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | ± 0.1 | | |
| 10 | 9.95 | -0.05 | ± 0.5 | | |
| 20 | 20.03 | +0.03 | ± 1.0 | | |
| 30 | 30.02 | +0.02 | ± 1.5 | | |
| 40 | 39.93 | -0.07 | ± 2.0 | | |

C. Dissolved Oxygen calibration

| Trial No. | Dissolved oxygen content, mg/L | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| i ilai ivo. | By Titration | By D.O. meter | |
| 1 | 8.44 | 8.60 | |
| 2 | 8.46 | 8.60 | |
| 3 | 8.74 | 8.61 | |
| Average | 8.55 | 8.60 | |

Differences of D.O. Content between Wrinkler Titration and D.O. meter should be less than 0.2 mg/L.

Certified by

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager - Laboratories

Date



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342

Page 3 of 3

Results:

D. Temperature calibration

| Thermometer reading, °C | Meter reading, °C |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 20.2 | 20.515 |

E. Turbidity calibration

| | Turbidity, N.T.U. | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Theoretical | Measured | Deviation | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | |
| 4 | 4.49 | +0.49 | ± 0.6 | | |
| 8 | 8.60 | +0.60 | ± 0.8 | | |
| 40 | 40.78 | +0.78 | ± 3.0 | | |
| 80 | 79.16 | -0.84 | ± 4.0 | | |

Certified by

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager – Laboratories

Date

** End of Report **



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342(1)



Page 1 of 3

Report on Calibration of YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Information Supplied by Client

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited (MCL)

Client's address : 13/F, Fugro House – KCC2, No. 1 Kwai On Road, Kwai Chung,

N.T., H.K.

Sample description : One YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Client sample ID : Serial No. 19E100634

Test required : Calibration of the YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Laboratory Information

Lab. sample ID : WA220342(1)/1

Date sample received : 23/02/2022

Date of calibration : 28/02/2022

Next calibration date : 27/05/2022

Test method used : In-house comparison method



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342(1)

Page 2 of 3

Results:

A. pH calibration

| A. pri canbration | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| pH reading at 25°C for | pH reading at 25°C for Q.C. solution(6.86) and at 25°C for Q.C. solution(9.18) | | | | |
| Theoretical | Measured | Deviation | | | |
| 9.18 | 9.08 | -0.10 | | | |
| 6.86 | 6.86 | 0.00 | | | |

B. Salinity calibration

| B. Salinity calibration | Paris to the control of the control | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Salinity, ppt | | | | |
| Theoretical | Measured | Deviation | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | |
| 1 | 1.01 | +0.01 | ± 0.1 | | |
| 10 | 9.97 | -0.03 | ± 0.5 | | |
| 20 | 20.02 | +0.02 | ± 1.0 | | |
| 30 | 29.98 | -0.02 | ± 1.5 | | |
| 40 | 40.04 | +0.04 | ± 2.0 | | |

C. Dissolved Oxygen calibration

| Trial No. | Dissolved oxygen content, mg/L | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| iliai IVO. | By Titration | By D.O. meter | |
| 1 | 8.41 | 8.54 | |
| 2 | 8.41 | 8.46 | |
| 3 | 8.36 | 8.44 | |
| Average | 8.39 | 8.48 | |

Differences of D.O. Content between Wrinkler Titration and D.O. meter should be less than 0.2 mg/L.

Certified by

Approved Signatory : HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager – Laboratories

Date

ate : 4510n



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220342(1)

Page 3 of 3

Results:

D. Temperature calibration

| Thermometer reading, °C | Meter reading, °C | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 22.8 | 22.515 | |

E. Turbidity calibration

| | Turbidity, N.T.U. | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Theoretical | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | | | |
| 4 | 4.38 | +0.38 | ± 0.6 | | |
| 8 | 8.18 | +0.18 | ± 0.8 | | |
| 40 | 40.96 | +0.96 | ± 3.0 | | |
| 80 | 80.72 | +0.72 | ± 4.0 | | |

Certified by-

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager – Laboratories

Date

** End of Report **





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220952



Page 1 of 3

Report on Calibration of YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Information Supplied by Client

Client

: Fugro Technical Services Limited (MCL)

Client's address

13/F, Fugro House - KCC2, No. 1 Kwai On Road, Kwai Chung,

N.T., H.K.

Sample description

One YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Client sample ID

Serial No. 19A105807

Test required

Calibration of the YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Laboratory Information

Lab. sample ID

WA220952/1

Date sample received

10/05/2022

Date of calibration

26/05/2022

Next calibration date

25/08/2022

Test method used

In-house comparison method





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220952

Page 2 of 3

Results:

A. pH calibration

| pH reading at 25°C for Q.C. solution(6.86) and at 25°C for Q.C. solution(9.18) | | | |
|--|------|-------|--|
| Theoretical Measured Deviation | | | |
| 9.18 | 9.21 | +0.03 | |
| 6.86 | 6.85 | -0.01 | |

B. Salinity calibration

| Salinity, ppt | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Theoretical | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | ± 0.1 |
| 10 | 10.03 | +0.03 | ± 0.5 |
| 20 | 19.98 | -0.02 | ± 1.0 |
| 30 | 29.97 | -0.07 | ± 1.5 |
| 40 | 40.00 | 0.00 | ± 2.0 |

C. Dissolved Oxygen calibration

| Trial No. | Dissolved oxygen content, mg/L | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Thai No. | By Titration | By D.O. meter | |
| 1 | 8.01 | 8.06 | |
| 2 | 8.01 | 8.04 | |
| 3 | 7.91 | 8.02 | |
| Average | 7.97 | 8.04 | |

Differences of D.O. Content between Wrinkler Titration and D.O. meter should be less than 0.2 mg/L.

Certified by

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager - Laboratories

Date



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220952

Page 3 of 3

Results:

D. Temperature calibration

| Thermometer reading, °C | Meter reading, °C | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 22.7 | 22.856 | |

E. Turbidity calibration

| | Turbidity, N.T.U. | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Theoretical | Maximum acceptable Deviation | | | |
| 4 | 4.23 | +0.23 | ± 0.6 | |
| 8 | 8.50 | +0.50 | ± 0.8 | |
| 40 | 39.81 | -0.19 | ± 3.0 | |
| 80 | 79.98 | -0.02 | ± 4.0 | |

Certified by

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager – Laboratories

116 (20n

Date

** End of Report **



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220593



Page 1 of 3

Report on Calibration of YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Information Supplied by Client

Client

Fugro Technical Services Limited (MCL)

Client's address

13/F, Fugro House - KCC2, No. 1 Kwai On Road, Kwai Chung,

N.T., H.K.

Sample description

One YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Client sample ID

Serial No. 19A105808

Test required

Calibration of the YSI EXO-3 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

Laboratory Information

Lab. sample ID

WA220593/1

Date sample received

28/03/2022

Date of calibration

06/04/2022

Next calibration date

05/07/2022

Test method used

In-house comparison method



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.: 142626WA220593

Page 2 of 3

Results:

nH calibration

| pH reading at 25°C for Q.C. solution(6.86) and at 25°C for Q.C. solution(9.18) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Theoretical | Theoretical Measured Deviation | | | |
| 9.18 | 9.08 | -0.10 | | |
| 6.86 | 6.86 | 0.00 | | |

B Salinity calibration

| Salinity, ppt | | | |
|---------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Theoretical | Measured | Deviation | Maximum acceptable Deviation |
| 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | ± 0.1 |
| 10 | 9.95 | -0.05 | ± 0.5 |
| 20 | 19.08 | -0.20 | ± 1.0 |
| 30 | 30.03 | +0.03 | ± 1.5 |
| 40 | 40.03 | +0.03 | ± 2.0 |

C. Dissolved Oxygen calibration

| T | Dissolved oxyg | Dissolved oxygen content, mg/L | |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Trial No. | By Titration | By D.O. meter | |
| 1 | 8.44 | 8.42 | |
| 2 | 8.39 | 8.36 | |
| 3 | 8.21 | 8.36 | |
| Average | 8.35 | 8.38 | |

Differences of D.O. Content between Wrinkler Titration and D.O. meter should be less than 0.2 mg/L.

Certified by

Approved Signatory: HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager - Laboratories

Date



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report No.:

142626WA220593

Page 3 of 3

Results:

D. Temperature calibration

| Thermometer reading, °C | Meter reading, °C | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 19.8 | 19.892 | |

E. Turbidity calibration

| | Turbidity, N.T.U. | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Theoretical Measured Deviation Maximum accep | | | | | |
| 4 | 4.15 | +0.15 | ± 0.6 | | |
| 8 | 7.50 | -0.50 | ± 0.8 | | |
| 40 | 38.20 | -1.80 | ± 3.0 | | |
| 80 | 79.66 | -0.34 | ± 4.0 | | |

Certified by

Approved Signatory : HO Kin Man, John Assistant General Manager – Laboratories

Date

** End of Report **



CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

This document certifies that the instrument detailed below has been calibrated according to Valeport Limited's Standard Procedures, using equipment with calibrations traceable to UKAS or National Standards.

Calibration Certificate Number:

61134

Instrument Type:

MODEL 106

Instrument Serial Number:

67738

Calibrated By:

N.PADDON

Date:

11TH NOVEMBER 2019

Signed:

× 13P

Full details of the results from the calibration procedure applied to each fitted sensor are available. on request, via email. This summary certificate should be kept with the instrument.



Valeport Limited St. Peter's Quay, Totnes, Devon TQ9 5EW UK

+44 (0) 1803 869292 sales@valeport.co.uk www.valeport.co.uk

ISO 9001







9940 Summers Ridge Road San Diego, CA 92121 Tel: (858) 546-8327 support@sontek.com

Certificate of Calibration

TEST REPORT

| Serial Number | 5906 | |
|--------------------------|------------|--|
| System Type | M9 | |
| System Orientation | Down | |
| Compass Type | Sontek | |
| Compass Offset (degrees) | N/A | |
| Communications Output | RS232 | |
| Recorder Size (GB) | 14.9 | |
| Firmware Version | 4.02 | |
| Date Tested | 05/23/2017 | |
| | | |

POWER TEST

| Command Mode (W): | 0.17 | Range: 0.00 - 0.30 |
|----------------------|------|--------------------|
| Sleep Mode (W): | N/A | Range: N/A |
| Ping Mode - 18V (W): | 2.67 | Range: 1.50 – 3.50 |
| Power Check | | PASS |

NOISE TEST

| 95 |
|------|
| 96 |
| 95 |
| 101 |
| 93 |
| 95 |
| 91 |
| 100 |
| 88 |
| PASS |
| |

VERIFICATION

| Velocity Check | PASS |
|-------------------------|------|
| Transmit Output | PASS |
| Sensitivity | PASS |
| Temperature Sensor | PASS |
| Compass Heading Check | PASS |
| Compass Level Check | PASS |
| Burn-in (24 hrs) | PASS |
| Load Default Parameters | DONE |

OPTIONS

| OT TIOTIS | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| Bottom Track | Installed | |
| SmartPulse HD TM | Enabled | |
| Stationary | Disabled | |
| GPS Compass Integration | Disabled | |
| RiverSurveyor | Enabled | |
| HydroSurveyor | Disabled | |

Verified by: ainthasane

This report was generated on 5/24/2017.

ATTENTION: New Warranty Terms as of March 4, 2013:

This system is covered under a two year limited warranty that extends to all parts and labor for any malfunction due to workmanship or errors in the manufacturing process. The warranty is valid only if you properly maintain and operate this system under normal use as outlined in the User's Manual. The warranty does not cover shortcomings that are due to the design, or any incidental damages as a result of errors in the measurements.

SonTek will repair and/or replace, at its sole option, any product established to be defective with a product of like type. CLAIMS FOR LABOR COSTS AND/OR OTHER CHARGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF SonTek GOODS AND/OR PRODUCTS ARE NOT COVERED BY THIS LIMITED WARRANTY.

SonTek DISCLAIMS ALL EXPRESS WARRANTIES OTHER THAN THOSE CONTAINED ABOVE AND ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND/OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. SonTek DISCLAIMS AND WILL NOT BE LIABLE, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE, IN CONTRACT, TORT OR WARRANTY, FOR ANY SPECIAL, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOST PROFITS, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION LOSSES, LOSS OF GOODWILL, OR LOSS OF BUSINESS OR CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIPS.

If your system is not functioning properly, first try to identify the source of the problem. If additional support is required, we encourage you to contact us immediately. We will work to resolve the problem as quickly as possible.

If the system needs to be returned to the factory, please contact SonTek to obtain a Service Request (SR) number. We reserve the right to refuse receipt of shipments without SRs. We require the system to be shipped back in the original shipping container using the original packing material with all delivery costs covered by the customer (including all taxes and duties). If the system is returned without appropriate packing, the customer will be required to cover the cost of a new packaging crate and material.

The warranty for repairs performed at an authorized SonTek Service Center is one year.

Appendix E

Environmental Monitoring Schedule



Environmental Monitoring Schedule (May 2022)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thur | Fri | Sat |
|-----|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 WQM Mid Flood(8:12) Mid Ebb(15:04) | 4 | 5 WQM Mid Flood(8:53) Mid Ebb(16:11) | 6 AQM, NM | 7 WQM Mid Flood(9:43) Mid Ebb(17:34) |
| 8 | 9 | 10 WQM Mid Flood(14:16) Mid Ebb(9:46) | 11 | 12 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(16:57) Mid Ebb(11:10) | 13 | 14 WQM Mid Flood(18:46) Mid Ebb(12:28) |
| 15 | 16 | 17 EMB WQM Mid Flood(7:41) Mid Ebb(14:28) | 18 AQM, NM | 19 ANRM WQM Mid Flood(8:42) Mid Ebb(15:59) | 20 | 21 AQM WQM Mid Flood(10:07) Mid Ebb(5:30) |
| 22 | 23 | AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(14:54) Mid Ebb(9:55) | 25 | 26 WQM Mid Flood(17:09) Mid Ebb(11:33) | 27 | 28 WQM Mid Flood(18:57) Mid Ebb(12:32) |
| 29 | 30 AQM, NM | 31 WQM Mid Flood(7:01) Mid Ebb(14:12) | | | | |

- 1. Air Quality Monitoring (**AQM**): 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days.
- 2. Noise Monitoring ($\pmb{NM})$: L_{eq} (30 min) during between 0700 1900.
- 3. Water Quality Monitoring (**WQM**): Once per day for 3 days per week.
- 4. Ecological Monitoring of Birds (**EMB**): Once per month.

- 5. Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring (**ANRM**): Once per month.
- 6. Air Quality Location: AM1 and AM2
- 7. Noise Monitoring Location: CM1, CM2 and CM3
- 8. Water Quality Monitoring Location: M1, M2, M3



Environmental Monitoring Schedule (June 2022)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thur | Fri | Sat |
|-----|-----|---|---------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | 1 | 2 WQM Mid Flood(7:53) Mid Ebb(15:23) | 3 | 4 AQM WQM Mid Flood(9:02) Mid Ebb(16:41) |
| 5 | 6 | 7 WQM Mid Flood(11:43) Mid Ebb(6:58) | 8 | 9 WQM Mid Flood(14:58) Mid Ebb(9:40) | 10 AQM, NM | 11 WQM Mid Flood(17:35) Mid Ebb(11:08) |
| 12 | 13 | 14 WQM Mid Flood(6:24) Mid Ebb(13:26) | 15 | 16 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(7:42) Mid Ebb(15:08) | 17 | 18 WQM Mid Flood(9:14) Mid Ebb(16:43) |
| 19 | 20 | 21 WQM Mid Flood(12:46) Mid Ebb(7:56) | 22 AQM, NM | 23 WQM Mid Flood(15:43) Mid Ebb(10:05) | 24 | 25 WQM Mid Flood(18:06) Mid Ebb(11:25) |
| 26 | 27 | 28 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(5:52) Mid Ebb(13:19) | 29 | 30 WQM Mid Flood(6:58) Mid Ebb(14:34) | | |

- 1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition. 6. Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring (ANRM): Once per month.
- 2. Air Quality Monitoring (**AQM**): 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days.
- 3. Noise Monitoring (**NM**): L_{eq} (30 min) during between 0700 1900.
- 4. Water Quality Monitoring (**WQM**): Once per day for 3 days per week.
- 5. Ecological Monitoring of Birds (**EMB**): Once per month.

- 7. Air Quality Location: AM1 and AM2
- 8. Noise Monitoring Location: CM1, CM2 and CM3
- 9. Water Quality Monitoring Location: M1, M2, M3



Environmental Monitoring Schedule (July 2022)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thur | Fri | Sat |
|-----|--------------|--|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | 1 | 2 WQM Mid Flood(8:12) Mid Ebb(15:46) |
| 3 | 4 AQM, NM | 5 WQM Mid Flood(10:33) Mid Ebb(5:26) | 6 | 7 WQM Mid Flood(12:43) Mid Ebb(7:22) | 8 | 9 AQM WQM Mid Flood(16:05) Mid Ebb(9:26) |
| 10 | 11 | 12 WQM Mid Flood(5:13) Mid Ebb(12:26) | 13 | 14 WQM Mid Flood(6:44) Mid Ebb(14:11) | 15 AQM, NM | 16 WQM Mid Flood(8:28) Mid Ebb(15:47) |
| 17 | 18 | 19 WQM Mid Flood(11:17) Mid Ebb(6:08) | 20 | 21 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(13:19) Mid Ebb(8:04) | 22 | 23 WQM Mid Flood(17:03) Mid Ebb(9:57) |
| 24 | 25 | 26 WQM Mid Flood(19:48) Mid Ebb(12:21) | 27 AQM, NM | 28 WQM Mid Flood(20:58) Mid Ebb(13:43) | 29 | 30 WQM Mid Flood(21:57) Mid Ebb(14:57) |

- 1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition. 6. Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring (ANRM): Once per month.
- 2. Air Quality Monitoring (**AQM**): 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days.
- 3. Noise Monitoring (**NM**): L_{eq} (30 min) during between 0700 1900.
- 4. Water Quality Monitoring (**WQM**): Once per day for 3 days per week.
- 5. Ecological Monitoring of Birds (**EMB**): Once per month.

- 7. Air Quality Location: AM1 and AM2
- 8. Noise Monitoring Location: CM1, CM2 and CM3
- 9. Water Quality Monitoring Location: M1, M2, M3



Environmental Monitoring Schedule (August 2022)

| Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thur | Fri | Sat |
|-----|--------------|--|----------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| | 1 | 2 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(9:49) Mid Ebb(16:34) | 3 | 4 WQM Mid Flood(11:24) Mid Ebb(17:42) | 5 | 6 WQM Mid Flood(13:39) Mid Ebb(7:21) |
| 7 | 8 AQM, NM | 9 WQM Mid Flood(19:02) Mid Ebb(11:12) | 10 | 11 WQM Mid Flood(20:36) Mid Ebb(13:11) | 12 | 13 AQM WQM Mid Flood(21:49) Mid Ebb(14:47) |
| 14 | 15 | 16 WQM Mid Flood(10:12) Mid Ebb(16:35) | 17 | 18 WQM Mid Flood(11:42) Mid Ebb(17:34) | 19 AQM, NM | 20 WQM Mid Flood(14:59) Mid Ebb(7:27) |
| 21 | 22 | 23 WQM Mid Flood(19:00) Mid Ebb(11:13) | 24 | 25 AQM, NM WQM Mid Flood(19:56) Mid Ebb(12:45) | 26 | 27 WQM Mid Flood(20:47) Mid Ebb(14:00) |
| 28 | 29 | 30 WQM Mid Flood(9:07) Mid Ebb(15:36) | 31 AQM, NM | | | |

- 1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition. 6. Ardeid Night Roost Monitoring (ANRM): Once per month.
- 2. Air Quality Monitoring (**AQM**): 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days.
- 3. Noise Monitoring (**NM**): L_{eq} (30 min) during between 0700 1900.
- 4. Water Quality Monitoring (**WQM**): Once per day for 3 days per week.
- 5. Ecological Monitoring of Birds (**EMB**): Once per month.

- 7. Air Quality Location: AM1 and AM2
- 8. Noise Monitoring Location: CM1, CM2 and CM3
- 9. Water Quality Monitoring Location: M1, M2, M3



Appendix F

Environmental Monitoring Results



Air Quality Monitoring Results



Air Quality Monitoring Results for

Contract No. SPW 07/2020

Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

AM1 - Topfine Machinery (China) Co. Ltd.


| | | | 1 | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Date | Weather | Start | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | Action Level | Limit Level |
| | Condition | Time | Measurement | Measurement | Measurement | (ug/m ³) | (ug/m ³) |
| 6-May-22 | Fine | 8:27 | 105 | 119 | 130 | | |
| 12-May-22 | Fine | 8:47 | 84 | 70 | 70 | | |
| 18-May-22 | Fine | 8:49 | 63 | 60 | 60 | 291 | 500 |
| 24-May-22 | Cloudy | 8:32 | 98 | 109 | 112 | | |
| 30-May-22 | Cloudy | 8:34 | 74 | 67 | 91 | | |
| | | Min | | 60 | | | |
| | | Max | | 130 | | | |
| | | Average | | 87 | | | |


AM2 - Squatter house at the west of Yuen Long STW

| | | | 1 | -hour TSP (μg/m | 3) | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Date | Weather | Start | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | Action Level | Limit Level |
| | Condition | Time | Measurement | Measurement | Measurement | (ug/m ³) | (ug/m ³) |
| 6-May-22 | Fine | 8:36 | 123 | 116 | 112 | | |
| 12-May-22 | Fine | 8:31 | 91 | 74 | 81 | | |
| 18-May-22 | Fine | 8:34 | 84 | 63 | 67 | 296 | 500 |
| 24-May-22 | Cloudy | 8:43 | 123 | 130 | 109 | | |
| 30-May-22 | Cloudy | 8:45 | 95 | 102 | 95 | | |
| | | Min | | 63 | | | |
| | | Max | | 130 | | | |
| | | Average | | 98 | | | |

Note:

<u>Underline</u>: Exceedance of Action Level <u>Underline and Bold</u>: Exceedance of Limit Level





Air Quality Monitoring Results

Noise Monitoring Results



Noise Monitoring Results for Contract No. SPW 07/2020

Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

CM1 - Squatter house to the north of YLSTW

| Date | Start Time | L _{eq} 30min dB(A) | L ₁₀ dB(A) | L ₉₀ dB(A) | Wind Speed (m/s) | Weather | Limit Level dB(A) |
|-----------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|
| 6-May-22 | 13:16 | 57 | 60 | 53 | 0.6 | Fine | 75 |
| 12-May-22 | 10:09 | 62 | 67 | 60 | 0.6 | Fine | 75 |
| 18-May-22 | 9:59 | 64 | 67 | 62 | 0.7 | Fine | 75 |
| 24-May-22 | 11:27 | 57 | 61 | 52 | 0.1 | Cloudy | 75 |
| 30-May-22 | 10:11 | 56 | 59 | 51 | 0.2 | Cloudy | 75 |
| | Max | 64 | | • | • | | |
| | Min | 56 | | | | | |

CM2 - Squatter house to the west of YLSTW

| Date | Start Time | L _{eq} 30min | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | Wind Speed | Weather | Limit Level |
|-----------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Date | Start Time | dB(A) | dB(A) | dB(A) | (m/s) | weather | dB(A) |
| 6-May-22 | 8:42 | 67 | 69 | 60 | 0.5 | Fine | 75 |
| 12-May-22 | 9:28 | 63 | 67 | 61 | 0.6 | Fine | 75 |
| 18-May-22 | 9:10 | 62 | 67 | 62 | 0.8 | Fine | 75 |
| 24-May-22 | 8:51 | 63 | 66 | 56 | 0.3 | Cloudy | 75 |
| 30-May-22 | 8:52 | 64 | 67 | 56 | 0.2 | Cloudy | 75 |
| | Max | 67 | | • | • | | • |
| | Min | 62 | | | | | |


CM3 - Squatter house to the east of YLSTW


| | | L _{eq} 30min | L ₁₀ | L ₉₀ | Wind Speed | | Limit Level |
|-----------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Date | Start Time | dB(A) | dB(A) | dB(A) | (m/s) | Weather | dB(A) |
| 6-May-22 | 14:08 | 67 | 70 | 58 | 0.6 | Fine | 75 |
| 12-May-22 | 10:48 | 67 | 69 | 64 | 0.8 | Fine | 75 |
| 18-May-22 | 10:51 | 64 | 67 | 62 | 0.7 | Fine | 75 |
| 24-May-22 | 13:02 | 66 | 71 | 57 | 0.3 | Cloudy | 75 |
| 30-May-22 | 11:29 | 64 | 68 | 56 | 0.3 | Cloudy | 75 |
| | Max | 67 | | | • | • | |
| | Min | 64 | | | | | |


Note

CM1, CM2 and CM3: Free-field measurement (+3dB(A) correction has been applied).

No raining or wind with speed over 5 m/s was observed during noise monitoring according to the onsite observation.







Noise Monitoring Results

Water Quality Monitoring Results



Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | o. | | | | | | | In-situ Me | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | F | Н | Sali (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | | turation %) | Di (mg | | | oidity TU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 8:25 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 1 | 0.29 | 181 | 7.63 | 7.63 | 8.02 | 8.03 | 21.02 | 21.03 | 63.3 | 63.5 | 5.23 | 5.25 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 35 | 35 |
| M1 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 8:25 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 2 | 0.29 | 101 | 7.62 | 7.63 | 8.04 | 8.03 | 21.04 | 21.03 | 63.7 | 63.5 | 5.26 | 5.25 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 34 | 30 |
| M2 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 8:43 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.313 | 229 | 7.75 | 7.75 | 7.21 | 7.22 | 21.53 | 21.54 | 60.4 | 60.3 | 4.99 | 4.98 | 26.8 | 27.0 | 32 | 33 |
| M2 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 8:43 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 2 | 0.515 | 229 | 7.74 | 7.75 | 7.23 | 1.22 | 21.54 | 21.54 | 60.1 | 60.3 | 4.97 | 4.98 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 33 | 33 |
| M3 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:20 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.038 | 168 | 7.70 | 7.71 | 7.30 | 7.29 | 22.11 | 22.10 | 73.1 | 73.4 | 6.12 | 6.15 | 27.3 | 27.1 | 47 | 40 |
| M3 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:20 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.038 | 168 | 7.71 | 7.71 | 7.28 | 7.29 | 22.08 | 22.10 | 73.7 | 73.4 | 6.18 | 6.15 | 26.9 | 27.1 | 44 | 46 |
| M1 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Smooth | 15:19 | 2 | M | 1 | 1 | 0.336 | 265 | 7.70 | 7.70 | 6.82 | 6.82 | 26.11 | 26.11 | 67.3 | 67.6 | 5.32 | 5.35 | 31.4 | 31.2 | 21 | 20 |
| M1 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Smooth | 15:19 | 2 | M | 1 | 2 | 0.336 | 265 | 7.69 | 7.70 | 6.81 | 6.82 | 26.10 | 26.11 | 67.9 | 67.6 | 5.37 | 5.35 | 30.9 | 31.2 | 19 | 20 |
| M2 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Smooth | 15:04 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.325 | 293 | 7.58 | 7.59 | 6.58 | 6.59 | 26.49 | 26.50 | 69.3 | 69.7 | 5.81 | 5.85 | 29.0 | 28.8 | 37 | 36 |
| M2 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Smooth | 15:04 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 2 | 0.323 | 295 | 7.60 | 7.59 | 6.59 | 6.59 | 26.50 | 26.50 | 70.1 | 69.7 | 5.88 | 5.85 | 28.6 | 28.8 | 35 | 36 |
| M3 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 15:10 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.052 | 74 | 7.64 | 7.66 | 7.92 | 7.93 | 22.19 | 22.22 | 68.3 | 68.2 | 5.84 | 5.83 | 40.7 | 40.4 | 56 | 53 |
| M3 | 3/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 15:10 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.052 | /1 | 7.68 | 1.00 | 7.93 | 7.93 | 22.24 | 22.22 | 68.1 | 08.2 | 5.81 | 5.83 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 50 | 53 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| For Flood 1 lae | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Monitoring | | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

For Ebb Tide

| TOT EDD TIME | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2 25 | 1 91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | ry Analysis |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------|------|----------|------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sat | | D (mg | | Turk (N1 | oidity ΓU) | Total Su: Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:03 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.058 | 143 | 7.60 | 7.61 | 7.53 | 7.54 | 24.52 | 24.52 | 66.4 | 66.3 | 5.31 | 5.30 | 27.4 | 27.3 | 46 | 47 |
| M1 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:03 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.036 | 145 | 7.61 | 7.01 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 24.53 | 24.52 | 66.2 | 00.3 | 5.29 | 5.30 | 27.3 | 21.3 | 47 | 47 |
| M2 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:21 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.04 | 91 | 7.57 | 7.56 | 7.38 | 7.39 | 24.77 | 24.77 | 63.4 | 63.6 | 4.97 | 5.00 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 47 | 45 |
| M2 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:21 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.04 | 91 | 7.54 | 7.56 | 7.39 | 7.39 | 24.77 | 24.77 | 63.7 | 03.0 | 5.02 | 5.00 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 43 | 45 |
| M3 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Calm | 8:58 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.291 | 86 | 7.54 | 7.55 | 7.19 | 7.19 | 22.04 | 22.05 | 58.7 | 59.1 | 4.71 | 4.75 | 33.4 | 33.7 | 42 | 42 |
| M3 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Calm | 8:58 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.291 | 00 | 7.56 | 7.55 | 7.19 | 7.19 | 22.05 | 22.03 | 59.5 | 39.1 | 4.78 | 4.75 | 34.1 | 33.1 | 41 | 42 |
| M1 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:38 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.068 | 243 | 7.60 | 7.61 | 9.44 | 9.46 | 24.89 | 24.89 | 66.9 | 66.7 | 5.24 | 5.23 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 42 | 43 |
| M1 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:38 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.008 | 243 | 7.61 | 7.01 | 9.47 | 9.40 | 24.88 | 24.09 | 66.4 | 00.7 | 5.21 | 3.23 | 23.1 | 23.2 | 44 | 43 |
| M2 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:21 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.104 | 76 | 7.58 | 7.56 | 9.29 | 9.29 | 24.76 | 24.76 | 61.4 | 61.5 | 4.91 | 4.93 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 39 | 38 |
| M2 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:21 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.104 | 70 | 7.54 | 7.30 | 9.28 | 9.29 | 24.76 | 24.76 | 61.6 | 61.5 | 4.94 | 4.93 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 36 | 30 |
| M3 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Calm | 16:13 | 0.6 | М | 0.3 | 1 | 0.306 | 264 | 7.47 | 7.48 | 8.32 | 8.33 | 27.13 | 27.14 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 5.44 | 5.43 | 37.0 | 36.6 | 44 | 43 |
| M3 | 5/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Calm | 16:13 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.300 | 204 | 7.48 | 7.40 | 8.33 | 0.33 | 27.14 | 21.14 | 68.1 | 00.3 | 5.41 | 3.43 | 36.3 | 30.0 | 41 | 43 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | 5 | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| or Edd Hac | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 10 | N. | TU | 9 | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | o. | | | | | | | In-situ Me | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | F | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sa | turation %) | D (mg | | | bidity TU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:47 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.058 | 117 | 7.63 | 7.64 | 5.88 | 5.88 | 26.19 | 26.20 | 80.5 | 80.6 | 6.31 | 6.32 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 28 | 27 |
| M1 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:47 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.056 | 117 | 7.64 | 7.64 | 5.87 | 5.88 | 26.21 | 26.20 | 80.6 | 80.6 | 6.32 | 6.32 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 26 | 21 |
| M2 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:59 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.055 | 73 | 7.68 | 7.69 | 5.83 | 5.84 | 26.34 | 26.34 | 79.7 | 79.7 | 6.22 | 6.22 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28 | 27 |
| M2 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:59 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.055 | /3 | 7.69 | 7.69 | 5.84 | 5.84 | 26.33 | 26.34 | 79.6 | 79.7 | 6.21 | 6.22 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 26 | 21 |
| M3 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 9:49 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.000 | 7.0 | 7.01 | 7.00 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 27.03 | 27.04 | 53.4 | 50.0 | 4.15 | | 30.8 | 30.6 | 40 | |
| M3 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 9:49 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.238 | 76 | 7.03 | 7.02 | 4.19 | 4.20 | 27.05 | 27.04 | 53.1 | 53.3 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 38 | 39 |
| M1 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 18:06 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.084 | 31 | 7.81 | 7.82 | 5.89 | 5.89 | 25.41 | 25.42 | 88.8 | 88.6 | 6.95 | 6.95 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 26 | 25 |
| M1 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 18:06 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.084 | 31 | 7.83 | 7.82 | 5.88 | 5.89 | 25.43 | 25.42 | 88.4 | 88.6 | 6.94 | 6.95 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 24 | 25 |
| M2 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 17:48 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 1 | 0.037 | 47 | 7.77 | 7.76 | 5.91 | 5.92 | 26.00 | 25.92 | 87.9 | 87.7 | 6.90 | 6.89 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 20 | 20 |
| M2 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 17:48 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.037 | 47 | 7.74 | 7.76 | 5.93 | 5.92 | 25.84 | 25.92 | 87.4 | 87.7 | 6.87 | 6.89 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 20 | 20 |
| M3 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 17:36 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 1 | 0.311 | 257 | 7.22 | 7.21 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 26.84 | 26.84 | 61.3 | 61.6 | 4.77 | 4.80 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 39 | 41 |
| M3 | 7/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 17:36 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.311 | 257 | 7.20 | 1.21 | 4.82 | 4.83 | 26.83 | 20.84 | 61.9 | 01.0 | 4.82 | 4.80 | 39.9 | 40.4 | 43 | 41 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | 5 | S |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| TOT EDD TIGE | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | S | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | m. | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|------------|------|----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | н | Sali (p | | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sar (% | turation %) | Di (mg | | | oidity FU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | ids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:31 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.042 | 193 | 7.21 | 7.22 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 26.14 | 26.14 | 55.3 | 55.6 | 4.89 | 4.90 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 21 | 21 |
| M1 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:31 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.042 | 155 | 7.23 | 1.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 26.13 | 20.14 | 55.8 | 33.6 | 4.91 | 4.90 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 21 | 21 |
| M2 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:16 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.063 | 220 | 7.31 | 7.32 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 25.87 | 25.88 | 59.1 | 59.3 | 5.03 | 5.06 | 28.1 | 28.2 | 22 | 21 |
| M2 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:16 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.003 | 220 | 7.33 | 7.32 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 25.88 | 25.88 | 59.4 | 59.3 | 5.09 | 5.06 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 19 | 21 |
| M3 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:38 | 1.4 | M | 0.7 | 1 | 0.052 | 71 | 7.21 | 7.23 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 26.29 | 26.27 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 5.11 | 5.09 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 25 | 24 |
| M3 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 14:38 | 1.4 | M | 0.7 | 2 | 0.052 | /1 | 7.24 | 7.23 | 4.22 | 4.23 | 26.24 | 26.27 | 64.7 | 64.8 | 5.07 | 5.09 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 22 | 24 |
| M1 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 9:55 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.105 | 71 | 7.13 | 7.14 | 5.03 | 5.04 | 27.11 | 27.15 | 68.3 | 68.4 | 5.24 | 5.25 | 29.9 | 30.0 | 47 | 46 |
| M1 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 9:55 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.105 | /1 | 7.14 | 7.14 | 5.04 | 5.04 | 27.19 | 27.15 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 5.25 | 5.25 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 44 | 46 |
| M2 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:15 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 1 | 0.082 | 56 | 7.12 | 7.13 | 4.41 | 4.42 | 27.28 | 27.29 | 77.0 | 76.7 | 6.05 | 6.05 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 46 | 45 |
| M2 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:15 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.062 | 36 | 7.13 | 7.13 | 4.42 | 4.42 | 27.29 | 21.29 | 76.4 | 10.7 | 6.04 | 0.05 | 25.3 | 20.3 | 43 | 40 |
| M3 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:03 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 1 | 0.042 | 145 | 7.27 | 7.28 | 4.05 | 4.06 | 26.15 | 26.15 | 61.3 | 61.5 | 4.85 | 4.87 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 23 | 23 |
| M3 | 10/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:03 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 2 | 0.042 | 145 | 7.28 | 1.20 | 4.07 | 4.00 | 26.14 | 20.13 | 61.7 | 61.5 | 4.89 | 4.07 | 35.1 | 33.1 | 22 | 23 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | 5 | S |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| TOT EDD TIGE | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | S | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------|------|----------|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sat | | D (mg | | Turk (N1 | idity TU) | Total Su: Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:28 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.135 | 69 | 7.71 | 7.72 | 9.07 | 9.08 | 24.91 | 24.92 | 76.1 | 76.2 | 6.34 | 6.35 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9 | 0 |
| M1 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:28 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.133 | 09 | 7.72 | 1.12 | 9.08 | 9.08 | 24.92 | 24.92 | 76.2 | 76.2 | 6.35 | 6.35 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 8 | 9 |
| M2 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:05 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.106 | 73 | 7.66 | 7.65 | 9.14 | 9.16 | 25.03 | 25.01 | 74.3 | 74.6 | 6.21 | 6.25 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 9 | 40 |
| M2 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:05 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.106 | /3 | 7.64 | 7.00 | 9.18 | 9.16 | 24.98 | 25.01 | 74.8 | 74.6 | 6.28 | 6.25 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 10 | 10 |
| M3 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:11 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.103 | 01 | 8.06 | 8.07 | 8.03 | 8.04 | 24.13 | 24.14 | 93.7 | 93.7 | 7.31 | 7.30 | 42.8 | 43.3 | 20 | 21 |
| M3 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Moderate | 17:11 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.103 | 91 | 8.07 | 8.07 | 8.04 | 8.04 | 24.14 | 24.14 | 93.6 | 93.7 | 7.29 | 7.30 | 43.9 | 43.3 | 21 | 21 |
| M1 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:19 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.056 | 121 | 7.68 | 7.66 | 8.11 | 8.12 | 25.41 | 25.41 | 87.3 | 87.4 | 6.58 | 6.59 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 7 | 7 |
| M1 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:19 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.056 | 121 | 7.64 | 7.00 | 8.13 | 0.12 | 25.41 | 23.41 | 87.4 | 07.4 | 6.59 | 0.59 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 7 | , |
| M2 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:38 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.097 | 134 | 7.61 | 7.62 | 8.57 | 8.58 | 25.87 | 25.88 | 90.2 | 90.3 | 6.84 | 6.85 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 7 | 7 |
| M2 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:38 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.097 | 134 | 7.62 | 1.62 | 8.58 | 0.58 | 25.89 | 20.88 | 90.3 | 90.3 | 6.85 | 0.85 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 7 | ′ |
| M3 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:20 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.135 | 69 | 7.77 | 7.78 | 10.18 | 10.18 | 24.85 | 24.86 | 91.3 | 91.4 | 7.06 | 7.08 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 20 | 10 |
| M3 | 12/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Moderate | 11:20 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.133 | 09 | 7.78 | 1.70 | 10.17 | 10.10 | 24.86 | 24.00 | 91.5 | 31.4 | 7.09 | 1.00 | 37.5 | 31.4 | 18 | 13 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | 5 | S |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| TOT EDD TIGE | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | S | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | m. | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | / Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sa | turation %) | Di (mg | | | oidity FU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | ids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 19:06 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.039 | 57 | 7.03 | 7.04 | 2.54 | 2.55 | 26.12 | 26.13 | 82.8 | 82.9 | 6.81 | 6.82 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 8 | 0 |
| M1 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 19:06 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.033 | 37 | 7.04 | 7.04 | 2.56 | 2.55 | 26.14 | 20.13 | 82.9 | 02.9 | 6.83 | 0.02 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 9 | 9 |
| M2 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 18:48 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.049 | 71 | 7.11 | 7.12 | 2.94 | 2.96 | 24.55 | 24.52 | 80.0 | 79.9 | 6.66 | 6.62 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 10 | 40 |
| M2 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 18:48 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.049 | /1 | 7.12 | 7.12 | 2.98 | 2.96 | 24.49 | 24.52 | 79.7 | 79.9 | 6.58 | 0.02 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 9 | 10 |
| M3 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 18:59 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.042 | 72 | 7.09 | 7.09 | 3.82 | 3.83 | 25.26 | 25.25 | 50.8 | 50.8 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 40.8 | 41.3 | 8 | 0 |
| M3 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 18:59 | 1.2 | М | 0.6 | 2 | 0.042 | 12 | 7.08 | 7.09 | 3.84 | 3.83 | 25.24 | 25.25 | 50.7 | 50.8 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 9 | 9 |
| M1 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:29 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.072 | 123 | 6.93 | 6.95 | 3.03 | 3.04 | 24.40 | 24.40 | 56.0 | 55.9 | 4.67 | 4.63 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 10 | 40 |
| M1 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:29 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.072 | 123 | 6.97 | 6.95 | 3.04 | 3.04 | 24.40 | 24.40 | 55.8 | 55.9 | 4.58 | 4.63 | 31.9 | 31.7 | 9 | 10 |
| M2 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:47 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 1 | 0.102 | 311 | 7.15 | 7.15 | 3.27 | 3.27 | 24.97 | 24.98 | 70.1 | 70.2 | 5.82 | 5.83 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 8 | 0 |
| M2 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:47 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.102 | 311 | 7.14 | 7.15 | 3.26 | 3.27 | 24.98 | 24.98 | 70.3 | 10.2 | 5.84 | 5.83 | 30.3 | 30.3 | 9 | 9 |
| M3 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:51 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.062 | 175 | 7.04 | 7.05 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 24.88 | 24.88 | 52.5 | 52.5 | 4.34 | 4.33 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 26 | 27 |
| M3 | 14/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:51 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.062 | 1/5 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 4.13 | 4.12 | 24.88 | 24.88 | 52.4 | 52.5 | 4.31 | 4.33 | 44.3 | 44.2 | 28 | 21 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

For Flood Tid

| For Flood 1 lae | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Monitoring | | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

For Ebb Tide Monitoring

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | TU | S | iS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------|------|-----------|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sat | | D((mg | | Turb (N1 | idity TU) | Total Su: Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:47 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.063 | 144 | 7.21 | 7.23 | 1.38 | 1.36 | 24.32 | 24.33 | 48.5 | 48.7 | 4.02 | 4.04 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 16 | 17 |
| M1 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:47 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.003 | 144 | 7.24 | 1.23 | 1.33 | 1.30 | 24.33 | 24.33 | 48.9 | 48.7 | 4.06 | 4.04 | 25.0 | 25.1 | 17 | 17 |
| M2 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:05 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.078 | 76 | 7.30 | 7.31 | 1.49 | 1.48 | 25.17 | 25.18 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 2.97 | 2.97 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 19 | 40 |
| M2 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:05 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.078 | 76 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 1.46 | 1.48 | 25.18 | 25.18 | 46.8 | 46.9 | 2.96 | 2.97 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 19 | 19 |
| M3 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 7:56 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 1 | 0.06 | 92 | 6.99 | 7.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 24.62 | 24.63 | 47.7 | 47.6 | 3.95 | 3.95 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 25 | 26 |
| M3 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Smooth | 7:56 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 2 | 0.06 | 92 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 24.63 | 24.03 | 47.5 | 47.0 | 3.94 | 3.93 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 27 | 20 |
| M1 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 15:01 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.065 | 55 | 7.41 | 7.42 | 2.91 | 2.92 | 24.19 | 24.19 | 50.1 | 50.2 | 3.26 | 3.25 | 26.2 | 26.2 | 16 | 16 |
| M1 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 15:01 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.065 | 33 | 7.42 | 1.42 | 2.92 | 2.92 | 24.18 | 24.19 | 50.2 | 30.2 | 3.24 | 3.23 | 26.2 | 20.2 | 16 | 10 |
| M2 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:36 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.072 | 76 | 7.34 | 7.35 | 2.44 | 2.43 | 23.29 | 23.29 | 48.1 | 48.2 | 3.09 | 3.07 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 20 | 21 |
| M2 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:36 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.072 | 70 | 7.36 | 7.33 | 2.41 | 2.43 | 23.28 | 23.29 | 48.3 | 40.2 | 3.04 | 3.07 | 26.1 | 20.1 | 22 | 21 |
| M3 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Calm | 14:32 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.04 | 73 | 7.12 | 7.12 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 24.31 | 24.31 | 48.8 | 48.8 | 4.06 | 4.06 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 12 | 12 |
| M3 | 17/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Calm | 14:32 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.04 | /3 | 7.12 | 7.12 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 24.31 | 24.31 | 48.7 | 40.0 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 25.3 | 24.0 | 12 | '- |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| 01 200 1100 | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 10 | N. | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | o. | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | | turation %) | Di (mg | | | oidity FU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | ids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:46 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.105 | 55 | 7.10 | 7.11 | 4.58 | 4.57 | 23.44 | 23.44 | 49.1 | 49.2 | 3.97 | 3.98 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 12 | 12 |
| M1 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:46 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.103 | 33 | 7.11 | 7.11 | 4.55 | 4.57 | 23.43 | 23.44 | 49.3 | 49.2 | 3.99 | 3.90 | 28.5 | 20.0 | 12 | 12 |
| M2 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:04 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.082 | 45 | 7.13 | 7.14 | 4.61 | 4.62 | 23.91 | 23.93 | 50.8 | 50.9 | 4.02 | 4.03 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 19 | 18 |
| M2 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 9:04 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.082 | 45 | 7.14 | 7.14 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 23.94 | 23.93 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 4.03 | 4.03 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 17 | 18 |
| M3 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:51 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.062 | 128 | 7.07 | 7.06 | 2.25 | 2.26 | 24.24 | 24.27 | 42.3 | 42.5 | 3.50 | 3.52 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 57 | 55 |
| M3 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 8:51 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.062 | 128 | 7.05 | 7.06 | 2.26 | 2.20 | 24.29 | 24.27 | 42.6 | 42.5 | 3.54 | 3.52 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 52 | 55 |
| M1 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:29 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.093 | 214 | 7.41 | 7.44 | 5.44 | 5.44 | 22.49 | 22.49 | 56.1 | 56.5 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 38 | 38 |
| M1 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:29 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.093 | 214 | 7.46 | 7.44 | 5.43 | 5.44 | 22.49 | 22.49 | 56.8 | 56.5 | 4.69 | 4.08 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 37 | 38 |
| M2 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:10 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.06 | 203 | 7.33 | 7.34 | 5.28 | 5.29 | 22.97 | 22.97 | 53.4 | 53.6 | 4.51 | 4.53 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 50 | 48 |
| M2 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:10 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.06 | 203 | 7.34 | 1.34 | 5.29 | 5.29 | 22.96 | 22.97 | 53.7 | 53.6 | 4.54 | 4.53 | 30.2 | 30.1 | 46 | 40 |
| M3 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:10 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.092 | 71 | 7.18 | 7.16 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 24.44 | 24.46 | 44.1 | 44.2 | 3.61 | 3.63 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 51 | 54 |
| M3 | 19/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 16:10 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.092 | /1 | 7.14 | 7.10 | 2.37 | 2.30 | 24.48 | 24.40 | 44.3 | 44.2 | 3.64 | 3.03 | 42.6 | 42.0 | 56 | 34 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | 5 | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N ⁻ | TU | S | S |
|--------------------|------|------|----------------|------|------|----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 60.9 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | m. | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | | turation %) | Di (mg | | Turk (N1 | oidity FU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | ids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:29 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.065 | 196 | 7.14 | 7.14 | 2.09 | 2.09 | 24.51 | 24.52 | 63.9 | 63.9 | 5.44 | 5.44 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 40 | 42 |
| M1 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:29 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.065 | 190 | 7.13 | 7.14 | 2.08 | 2.09 | 24.53 | 24.52 | 63.8 | 63.9 | 5.43 | 5.44 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 43 | 42 |
| M2 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:11 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.062 | 243 | 7.19 | 7.17 | 2.04 | 2.05 | 24.18 | 24.18 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 5.61 | 5.59 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 49 | 50 |
| M2 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:11 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.062 | 243 | 7.14 | 7.17 | 2.06 | 2.05 | 24.17 | 24.18 | 64.8 | 64.9 | 5.57 | 5.59 | 27.2 | 21.2 | 51 | 50 |
| M3 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:24 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 1 | 0.072 | 94 | 7.17 | 7.40 | 2.11 | 2.12 | 27.63 | 27.64 | 51.5 | 51.7 | 4.27 | 4.28 | 40.7 | 40.8 | 49 | 51 |
| M3 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 10:24 | 1.1 | M | 0.55 | 2 | 0.072 | 94 | 7.14 | 7.16 | 2.13 | 2.12 | 27.64 | 27.64 | 51.8 | 51.7 | 4.28 | 4.28 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 52 | 51 |
| M1 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 5:47 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.093 | 77 | 7.31 | 7.33 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 26.31 | 26.31 | 73.5 | 73.7 | 5.85 | 5.87 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 39 | 39 |
| M1 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 5:47 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.093 | // | 7.34 | 7.33 | 2.53 | 2.52 | 26.31 | 26.31 | 73.8 | 13.1 | 5.89 | 5.87 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 39 | 39 |
| M2 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 6:06 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 1 | 0.084 | 104 | 7.29 | 7.29 | 2.44 | 2.46 | 25.14 | 25.14 | 73.1 | 73.2 | 5.74 | 5.74 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 44 | 43 |
| M2 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 6:06 | 0.6 | M | 0.3 | 2 | 0.064 | 104 | 7.28 | 7.29 | 2.48 | 2.46 | 25.13 | 25.14 | 73.2 | 13.2 | 5.73 | 5.74 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 41 | 43 |
| M3 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 5:40 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.062 | 165 | 7.15 | 7.16 | 2.08 | 2.09 | 27.65 | 27.67 | 50.5 | 50.7 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 41.9 | 41.8 | 59 | 58 |
| M3 | 21/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 5:40 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.062 | 102 | 7.16 | 7.10 | 2.09 | 2.09 | 27.69 | 21.01 | 50.8 | 50.7 | 4.14 | 4.13 | 41.7 | 41.8 | 56 | 56 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | TU | 9 | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| TOT EDD TIME | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 60 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | o. | | | | | | | In-situ Me | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | | turation %) | Di (mg | | | bidity TU) | Total Su: Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 15:18 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 1 | 0.228 | 210 | 7.16 | 7.17 | 3.35 | 3.36 | 24.54 | 24.54 | 58.4 | 58.7 | 4.64 | 4.66 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 47 | 46 |
| M1 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 15:18 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 2 | 0.228 | 210 | 7.18 | 7.17 | 3.36 | 3.30 | 24.53 | 24.54 | 58.9 | 58.7 | 4.68 | 4.00 | 29.6 | 30.1 | 44 | 46 |
| M2 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 15:01 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.249 | 266 | 7.13 | 7.13 | 3.04 | 3.04 | 24.81 | 24.81 | 55.3 | 55.1 | 4.39 | 4.37 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 35 | 35 |
| M2 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 15:01 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 2 | 0.249 | 200 | 7.13 | 7.13 | 3.03 | 3.04 | 24.81 | 24.81 | 54.8 | 55.1 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 35 | 35 |
| M3 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 15:05 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 1 | 0.040 | ٠ | 7.29 | 7.00 | 1.64 | 4.05 | 26.91 | 26.92 | 72.7 | 70.0 | 5.75 | 5.77 | 31.4 | 04.4 | 51 | 40 |
| M3 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 15:05 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 2 | 0.048 | 71 | 7.28 | 7.29 | 1.66 | 1.65 | 26.93 | 26.92 | 73.1 | 72.9 | 5.79 | 5.77 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 46 | 49 |
| M1 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 10:10 | 2 | M | 1 | 1 | 0.210 | 287 | 7.20 | 7.20 | 2.10 | 2.11 | 26.07 | 26.08 | 74.7 | 74.5 | 5.90 | 5.88 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 42 | 40 |
| M1 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 10:10 | 2 | М | 1 | 2 | 0.218 | 287 | 7.19 | 7.20 | 2.12 | 2.11 | 26.08 | 26.08 | 74.2 | 74.5 | 5.86 | 5.88 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 43 | 43 |
| M2 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 10:30 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.225 | 302 | 7.27 | 7.07 | 2.48 | 2.48 | 26.61 | 26.62 | 69.1 | CO F | 5.46 | 5.49 | 27.4 | 27.3 | 44 | 40 |
| M2 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 10:30 | 1 | М | 0.5 | 2 | 0.225 | 502 | 7.26 | 7.27 | 2.47 | 2.48 | 26.62 | ∠0.62 | 69.8 | 69.5 | 5.52 | 5.49 | 27.3 | 27.3 | 41 | 43 |
| M3 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:10 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.066 | 145 | 7.98 | 7.98 | 2.12 | 2.13 | 26.70 | 26.71 | 81.4 | 81.2 | 7.34 | 7.33 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 53 | 51 |
| M3 | 24/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 10:10 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.066 | 145 | 7.97 | 7.98 | 2.14 | 2.13 | 26.72 | 20.71 | 80.9 | 01.2 | 7.31 | 1.33 | 26.1 | 20.2 | 49 | 51 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

For Flood Tide

| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

For Ebb Tide

| 01 200 1100 | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2 25 | 1 91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | o. | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | F | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sa | turation %) | Di (mg | | Turk (N1 | oidity ΓU) | Total Sus Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 17:30 | 1.4 | M | 0.7 | 1 | 0.066 | 134 | 7.26 | 7.25 | 2.97 | 2.98 | 26.11 | 26.15 | 48.3 | 48.4 | 3.62 | 3.64 | 28.9 | 28.9 | 41 | 43 |
| M1 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 17:30 | 1.4 | M | 0.7 | 2 | 0.000 | 154 | 7.24 | 7.25 | 2.99 | 2.98 | 26.18 | 26.15 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 3.65 | 3.64 | 28.9 | 28.9 | 44 | 43 |
| M2 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 17:10 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.106 | 92 | 7.13 | 7.14 | 2.85 | 2.87 | 27.06 | 27.05 | 49.7 | 49.8 | 3.86 | 3.88 | 29.3 | 20.2 | 26 | 26 |
| M2 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 17:10 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 2 | 0.100 | 92 | 7.14 | 7.14 | 2.88 | 2.87 | 27.04 | 27.05 | 49.9 | 49.8 | 3.89 | 3.88 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 25 | 26 |
| M3 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 17:13 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 1 | 0.22 | 00 | 7.20 | 7.00 | 3.60 | 0.04 | 28.32 | 28.32 | 63.1 | 00.5 | 4.93 | 4.00 | 40.2 | 40.0 | 39 | |
| M3 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 17:13 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 2 | 0.23 | 90 | 7.19 | 7.20 | 3.61 | 3.61 | 28.31 | 28.32 | 63.8 | 63.5 | 4.99 | 4.96 | 39.9 | 40.0 | 39 | 39 |
| M1 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 11:49 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.065 | 80 | 7.39 | 7.39 | 1.67 | 1.68 | 27.60 | 27.61 | 72.5 | 72.0 | 5.71 | 5.72 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 31 | 33 |
| M1 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 11:49 | 0.9 | М | 0.45 | 2 | 0.065 | 80 | 7.38 | 7.39 | 1.69 | 1.08 | 27.61 | 27.01 | 71.4 | 72.0 | 5.73 | 5.72 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 35 | 33 |
| M2 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:08 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.117 | 49 | 7.44 | 7.45 | 1.78 | 1.79 | 27.99 | 27.97 | 68.3 | 68.4 | 5.24 | 5.27 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 25 | 25 |
| M2 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:08 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.117 | 49 | 7.45 | 7.45 | 1.79 | 1.79 | 27.94 | 21.97 | 68.5 | 08.4 | 5.29 | 5.27 | 26.4 | ∠0.4 | 25 | 25 |
| M3 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 11:36 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 1 | 0.239 | 270 | 7.29 | 7.29 | 2.08 | 2.09 | 28.77 | 28.78 | 80.5 | 80.2 | 6.30 | 6.27 | 31.5 | 32.0 | 42 | 43 |
| M3 | 26/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 11:36 | 0.4 | M | 0.2 | 2 | 0.239 | 2/0 | 7.28 | 1.29 | 2.10 | 2.09 | 28.79 | 20.78 | 79.8 | 00.2 | 6.24 | 0.27 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 44 | 43 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| For Flood 1 lae | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Monitoring | | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| TOT EDD TIME | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laborator | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|--------|------|----------|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sal (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degre | | DO Sat | | D (mg | | Turb (N1 | idity TU) | Total Su: Sol (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 19:15 | 2 | M | 1 | 1 | 0.272 | 191 | 7.48 | 7.48 | 4.22 | 4.23 | 27.09 | 27.10 | 68.9 | 69.2 | 5.41 | 5.43 | 32.2 | 31.8 | 33 | 32 |
| M1 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 19:15 | 2 | M | 1 | 2 | 0.272 | 191 | 7.47 | 7.48 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 27.11 | 27.10 | 69.4 | 69.2 | 5.45 | 5.43 | 31.4 | 31.8 | 31 | 32 |
| M2 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 18:58 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 1 | 0.251 | 247 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 3.73 | 3.74 | 27.27 | 27.27 | 66.7 | 66.5 | 5.25 | 5.24 | 30.3 | 30.2 | 25 | 25 |
| M2 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Smooth | 18:58 | 1 | M | 0.5 | 2 | 0.231 | 247 | 7.31 | 7.31 | 3.75 | 3.74 | 27.26 | 21.21 | 66.3 | 0.00 | 5.22 | 5.24 | 30.1 | 30.2 | 25 | 25 |
| M3 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 19:00 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 1 | 0.093 | 79 | 7.04 | 7.05 | 1.66 | 1.68 | 27.30 | 27.30 | 52.6 | 52.7 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 43.4 | 43.6 | 43 | 46 |
| M3 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 19:00 | 1.3 | M | 0.65 | 2 | 0.093 | 79 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 1.69 | 1.00 | 27.30 | 27.30 | 52.8 | 32.7 | 4.39 | 4.31 | 43.9 | 43.0 | 48 | 46 |
| M1 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 12:38 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 1 | 0.296 | 254 | 7.03 | 7.03 | 2.75 | 2.74 | 28.43 | 28.44 | 51.2 | 51.6 | 4.04 | 4.08 | 32.6 | 32.8 | 25 | 24 |
| M1 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 12:38 | 2.2 | M | 1.1 | 2 | 0.290 | 234 | 7.02 | 7.03 | 2.73 | 2.74 | 28.44 | 20.44 | 51.9 | 31.0 | 4.11 | 4.00 | 33.1 | 32.0 | 22 | 24 |
| M2 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 12:57 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 1 | 0.319 | 272 | 7.25 | 7.26 | 3.28 | 3.28 | 28.66 | 28.67 | 58.7 | 58.5 | 4.62 | 4.61 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 30 | 29 |
| M2 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Smooth | 12:57 | 1.2 | M | 0.6 | 2 | 0.319 | 2/2 | 7.26 | 7.20 | 3.27 | 3.20 | 28.68 | 20.07 | 58.3 | 36.3 | 4.59 | 4.01 | 27.3 | 20.9 | 27 | 29 |
| M3 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:55 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.067 | 133 | 7.08 | 7.06 | 1.74 | 1.75 | 26.86 | 26.92 | 48.1 | 48.4 | 4.09 | 4.12 | 35.0 | 34.8 | 19 | 20 |
| M3 | 28/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 12:55 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.067 | 133 | 7.04 | 7.00 | 1.76 | 1.75 | 26.97 | 20.92 | 48.7 | 40.4 | 4.14 | 4.12 | 34.7 | 54.0 | 21 | 20 |

Remark

- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.

| Monitoring | D | 0 | N. | TU | | SS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

| Monitoring | D | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | iS |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2.25 | 1.91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |

Water Quality Monitoring Results

| | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | In-situ Mea | asurement | | | | | | | Laboratory | y Analysis |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------|------|----------|------|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Monitoring Location | Date | Tide Mode | Weather | Sea Condition | Time | Water Depth (m) | Monitoring Level | Monitoring Level (m) | Replicate | Current Speed (m/s) | Current Direction (°) | р | Н | Sali (p | inity pt) | Tempe (degr | erature ee C) | DO Sat | | D (mg | | Turb (N1 | oidity TU) | Total Sus Soli (mg | lids |
| | | | | | | | | | | Value | Value | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. | Value | Ave. |
| M1 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:14 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 1 | 0.067 | 119 | 7.29 | 7.30 | 5.69 | 5.67 | 29.55 | 29.53 | 76.7 | 76.8 | 5.67 | 5.68 | 20.6 | 20.5 | 23 | 25 |
| M1 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:14 | 0.9 | M | 0.45 | 2 | 0.007 | 113 | 7.31 | 7.30 | 5.64 | 5.67 | 29.52 | 29.55 | 76.8 | 70.0 | 5.69 | 3.00 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 26 | 25 |
| M2 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:30 | 0.8 | М | 0.4 | 1 | 0.093 | 79 | 7.18 | 7.18 | 5.57 | 5.56 | 29.17 | 29.18 | 72.3 | 72.4 | 5.48 | 5.49 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 35 | 35 |
| M2 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Fine | Moderate | 7:30 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.033 | 13 | 7.17 | 7.10 | 5.54 | 5.56 | 29.19 | 29.10 | 72.4 | 12.4 | 5.49 | 3.49 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 34 | 33 |
| M3 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 7:09 | 0.8 | М | 0.4 | 1 | 0.282 | 97 | 7.37 | 7.38 | 3.01 | 3.02 | 26.51 | 26.52 | 82.1 | 81.7 | 6.11 | 6.07 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 17 | 40 |
| M3 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Flood | Cloudy | Calm | 7:09 | 0.8 | М | 0.4 | 2 | 0.282 | 97 | 7.38 | 7.30 | 3.03 | 3.02 | 26.52 | 20.32 | 81.2 | 01.7 | 6.03 | 0.07 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 19 | 10 |
| M1 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:35 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 1 | 0.095 | 296 | 7.49 | 7.49 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 29.14 | 29.16 | 83.7 | 83.7 | 6.14 | 6.14 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 15 | 16 |
| M1 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:35 | 0.8 | M | 0.4 | 2 | 0.093 | 290 | 7.49 | 7.49 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 29.18 | 29.10 | 83.6 | 03.1 | 6.13 | 0.14 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 16 | 10 |
| M2 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:17 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 1 | 0.104 | 312 | 7.51 | 7.52 | 4.25 | 4.24 | 29.57 | 29.58 | 84.2 | 84.5 | 6.27 | 6.28 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 28 | 29 |
| M2 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Fine | Moderate | 14:17 | 0.7 | M | 0.35 | 2 | 0.104 | 312 | 7.52 | 7.52 | 4.23 | 4.24 | 29.58 | 29.56 | 84.8 | 04.5 | 6.29 | 0.20 | 21.6 | 21.5 | 29 | 29 |
| M3 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 14:20 | 0.6 | М | 0.3 | 1 | 0.302 | 247 | 7.56 | 7.55 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 28.84 | 28.84 | 88.8 | 88.3 | 6.55 | 6.49 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 22 | 22 |
| M3 | 31/5/2022 | Mid-Ebb | Cloudy | Calm | 14:20 | 0.6 | М | 0.3 | 2 | 0.302 | 247 | 7.54 | 7.55 | 2.20 | 2.21 | 28.84 | 20.04 | 87.7 | 00.3 | 6.42 | 0.49 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 21 | |

Remark


- 1. Orange and Bold: Action Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 2. Red and Bold: Limit Level Exceedance (For Impact Station Only)
- 3. Action Level for Turbidity: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 4. Limit Level for Turbidity: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's turbidity recorded on the same day.
- 5. Action Level for SS: 95%-ile of baseline data or 120% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.
- 6. Limit Level for SS: 99%-ile of baseline data or 130% of upstream control station's SS recorded on the same day.


or Flood Tide


| For Flood 1 lae | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|
| Monitoring | | 10 | N' | TU | 9 | iS |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M2(Impact Station) | 1.88 | 1.79 | 43.0 | 52.4 | 81 | 112 |
| M3(Impact Station) | 3.28 | 3.14 | 74.3 | 78.0 | 104 | 167 |

For Ebb Tide


| TOT EDD TIME | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|----|----|
| Monitoring | D | 0 | N' | ΓU | S | S |
| Location | AL | LL | AL | LL | AL | LL |
| M1(Impact Station) | 2 25 | 1 91 | 48.4 | 50.4 | 59 | 68 |











Turbidity at Mid-Flood Tide








































Ecology Monitoring Results



Ecology Monitoring Results for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

Appendix F.1 Supplemental Discussion

F.1.1 Ecological Monitoring of Birds

F.1.1.1 Abundance

F.1.1.1 All Avifauna Species

Point Count

Among the different species recorded, the Chinese Pond Heron *Ardeola bacchus* was noted with the highest abundance (31 individuals). On the other hand, species with the least abundance (1 individual) was the Whitebreasted Waterhen *Amaurornis phoenicurus*.

Transect Walk

Among the different species recorded, the Chinese Pond Heron and Plain Prinia *Prinia inornata* were noted with the highest abundance (6 individuals each); while the species Black-collared Starling *Gracupica nigricollis*, Common Moorhen *Gallinula chloropus*, Common Myna *Acridotheres tristis*, Dusky Warbler *Phylloscopus fuscatus*, and Large-billed Crow *Corvus macrorhynchos* had the least abundance (1 individual each).

F.1.1.1.2 Avifauna Species of Conservation Importance

Point Count

Among the different species recorded, the Chinese Pond Heron was recorded with the highest abundance (31 individuals). On the other hand, the Black Kite *Milvus migrans*, Collared Crow *Corvus torquatus*, and Greater Coucal *Centropus sinensis* had the lowest abundance (2 individuals each).

Transect Walk

Among the different species recorded, the Chinese Pond Heron was noted with the highest abundance (6 individuals) while the Little Egret *Egretta garzetta* had the lowest recorded abundance (3 individuals)

Appendix F.2 Ecological Bird Monitoring Result (17 May 2022)

| Date (dd/mm/yyyy) | Daytime/ Night time | Season | Area | Transect/Point Count | Point Count (Location)/Transect Impact | Habitat | Common Name | Scientific Name | Abundance | Distribution in Hong Kong ² | Principal Status ³ | Level of Concern ⁴ | Protection Status in China ⁵ | China Red Data Book | Red List of China's Vertebrates | IUCN Red List 7 (v.2020- 3) | Species of Conservation Importance | Wetland Dependent |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------|------|-------------------------|--|----------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Great Egret | Ardea alba | 1 | Common | R,WV | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 5 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Greater Coucal | Centropus sinensis | 1 | Common | R | - | Class II | Vulnerable | LC | LC | Y | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Azure-winged Magpie | Cyanopica cyanus | 3 | Introduced | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Yellow Bittern | Ixobrychus sinensis | 1 | Uncommon | PM,SV | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Yellow-bellied Prinia | Prinia flaviventris | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Spotted Dove | Spilopelia chinensis | 3 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW1 | Pond-FLW | Eurasian Collared Dove | Streptopelia decaocto | 1 | Found in Mai Po, Tsim Bei Tsui, Fung Lok Wai | - | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW2 | Pond-FLW | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 3 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW2 | Pond-FLW | Common Myna | Acridotheres tristis | 2 | Uncommon | R | ı | - | ı | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW2 | Pond-FLW | Black Kite | Milvus migrans | 1 | Common | R,WV | (RC) | Class II | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW2 | Pond-FLW | Dusky Warbler | Phylloscopus fuscatus | 3 | Common | PM,WV | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW2 | Pond-FLW | Plain Prinia | Prinia inornata | 7 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW3 | Pond-FLW | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW3 | Pond-FLW | Black-collared Starling | Gracupica nigricollis | 1 | Common | R | ı | - | 1 | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW3 | Pond-FLW | Yellow Bittern | Ixobrychus sinensis | 1 | Uncommon | PM,SV | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW3 | Pond-FLW | Plain Prinia | Prinia inornata | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW4 | Pond-FLW | White-breasted Waterhen | Amaurornis phoenicurus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW4 | Pond-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW4 | Pond-FLW | Yellow-bellied Prinia | Prinia flaviventris | 5 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW4 | Pond-FLW | Spotted Dove | Spilopelia chinensis | 1 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW4 | Pond-FLW | Eurasian Collared Dove | Streptopelia decaocto | 1 | Found in Mai Po, Tsim Bei Tsui, Fung Lok Wai | - | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 1 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season | FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Pied Kingfisher | Ceryle rudis | 3 | Uncommon | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | Υ |

| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Masked Laughingthrush | Garrulax perspicillatus | 6 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
|------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|-------|----------|----------|---|----|----|---|---|
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Black Kite | Milvus migrans | 1 | Common | R,WV | (RC) | Class II | - | LC | LC | Y | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Yellow-bellied Prinia | Prinia flaviventris | 3 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Spotted Dove | Spilopelia chinensis | 1 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Eurasian Collared Dove | Streptopelia decaocto | 1 | Found in Mai Po, Tsim Bei Tsui, Fung Lok Wai | - | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW5 | Pond-FLW | Little Grebe | Tachybaptus ruficollis | 4 | Common | R | LC | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Great Egret | Ardea alba | 3 | Common | R,WV | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 6 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Collared Crow | Corvus torquatus | 2 | Uncommon | R | LC | - | - | NT | VU | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Little Egret | Egretta garzetta | 5 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Little Grebe | Tachybaptus ruficollis | 1 | Common | R | LC | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW6 | Pond-FLW | Marsh Sandpiper | Tringa stagnatilis | 5 | Common | PM,WV | RC | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW7 | Pond-FLW | Great Egret | Ardea alba | 2 | Common | R,WV | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW7 | Pond-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 5 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW7 | Pond-FLW | Little Egret | Egretta garzetta | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Point Count | FLW7 | Pond-FLW | Black-collared Starling | Gracupica nigricollis | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Domestic Pigeon | Columba livia | 3 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Eurasian Tree Sparrow | Passer montanus | 4 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Reedbed | Yellow-bellied Prinia | Prinia flaviventris | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Plain Prinia | Prinia inornata | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Chinese Bulbul | Pycnonotus sinensis | 7 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Spotted Dove | Spilopelia chinensis | 2 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | White-shouldered Starling | Sturnia sinensis | 3 | Common | PM | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | NSW1 | Pond-NSW | Japanese White-eye | Zosterops japonicus | 2 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW1 | Modified Watercourse | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW1 | Modified Watercourse | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 3 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW1 | Modified Watercourse | Little Egret | Egretta garzetta | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW1 | Modified Watercourse | Common Moorhen | Gallinula chloropus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW1 | Plantation-NSW | Japanese White-eye | Zosterops japonicus | 1 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |

| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW2 | Modified | Crested Myna | Acridotheres | 5 | Common | R | - | - | _ | LC | LC | N | N |
|------------|---------|-----------------|-------------|---------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------|-------|----------|----------|------------|----|----|---|---|
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW2 | Watercourse Modified | Chinese Pond Heron | cristatellus Ardeola bacchus | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW2 | Watercourse Mangrove | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW2 | Modified | Large-billed Crow | Corvus | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW2 | Watercourse Modified Watercourse | Little Egret | macrorhynchos Egretta garzetta | 3 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | | - | LC | LC | Y | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Modified Watercourse | Crested Myna | Acridotheres cristatellus | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Modified Watercourse | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Y | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | In flight | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 3 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Modified | Greater Coucal | Centropus sinensis | 1 | Common | R | - | Class II | Vulnerable | LC | LC | Υ | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Watercourse Modified | Little Egret | Egretta garzetta | 5 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Watercourse Modified | Common Moorhen | Gallinula chloropus | 1 | Common | R | - | | - | LC | LC | N | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Watercourse Modified | Black-collared Starling | Gracupica nigricollis | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Point Count | SP/NSW3 | Watercourse Modified Watercourse | White Wagtail | Motacilla alba | 3 | Common | PM,WV | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Plantation-FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 4 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Developed Area- FLW | Chinese Pond Heron | Ardeola bacchus | 2 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Υ |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | In Flight | Large-billed Crow | Corvus macrorhynchos | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Plantation-FLW | Azure-winged Magpie | Cyanopica cyanus | 3 | Introduced | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Pond-FLW | Little Egret | Egretta garzetta | 3 | Common | R | PRC (RC) | - | - | LC | LC | Υ | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Grassland-FLW | Eurasian Tree Sparrow | Passer montanus | 5 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Pond-FLW | Yellow-bellied Prinia | Prinia flaviventris | 2 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season FLW | Transect | FLW | Pond-FLW | Plain Prinia | Prinia inornata | 4 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Transect | NSW | Plantation-NSW | Crested Myna | Acridotheres | 2 | Common | R | - | _ | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | | Transect | NSW | Modified | Common Moorhen | cristatellus Gallinula chloropus | 1 | Common | R | - | _ | - | LC | LC | N | Y |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | Transect | NSW | Watercourse Pond-NSW | Dusky Warbler | Phylloscopus fuscatus | 1 | Common | PM,WV | - | | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | | NSW | Pond-NSW | Plain Prinia | Prinia inornata | 2 | Common | R | - | _ | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season NSW | | NSW | Plantation-NSW | Red-whiskered Bulbul | Pycnonotus jocosus | 3 | Abundant | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season YLIE | Transect | YLIE-CW | Modified | Crested Myna | Acridotheres | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season YLIE | Transect | YLIE-CW | Watercourse Modified | Common Myna | cristatellus Acridotheres tristis | 1 | Uncommon | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| 17/05/2022 | Daytime | Wet Season YLIE | Transect | YLIE-CW | Watercourse Modified | Black-collared Starling | Gracupica nigricollis | 1 | Common | R | - | - | - | LC | LC | N | N |
| Notes: | | | 1 | | Watercourse | <u> </u> | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ All wild birds are protected under Wild Animals Protection Ordinance (Cap. 170).

⁽²⁾ AFCD (2021). Hong Kong Biodiversity Database.

⁽³⁾ Carey et al. (2001): R=resident; WV=winter visitor; SV=summer visitor; PM=passage migrant; Sp=spring; A=autumn;

⁽⁴⁾ Fellowes et al. (2002): GC=Global Concern; LC=Local Concern; PRC=Potential Regional Concern; PRC=Potential Global Concern;

- (5) List of Wild Animals under State Protection (promulgated by State Forestry Administration and Ministry of Agriculture on 14 January, 1989).
- (6) Zheng, G. M. and Wang, Q. S. (1998). China Red Data Book
- (7) IUCN 2021. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-3.
- (9) Wetland-dependent species (including wetland-dependent species and waterbirds).
- (10) Jiang et al. (2016). Red List of China's Vertebrates

Appendix F.3.1 Ecological Bird Monitoring Diversity (All avifauna species in Point Count Method) in All Habitats (17 May 2022)

| Scientific Name | Count | P | Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) ² |
|-----------------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Acridotheres cristatellus | 14 | 0.084337 | -2.47293 | -0.20856 | 0.515755 |
| Acridotheres tristis | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Amaurornis phoenicurus | 1 | 0.006024 | -5.11199 | -0.0308 | 0.157424 |
| Ardea alba | 6 | 0.036145 | -3.32023 | -0.12001 | 0.398455 |
| Ardeola bacchus | 31 | 0.186747 | -1.678 | -0.31336 | 0.525821 |
| Centropus sinensis | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Ceryle rudis | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Columba livia | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Corvus macrorhynchos | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Corvus torquatus | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Cyanopica cyanus | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Egretta garzetta | 17 | 0.10241 | -2.27877 | -0.23337 | 0.531794 |
| Gallinula chloropus | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Garrulax perspicillatus | 6 | 0.036145 | -3.32023 | -0.12001 | 0.398455 |
| Gracupica nigricollis | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Ixobrychus sinensis | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Milvus migrans | 2 | 0.012048 | -4.41884 | -0.05324 | 0.235255 |
| Motacilla alba | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Passer montanus | 4 | 0.024096 | -3.72569 | -0.08978 | 0.334477 |
| Phylloscopus fuscatus | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Prinia flaviventris | 12 | 0.072289 | -2.62708 | -0.18991 | 0.498908 |
| Prinia inornata | 10 | 0.060241 | -2.8094 | -0.16924 | 0.475466 |
| Pycnonotus sinensis | 7 | 0.042169 | -3.16608 | -0.13351 | 0.422701 |
| Spilopelia chinensis | 7 | 0.042169 | -3.16608 | -0.13351 | 0.422701 |
| Streptopelia decaocto | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Sturnia sinensis | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| Tachybaptus ruficollis | 5 | 0.03012 | -3.50255 | -0.1055 | 0.369514 |
| Tringa stagnatilis | 5 | 0.03012 | -3.50255 | -0.1055 | 0.369514 |
| Zosterops japonicus | 3 | 0.018072 | -4.01338 | -0.07253 | 0.291094 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 166 | 1 | -107.734 | -2.9785 | 9.687611 |
| Richness | 29 | | | | |
| SS | 9.69 | | | | |
| SQ | 8.87 | | | | |
| Н | 2.98 | | | | |
| S ² _H | 0.01 | | | | |

Appendix F.3.2 Ecological Bird Monitoring Diversity (Avifauna species of conservation importance in Point Count Method) in All Habitats (17 May 2022)

| Scientific Name | Count | Р | Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) ² |
|--------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Ardea alba | 6 | 0.085714 | -2.45674 | -0.21058 | 0.517333 |
| Ardeola bacchus | 31 | 0.442857 | -0.81451 | -0.36071 | 0.293802 |
| Centropus sinensis | 2 | 0.028571 | -3.55535 | -0.10158 | 0.361157 |
| Corvus torquatus | 2 | 0.028571 | -3.55535 | -0.10158 | 0.361157 |

| Egretta garzetta | 17 | 0.242857 | -1.41528 | -0.34371 | 0.486448 |
|------------------------|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Milvus migrans | 2 | 0.028571 | -3.55535 | -0.10158 | 0.361157 |
| Tachybaptus ruficollis | 5 | 0.071429 | -2.63906 | -0.1885 | 0.497473 |
| Tringa stagnatilis | 5 | 0.071429 | -2.63906 | -0.1885 | 0.497473 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 70 | 1 | -20.6307 | -1.59675 | 3.376001 |
| Richness | 8 | | | | |
| SS | 3.38 | | | | |
| SQ | 2.55 | | | | |
| Н | 1.60 | | | | |
| S ² H | 0.01 | | | | |

Appendix F.3.3 Ecological Bird Monitoring Diversity (All avifauna species in Transect Walk Method) in All Habitats (17 May 2022)


| Scientific Name | Count | P | Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) ² |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Acridotheres cristatellus | 3 | 0.083333 | -2.48491 | -0.20708 | 0.514563 |
| Acridotheres tristis | 1 | 0.027778 | -3.58352 | -0.09954 | 0.356711 |
| Ardeola bacchus | 6 | 0.166667 | -1.79176 | -0.29863 | 0.535067 |
| Corvus macrorhynchos | 1 | 0.027778 | -3.58352 | -0.09954 | 0.356711 |
| Cyanopica cyanus | 3 | 0.083333 | -2.48491 | -0.20708 | 0.514563 |
| Egretta garzetta | 3 | 0.083333 | -2.48491 | -0.20708 | 0.514563 |
| Gallinula chloropus | 1 | 0.027778 | -3.58352 | -0.09954 | 0.356711 |
| Gracupica nigricollis | 1 | 0.027778 | -3.58352 | -0.09954 | 0.356711 |
| Passer montanus | 5 | 0.138889 | -1.97408 | -0.27418 | 0.541249 |
| Phylloscopus fuscatus | 1 | 0.027778 | -3.58352 | -0.09954 | 0.356711 |
| Prinia flaviventris | 2 | 0.055556 | -2.89037 | -0.16058 | 0.464125 |
| Prinia inornata | 6 | 0.166667 | -1.79176 | -0.29863 | 0.535067 |
| Pycnonotus jocosus | 3 | 0.083333 | -2.48491 | -0.20708 | 0.514563 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 36 | 1 | -36.3052 | -2.35802 | 5.917319 |
| Richness | 13 | | | | |
| SS | 5.917319 | | | | |
| SQ | 5.560261 | | | | |
| Н | 2.36 | | | | |
| S ² H | 0.014548 | | | | |

Appendix F.3.4 Ecological Bird Monitoring Diversity (Avifauna species of conservation importance in Transect Walk Method) in All Habitats (17 May 2022)


| Scientific Name | Count | Р | Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) | P*Ln(P) ² |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Ardeola bacchus | 6 | 0.666667 | -0.40547 | -0.27031 | 0.109601 |
| Egretta garzetta | 3 | 0.333333 | -1.09861 | -0.3662 | 0.402316 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | 9 | 1 | -1.50408 | -0.63651 | 0.511918 |
| Richness | 2 | | | | |
| SS | 0.511918 | | | | |
| SQ | 0.40515 | | | | |
| Н | 0.64 | | | | |

| S ² H |
|------------------|
|------------------|


Appendix F.4.1 Abundance of all avifauna species throughout the monitoring period




Appendix F.4.2 Abundance of avifauna species with conservation importance throughout the monitoring period




Appendix F.5.1 Species richness of all avifauna species throughout the monitoring period




Appendix F.5.2 Species richness of avifauna species with conservation importance throughout the monitoring period



Appendix F.6.1 Shannon Diversity Index values of all avifauna species throughout the monitoring period



Appendix F.6.2 Shannon Diversity Index values of avifauna species with conservation importance throughout the monitoring period



Appendix F.7 Two-tailed Unpaired T-test

Formula:

$$t = \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(N_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (N_2 - 1)s_2^2}{N_1 + N_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2}\right)}}$$

Appendix F.7.1 Abundance of all avifauna species – Point Count Method

| Months | May 2017 | May 2022 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|--|
| N | 98 | 68 | |
| df | 97 | 67 | |
| М | 1.94 | 2.44 | |
| SS | 397.63 | 178.76 | |
| S ² | 4.1 | 2.67 | |
| t-value | -1.7 | | |
| p-value | .09 | | |
| Motos | | | |

Notes

N: Number of samples/observation

df: Degrees of freedom

M: Mean

SS: Sum of Squares

S²: Measure on a random sample that is used to estimate the variance of the population

Appendix F.7.2 Abundance of avifauna species with conservation importance – Point Count Method

| Months | May 2017 | May 2022 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|--|
| N | 27 | 26 | |
| df | 26 | 25 | |
| М | 2.63 | 2.69 | |
| SS | 290.3 | 63.54 | |
| S ² | 11.17 | 2.54 | |
| t-value | -0.09 | | |
| p-value | 0.93 | | |

Notes:

N: Number of samples/observation

df: Degrees of freedom

M: Mean

SS: Sum of Squares

S²: Measure on a random sample that is used to estimate

the variance of the population

Appendix F.8. Hutcheson t-test testing method and output

Formula:

$$t = \frac{H_a - H_b}{\sqrt{s_{H_a}^2 + s_{H_b}^2}}$$

Appendix F.8.1 Species diversity of all avifauna species – Point Count Method

| Months | May 2017 | May 2022 |
|--------|----------|----------|
| Total | 190 | 166 |

| Months | May 2017 | May 2022 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| N | 31 | 29 | |
| Н | 3.13 | 2.98 | |
| S ² _H | 0.003 | 0.005 | |
| t | 1 | .70 | |
| df | 31 | 5.32 | |
| Crit | 1.97 | | |
| р | 0.09 | | |
| CI | 0.11 0.15 | | |
| Notes: | | | |
| | al abundance | | |
| | er of species | | |
| | n Diversity Index | | |
| S ² _H : varian | ce | | |
| t: t-value | | | |
| df: degree | es of freedom | | |
| Crit: critica | | | |
| p: p-value | • | | |
| Cl: confide | nce interval | | |

Appendix F.8.2 Method Species diversity of avifauna species with conservation importance – Point Count

| Months | May 2017 | May 2022 |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Total | 71 | 70 |
| N | 7 | 8 |
| Н | 1.72 | 1.60 |
| S ² _H | 0.005 | 0.013 |
| t | 0 | .96 |
| df | 11 | 8.11 |
| Crit | 1.98 | |
| р | 0.34 | |
| CI | 0.14 0.22 | |
| Notes: Total: Total abundance N: Number of species H: Shannon Diversity Index S ² H: variance t: t-value df: degrees of freedom Crit: critical value p: p-value Cl: confidence interval | | |

Appendix G

Wind Data



Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 01/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 01/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 01/05/2022 02:00 | 1.7 | NE |
| 01/05/2022 03:00 | 3.8 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 04:00 | 2.9 | NE |
| 01/05/2022 05:00 | 3.7 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 06:00 | 1.4 | NE |
| 01/05/2022 07:00 | 8.1 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 08:00 | 0.3 | NE |
| 01/05/2022 09:00 | 10.4 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 10:00 | 3.1 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 11:00 | 6.5 | NE |
| 01/05/2022 12:00 | 1.0 | N |
| 01/05/2022 13:00 | 3.5 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 14:00 | 6.2 | N |
| 01/05/2022 15:00 | 2.7 | N |
| 01/05/2022 16:00 | 7.3 | N |
| 01/05/2022 17:00 | 8.9 | N |
| 01/05/2022 18:00 | 9.8 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 19:00 | 2.6 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 20:00 | 0.6 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 21:00 | 2.0 | N |
| 01/05/2022 22:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 23:00 | 1.9 | NEN |
| 01/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 02/05/2022 01:00 | 1.0 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 02:00 | 1.5 | E |
| 02/05/2022 03:00 | 0.8 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 04:00 | 0.3 | N |
| 02/05/2022 05:00 | 1.6 | NE |
| 02/05/2022 06:00 | 1.1 | NE |
| 02/05/2022 07:00 | 2.2 | NE |
| 02/05/2022 08:00 | 3.9 | NEE |
| 02/05/2022 09:00 | 1.4 | N |
| 02/05/2022 10:00 | 6.4 | N |
| 02/05/2022 11:00 | 8.1 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 12:00 | 3.4 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 13:00 | 2.3 | NEN |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 02/05/2022 14:00 | 8.0 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 15:00 | 9.3 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 16:00 | 8.0 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 17:00 | 7.3 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 18:00 | 1.5 | NEE |
| 02/05/2022 19:00 | 1.3 | NEE |
| 02/05/2022 20:00 | 1.9 | NEN |
| 02/05/2022 21:00 | 0.3 | NE |
| 02/05/2022 22:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 02/05/2022 23:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 02/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 03/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | E |
| 03/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 03/05/2022 06:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 03/05/2022 07:00 | 0.5 | NEN |
| 03/05/2022 08:00 | 4.0 | N |
| 03/05/2022 09:00 | 7.1 | N |
| 03/05/2022 10:00 | 0.5 | NE |
| 03/05/2022 11:00 | 5.6 | N |
| 03/05/2022 12:00 | 0.2 | SEE |
| 03/05/2022 13:00 | 5.8 | NEN |
| 03/05/2022 14:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 03/05/2022 15:00 | 0.0 | NW |
| 03/05/2022 16:00 | 0.3 | NWN |
| 03/05/2022 17:00 | 0.0 | SWW |
| 03/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 03/05/2022 19:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 03/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 03/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 04/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 04/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 04/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NEN |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 04/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 04/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 04/05/2022 06:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 04/05/2022 07:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 04/05/2022 08:00 | 0.4 | NEN |
| 04/05/2022 09:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 04/05/2022 10:00 | 4.2 | NEN |
| 04/05/2022 11:00 | 1.2 | NE |
| 04/05/2022 12:00 | 0.7 | NEN |
| 04/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 04/05/2022 14:00 | 0.9 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 15:00 | 2.7 | SWS |
| 04/05/2022 16:00 | 0.3 | SWW |
| 04/05/2022 17:00 | 3.9 | S |
| 04/05/2022 18:00 | 0.7 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 19:00 | 0.2 | S |
| 04/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 04/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 05/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 05/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 07:00 | 1.8 | NE |
| 05/05/2022 08:00 | 0.3 | SE |
| 05/05/2022 09:00 | 0.2 | SEE |
| 05/05/2022 10:00 | 2.7 | N |
| 05/05/2022 11:00 | 2.4 | NEN |
| 05/05/2022 12:00 | 2.1 | NEN |
| 05/05/2022 13:00 | 0.3 | SE |
| 05/05/2022 14:00 | 0.1 | W |
| 05/05/2022 15:00 | 6.5 | SWS |
| 05/05/2022 16:00 | 4.9 | SW |
| 05/05/2022 17:00 | 6.1 | SES |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 05/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 19:00 | 3.3 | S |
| 05/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 05/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 05/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 05/05/2022 23:00 | 0.0 | E |
| 06/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 06/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 06/05/2022 08:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 06/05/2022 09:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 06/05/2022 10:00 | 5.8 | NWN |
| 06/05/2022 11:00 | 0.5 | N |
| 06/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 06/05/2022 13:00 | 0.5 | NWW |
| 06/05/2022 14:00 | 0.0 | NW |
| 06/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | SW |
| 06/05/2022 16:00 | 1.6 | S |
| 06/05/2022 17:00 | 0.8 | S |
| 06/05/2022 18:00 | 6.0 | S |
| 06/05/2022 19:00 | 0.2 | SE |
| 06/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | SE |
| 06/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 06/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 06/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 07/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 07/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 07/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 07/05/2022 03:00 | 0.3 | NWN |
| 07/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 07/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 07/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 07/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 07/05/2022 08:00 | 1.2 | N |
| 07/05/2022 09:00 | 4.6 | NEN |
| 07/05/2022 10:00 | 2.8 | N |
| 07/05/2022 11:00 | 2.7 | NE |
| 07/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 07/05/2022 13:00 | 1.5 | W |
| 07/05/2022 14:00 | 1.6 | SWS |
| 07/05/2022 15:00 | 1.9 | SES |
| 07/05/2022 16:00 | 1.1 | SW |
| 07/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 07/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 07/05/2022 19:00 | 0.4 | NEN |
| 07/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 07/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 07/05/2022 22:00 | 0.4 | NEN |
| 07/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 00:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 01:00 | 0.3 | N |
| 08/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 03:00 | 0.4 | N |
| 08/05/2022 04:00 | 0.5 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 05:00 | 0.9 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 06:00 | 4.8 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 08/05/2022 08:00 | 3.1 | NEE |
| 08/05/2022 09:00 | 0.4 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 08/05/2022 11:00 | 1.4 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 12:00 | 0.9 | SEE |
| 08/05/2022 13:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 14:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 08/05/2022 16:00 | 3.4 | N |
| 08/05/2022 17:00 | 2.4 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 18:00 | 0.5 | N |
| 08/05/2022 19:00 | 0.5 | NE |
| 08/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 08/05/2022 21:00 | 3.5 | NE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 08/05/2022 22:00 | 0.2 | NEN |
| 08/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 09/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 04:00 | 0.2 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 08:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 09:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 10:00 | 1.1 | NEE |
| 09/05/2022 11:00 | 5.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 13:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 09/05/2022 14:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 09/05/2022 15:00 | 0.3 | E |
| 09/05/2022 16:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 09/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 09/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 09/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 09/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 09/05/2022 21:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 09/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 01:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 03:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 10/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 10/05/2022 08:00 | 0.5 | N |
| 10/05/2022 09:00 | 0.8 | NEN |
| 10/05/2022 10:00 | 1.1 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 11:00 | 0.1 | E |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 10/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 13:00 | 11.2 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 14:00 | 0.4 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 15:00 | 3.4 | SEE |
| 10/05/2022 16:00 | 3.4 | SE |
| 10/05/2022 17:00 | 4.3 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 10/05/2022 19:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 10/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | E |
| 10/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 10/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 10/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 11/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 11/05/2022 07:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 11/05/2022 09:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 11/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 11/05/2022 11:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 11/05/2022 12:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 13:00 | 5.8 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 14:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 15:00 | 0.2 | SE |
| 11/05/2022 16:00 | 4.9 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 17:00 | 1.8 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 18:00 | 0.3 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 11/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 21:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 11/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 11/05/2022 23:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | SES |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 12/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 12/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 12/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 12/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 12/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 12/05/2022 07:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 08:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 12/05/2022 09:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 12/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 12/05/2022 11:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 12/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 12/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 12/05/2022 14:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 15:00 | 1.3 | S |
| 12/05/2022 16:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 12/05/2022 17:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 12/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 12/05/2022 19:00 | 0.8 | NEN |
| 12/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 12/05/2022 21:00 | 0.3 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 12/05/2022 23:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 13/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 13/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 13/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 13/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 13/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 13/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 13/05/2022 06:00 | 0.0 | NWW |
| 13/05/2022 07:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 13/05/2022 08:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 13/05/2022 09:00 | 0.3 | S |
| 13/05/2022 10:00 | 0.0 | W |
| 13/05/2022 11:00 | 0.0 | SW |
| 13/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 13/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | NWW |
| 13/05/2022 14:00 | 2.4 | W |
| 13/05/2022 15:00 | 2.7 | SE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 13/05/2022 16:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 13/05/2022 17:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 13/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | NWW |
| 13/05/2022 19:00 | 0.5 | NE |
| 13/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 13/05/2022 21:00 | 2.0 | NEN |
| 13/05/2022 22:00 | 0.6 | NEE |
| 13/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 14/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 14/05/2022 03:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 14/05/2022 05:00 | 1.0 | N |
| 14/05/2022 06:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 08:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 09:00 | 2.3 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 10:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 11:00 | 0.2 | N |
| 14/05/2022 12:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 14/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 14/05/2022 14:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 14/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 16:00 | 0.3 | N |
| 14/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 14/05/2022 18:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 19:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 14/05/2022 20:00 | 0.2 | N |
| 14/05/2022 21:00 | 0.2 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 22:00 | 1.4 | NEN |
| 14/05/2022 23:00 | 0.5 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | NWN |
| 15/05/2022 01:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 15/05/2022 04:00 | 0.3 | NEE |
| 15/05/2022 05:00 | 0.2 | NEN |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 15/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NWW |
| 15/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 08:00 | 6.9 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 09:00 | 9.2 | N |
| 15/05/2022 10:00 | 4.1 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 11:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 12:00 | 6.4 | NEE |
| 15/05/2022 13:00 | 11.8 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 14:00 | 7.2 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 15:00 | 5.7 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 16:00 | 2.4 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 17:00 | 0.2 | NEE |
| 15/05/2022 18:00 | 0.4 | NEE |
| 15/05/2022 19:00 | 3.5 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 21:00 | 0.5 | NEN |
| 15/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 15/05/2022 23:00 | 4.1 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 00:00 | 2.7 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 01:00 | 2.3 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 02:00 | 1.0 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 03:00 | 0.9 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 04:00 | 3.5 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 05:00 | 1.7 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 06:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 07:00 | 4.5 | N |
| 16/05/2022 08:00 | 1.0 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 09:00 | 1.4 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 10:00 | 2.3 | NEN |
| 16/05/2022 11:00 | 1.7 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 12:00 | 4.8 | N |
| 16/05/2022 13:00 | 1.8 | N |
| 16/05/2022 14:00 | 0.5 | N |
| 16/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 16/05/2022 16:00 | 1.8 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 16/05/2022 18:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 19:00 | 0.0 | NE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 16/05/2022 20:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 21:00 | 1.4 | NE |
| 16/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 16/05/2022 23:00 | 0.9 | NE |
| 17/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 17/05/2022 01:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 17/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 17/05/2022 03:00 | 0.2 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 08:00 | 1.9 | NEE |
| 17/05/2022 09:00 | 1.4 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 10:00 | 2.8 | N |
| 17/05/2022 11:00 | 1.2 | NEE |
| 17/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 17/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | SWW |
| 17/05/2022 14:00 | 1.9 | NWN |
| 17/05/2022 15:00 | 1.2 | SW |
| 17/05/2022 16:00 | 0.2 | SES |
| 17/05/2022 17:00 | 0.3 | SE |
| 17/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 17/05/2022 19:00 | 2.1 | S |
| 17/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 17/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 17/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 17/05/2022 23:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 18/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 18/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 18/05/2022 02:00 | 0.4 | N |
| 18/05/2022 03:00 | 1.7 | N |
| 18/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 18/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 18/05/2022 06:00 | 0.2 | N |
| 18/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 18/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 18/05/2022 09:00 | 8.3 | NE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 18/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 18/05/2022 11:00 | 2.0 | NEE |
| 18/05/2022 12:00 | 0.9 | SE |
| 18/05/2022 13:00 | 1.1 | SEE |
| 18/05/2022 14:00 | 1.6 | NE |
| 18/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 18/05/2022 16:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 18/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 18/05/2022 18:00 | 0.4 | NEN |
| 18/05/2022 19:00 | 1.8 | NEE |
| 18/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 18/05/2022 21:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 18/05/2022 22:00 | 1.4 | NEN |
| 18/05/2022 23:00 | 0.6 | NE |
| 19/05/2022 00:00 | 0.3 | N |
| 19/05/2022 01:00 | 1.0 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 04:00 | 0.2 | N |
| 19/05/2022 05:00 | 0.5 | N |
| 19/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 19/05/2022 07:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 19/05/2022 08:00 | 1.7 | NE |
| 19/05/2022 09:00 | 2.8 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 10:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 19/05/2022 11:00 | 1.1 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 19/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 19/05/2022 14:00 | 5.1 | W |
| 19/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 19/05/2022 16:00 | 2.6 | NWW |
| 19/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | SWW |
| 19/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 19/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 19/05/2022 20:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 19/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 19/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 19/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | N |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 20/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 20/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | SWW |
| 20/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | W |
| 20/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 20/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | NWW |
| 20/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 20/05/2022 06:00 | 0.0 | NE |
| 20/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 20/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 20/05/2022 09:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 20/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 20/05/2022 11:00 | 0.0 | NWW |
| 20/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | NWW |
| 20/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | SWW |
| 20/05/2022 14:00 | 1.3 | W |
| 20/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 20/05/2022 16:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 20/05/2022 17:00 | 0.9 | SWW |
| 20/05/2022 18:00 | 1.6 | SES |
| 20/05/2022 19:00 | 1.4 | S |
| 20/05/2022 20:00 | 4.5 | S |
| 20/05/2022 21:00 | 4.9 | SES |
| 20/05/2022 22:00 | 0.6 | SES |
| 20/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 08:00 | 0.2 | NEE |
| 21/05/2022 09:00 | 0.1 | W |
| 21/05/2022 10:00 | 1.1 | NWW |
| 21/05/2022 11:00 | 1.6 | NWN |
| 21/05/2022 12:00 | 0.1 | W |
| 21/05/2022 13:00 | 1.2 | NW |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 21/05/2022 14:00 | 0.9 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | SWS |
| 21/05/2022 16:00 | 2.0 | SWS |
| 21/05/2022 17:00 | 1.0 | SE |
| 21/05/2022 18:00 | 1.6 | SES |
| 21/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 21/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 21/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 21/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 21/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 22/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 04:00 | 1.8 | E |
| 22/05/2022 05:00 | 1.7 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 06:00 | 0.4 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 07:00 | 3.8 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 08:00 | 5.0 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 09:00 | 2.5 | NEN |
| 22/05/2022 10:00 | 2.0 | NEN |
| 22/05/2022 11:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 12:00 | 12.6 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 13:00 | 4.2 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 14:00 | 4.4 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 15:00 | 2.0 | SES |
| 22/05/2022 16:00 | 0.0 | SE |
| 22/05/2022 17:00 | 0.2 | SE |
| 22/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 20:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 22/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 22:00 | 1.6 | NEE |
| 22/05/2022 23:00 | 0.4 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 00:00 | 0.7 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 01:00 | 2.0 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 02:00 | 5.6 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 03:00 | 5.5 | NE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 23/05/2022 04:00 | 0.2 | NEN |
| 23/05/2022 05:00 | 1.1 | NEN |
| 23/05/2022 06:00 | 3.3 | E |
| 23/05/2022 07:00 | 7.7 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 08:00 | 9.4 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 09:00 | 3.8 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 10:00 | 9.1 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 11:00 | 5.6 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 12:00 | 2.4 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 13:00 | 12.3 | NEN |
| 23/05/2022 14:00 | 12.2 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 15:00 | 0.6 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 16:00 | 4.5 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 17:00 | 3.0 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 18:00 | 1.9 | NEE |
| 23/05/2022 19:00 | 2.6 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 20:00 | 7.4 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 21:00 | 1.1 | N |
| 23/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 23/05/2022 23:00 | 3.5 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 00:00 | 1.0 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 01:00 | 0.6 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 02:00 | 3.1 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 03:00 | 1.3 | NEE |
| 24/05/2022 04:00 | 1.3 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 06:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 24/05/2022 07:00 | 0.7 | N |
| 24/05/2022 08:00 | 5.2 | NEE |
| 24/05/2022 09:00 | 5.7 | NWN |
| 24/05/2022 10:00 | 2.9 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 11:00 | 2.4 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 12:00 | 2.9 | E |
| 24/05/2022 13:00 | 4.1 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 14:00 | 0.8 | E |
| 24/05/2022 15:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 24/05/2022 16:00 | 2.5 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 17:00 | 7.7 | NEN |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 24/05/2022 18:00 | 1.3 | N |
| 24/05/2022 19:00 | 3.1 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 20:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 24/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 24/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 25/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 03:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 25/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 25/05/2022 07:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 25/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | E |
| 25/05/2022 09:00 | 0.8 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 10:00 | 0.6 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 11:00 | 0.8 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 12:00 | 1.1 | N |
| 25/05/2022 13:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 25/05/2022 14:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 25/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 25/05/2022 16:00 | 1.0 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 17:00 | 0.2 | NE |
| 25/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 25/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 25/05/2022 22:00 | 0.2 | N |
| 25/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | N |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 26/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 26/05/2022 09:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 26/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 26/05/2022 11:00 | 2.3 | NE |
| 26/05/2022 12:00 | 1.4 | N |
| 26/05/2022 13:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 26/05/2022 14:00 | 1.2 | SES |
| 26/05/2022 15:00 | 1.4 | SE |
| 26/05/2022 16:00 | 1.3 | SEE |
| 26/05/2022 17:00 | 0.2 | SWS |
| 26/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 26/05/2022 19:00 | 1.0 | SES |
| 26/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 26/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 26/05/2022 22:00 | 0.0 | NEE |
| 26/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 27/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 03:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 05:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 27/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 09:00 | 3.1 | S |
| 27/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | SWW |
| 27/05/2022 11:00 | 0.0 | NWN |
| 27/05/2022 12:00 | 0.0 | N |
| 27/05/2022 13:00 | 0.0 | NWW |
| 27/05/2022 14:00 | 0.5 | NEN |
| 27/05/2022 15:00 | 1.0 | SEE |
| 27/05/2022 16:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 17:00 | 0.1 | NWN |
| 27/05/2022 18:00 | 0.1 | NWN |
| 27/05/2022 19:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 27/05/2022 20:00 | 0.2 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 21:00 | 0.6 | S |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 27/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 27/05/2022 23:00 | 1.0 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 00:00 | 0.9 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 02:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 03:00 | 1.8 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 04:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 05:00 | 1.2 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 06:00 | 0.2 | S |
| 28/05/2022 07:00 | 1.1 | S |
| 28/05/2022 08:00 | 0.9 | S |
| 28/05/2022 09:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 10:00 | 0.5 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 11:00 | 3.9 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 12:00 | 5.2 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 13:00 | 8.7 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 14:00 | 2.9 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 15:00 | 6.4 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 16:00 | 0.4 | SE |
| 28/05/2022 17:00 | 5.0 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 18:00 | 6.0 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 19:00 | 6.3 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | SEE |
| 28/05/2022 21:00 | 1.7 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 22:00 | 6.8 | SES |
| 28/05/2022 23:00 | 1.3 | SE |
| 29/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | SE |
| 29/05/2022 01:00 | 0.0 | E |
| 29/05/2022 02:00 | 1.3 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 03:00 | 0.2 | S |
| 29/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 29/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 29/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 07:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 29/05/2022 08:00 | 0.0 | SE |
| 29/05/2022 09:00 | 0.0 | E |
| 29/05/2022 10:00 | 0.2 | SWS |
| 29/05/2022 11:00 | 1.8 | SEE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 29/05/2022 12:00 | 2.0 | S |
| 29/05/2022 13:00 | 3.8 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 14:00 | 3.1 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 15:00 | 2.4 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 16:00 | 0.3 | SE |
| 29/05/2022 17:00 | 1.4 | SES |
| 29/05/2022 18:00 | 3.8 | SE |
| 29/05/2022 19:00 | 1.7 | SEE |
| 29/05/2022 20:00 | 3.3 | S |
| 29/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 29/05/2022 22:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 29/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 30/05/2022 00:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 30/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 30/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 30/05/2022 03:00 | 0.2 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 04:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 05:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 06:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 30/05/2022 07:00 | 0.5 | NE |
| 30/05/2022 08:00 | 0.1 | NEN |
| 30/05/2022 09:00 | 0.1 | N |
| 30/05/2022 10:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 30/05/2022 11:00 | 0.0 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 12:00 | 0.2 | SEE |
| 30/05/2022 13:00 | 3.2 | SW |
| 30/05/2022 14:00 | 0.4 | SE |
| 30/05/2022 15:00 | 0.1 | SE |
| 30/05/2022 16:00 | 1.2 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 17:00 | 0.0 | SWS |
| 30/05/2022 18:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 30/05/2022 19:00 | 3.5 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 20:00 | 0.1 | SES |
| 30/05/2022 21:00 | 0.1 | S |
| 30/05/2022 22:00 | 0.5 | S |
| 30/05/2022 23:00 | 0.1 | NEE |
| 31/05/2022 00:00 | 0.0 | S |
| 31/05/2022 01:00 | 0.1 | NEE |

Wind Data for Contract No. SPW 07/2020 Environmental Team for Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| Date | Wind Speed | Wind Direction |
|------------------|------------|----------------|
| 31/05/2022 02:00 | 0.1 | NE |
| 31/05/2022 03:00 | 1.7 | NEE |
| 31/05/2022 04:00 | 3.8 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 05:00 | 2.9 | NE |
| 31/05/2022 06:00 | 3.7 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 07:00 | 1.4 | NE |
| 31/05/2022 08:00 | 8.1 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 09:00 | 0.3 | NE |
| 31/05/2022 10:00 | 10.4 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 11:00 | 3.1 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 12:00 | 6.5 | NE |
| 31/05/2022 13:00 | 1.0 | N |
| 31/05/2022 14:00 | 3.5 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 15:00 | 6.2 | N |
| 31/05/2022 16:00 | 2.7 | N |
| 31/05/2022 17:00 | 7.3 | N |
| 31/05/2022 18:00 | 8.9 | N |
| 31/05/2022 19:00 | 9.8 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 20:00 | 2.6 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 21:00 | 0.6 | NEN |
| 31/05/2022 22:00 | 2.0 | N |
| 31/05/2022 23:00 | 0.3 | NEN |
| 01/06/2022 00:00 | 1.9 | NEN |

Sources/ reference of the wind data: On-site wind station

Appendix H

Event and Action Plan



Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Construction Dust)

| EVENIT | ACTION | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling | Identify source, investigate the causes of complaint and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; and Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; and Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | 1. Notify Contractor. | I. Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Implement remedial measures; and Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate. |
| Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling | Identify source; Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Advise the Contractor and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with Contractor, IEC and ER; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | I. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; and Amend proposal as appropriate. |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling | Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; and Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | I. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; and Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling | Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; 2. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 3. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and 4. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | 1. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Event and Action Plan for Noise (Construction)

| EVENT | ACTION | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| EVEINI | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level | Notify IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; and Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | 1. Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; and 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; and Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Limit Level | Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; and 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring

| EVENIT | ACTION | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| EVENT | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | | | |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER | Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; Inform EPD and AFCD. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice | | | |
| Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days | 1. Repeat in situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; 2. Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; 3. Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; 4. Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER; 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; 2. Inform EPD and AFCD; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Ensure additional mitigation measures are properly implemented. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; Consider changes of working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them to ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. | | | |

| EVENT | ACTION | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EVEINI | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | | |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day | Repeat in situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER; Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; 2. Inform EPD and AFCD; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Ensure additional mitigation measures are properly implemented. 4. Request Contractor(s) to critically review the working methods. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; Critically review the need to change working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them to ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. | | |
| Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days | 1. Repeat in situ measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; 2. Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; 3. Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; 4. Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER; 5. Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; 2. Inform EPD and AFCD; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; 4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. 3. Ensure additional mitigation measures are properly implemented. 4. Request Contractor(s) to critically review the working methods. | Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; Critically review the need to change working methods; Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them to ER within 3 working days; Implement the agreed mitigation measures. | | |

Event and Action Plan for Ecology Monitoring

| Event | Action | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Event | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor | | | |
| Action Level | Notify IEC and Contractor; Carry out investigation; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; and Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | Review the analyzed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analyzed noise problem; and Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; and Implement noise mitigation proposals. | | | |
| Limit Level | Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented; and If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. | | | |

Appendix I

Waste Flow Table



| Waste Flow Table for Year 2022 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | Actual Qu | uantities of Nor | n-inert C&D Wa | stes Generate | d Monthly | |
| Monthly Ending | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 2) | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) | (in tonnes) |
| 2022 Jan | 243.88 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 215.24 | Nil | 17.46 | 0.04 | Nil | Nil | 11.14 |
| 2022 Feb | 92.65 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 38.73 | Nil | 43.95 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 9.97 |
| 2022 Mar | 398.96 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 312.08 | Nil | 76.31 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 10.57 |
| 2022 Apr | 3619.84 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 3552.01 | Nil | 58.86 | 0.13 | Nil | Nil | 8.84 |
| 2022 May | 2708.03 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 2692.75 | Nil | 8.61 | Nil | Nil | Nil | 6.67 |
| 2022 Jun | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Jul | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Aug | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Sep | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Oct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Nov | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Dec | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 7063.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6810.81 | 0 | 205.19 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 47.19 |

Note:

Sources/ reference of the waste flow data; From the Contractor

¹⁾ The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.

Appendix J

Implementation Status of

Environmental Mitigation Measures

Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1 **Location / Duration of Implementation** Measures / Timing of **EIA Ref. Environmental Protection Measures** Status **Completion of Measures Air Quality Impact** Construction Phase Watering once per every two hours on active works areas to reduce dust emission. **Implemented** 3.6.1.6 All active works areas during construction phase Dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and 3.8.1.1 **Construction Sites** good site practices listed below shall be carried out to further minimize construction dust impact: • Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads. **Implemented** particularly during dry weather. • Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs. **Implemented** • Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. **Implemented** Where this is not practicable owing to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines. • Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage **Implemented** piles near ASRs. • Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. Implemented • Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site. **Implemented** • Provision of wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures at the loading N/A area of barging point, and use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry seasons/periods. • Provision of not less than 2.4m high hoarding from ground level along site boundary where adjoins a **Implemented** road, streets or other accessible to the public except for a site entrance or exit. • Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on site haul roads. **Implemented** • Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum **Implemented**

possible distance from ASRs.

Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1 **Location / Duration of Implementation EIA Ref.** Measures / Timing of **Environmental Protection Measures Status Completion of Measures** • Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction **Implemented** process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise. **Noise Impact** Construction Phase 4.8.1 Movable noise barriers are recommended for hydraulic breakers mounted on excavators to be **Implemented Construction Sites** adopted during construction. Good site practices listed below and the noise control requirements stated in EPD's "Recommended N/A Pollution Control Clauses for Construction Contracts" should be included in the Contract Specification for the Contractors to follow and should be implemented to further minimize the potential noise impacts during the construction phase of the Project. • Quiet PME, such that those listed in EPD's Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment, should be **Implemented** considered for construction works to further minimize the potential construction noise impact. • Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during **Implemented** the construction programme. • Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilised and should be properly N/A maintained during the construction programme. • Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far away from noise sensitive receivers (NSRs) as possible. N/A • Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between **Implemented** work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum. • Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that N/A the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs • Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilised, wherever practicable, in N/A screening noise from on-site construction activities. **Water Quality Impact** Construction Phase Water used in ground boring and drilling for site investigation or rock / soil anchoring should as far as 5.8.1.2 Construction Sites / **Implemented** practicable Construction Phase be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 5.8.1.3 | All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before they leave a construction site to minimise the deposition of earth, mud, debris on roads. A wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit if practicable and wash-water should have sand and silt settled out or removed before discharging into storm drains. The section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.4 | Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.5 – 5.8.1.6 | The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 "Construction Site Drainage" should be followed where applicable to minimise surface run-off and the chance of erosion. Surface run-off from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via adequately designed sand / silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and sedimentation basins. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided as necessary to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. Catchpits and perimeter channels should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks. | Construction Sites /Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.7 | Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly (as well as at the onset of and after each rainstorm) to prevent overflows and localised flooding. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.8 | Construction works should be programmed to minimise soil excavation in the wet season (i.e. April to September). If soil excavation cannot be avoided in these months or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, temporarily exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Intercepting channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest / edge of excavation) to prevent storm run-off from washing across exposed soil surfaces. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | N/A |
| 5.8.1.9 | Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| | caused by rainstorms. Appropriate drainage like intercepting channels should be provided where necessary | | |
| 5.8.1.10 | Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in the wet season is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | N/A |
| 5.8.1.11 | Construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) on sites should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.12 | Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and to prevent storm run-off from getting into foul sewers. Discharge of surface run-off into foul sewers must always be prevented in order not to unduly overload the foul sewerage system. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.13 | The practices outlined in Environment, Transport and Works Bureau (ETWB) TC (Works) No. 5/2005 Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works" should also be adopted where applicable to minimise the water quality impacts upon any natural streams or surface water systems. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | N/A |
| 5.8.1.14 | Sufficient chemical toilets should be provided in the works areas. A licensed waste collector should be deployed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.15 | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.16 | Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The WDO (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |

Daliakiaa Dla

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 5.8.1.17 | Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential for leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | Construction Sites /Construction Phase | N/A |
| 5.8.1.18 | Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the WDO. The Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes published under the WDO should be followed to avoid leakage or spillage of chemicals. | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 5.8.1.19 | All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS). | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | N/A |
| 5.8.2.11 | Chemical should be stored on site at bunded area and separate drainage system as appropriate should be provided to avoid any spilled chemicals from entering the storm drain in case of accidental spillage. Also, adequate tools for cleanup of spilled chemicals should be stored on site and appropriate training shall be provided to staffs to further prevent potential adverse water quality impacts from happening. | Project site / Design and Operation Phase | Implemented |
| | ement Implication | | |
| Construction I | Phase Phase | | |
| 6.6.1.3 | Good Site Practices | Construction Sites | |

| Construction P | Construction Phase | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 6.6.1.3 | Good Site Practices | Construction Sites | | | | |
| | Recommendations for good site practices during the construction phase include: | | | | | |
| | • Nomination of approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility; | | Implemented | | | |
| | • Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; | | Implemented | | | |
| | • Provision of sufficient waste reception/ disposal points, of a suitable vermin-proof design that minimises windblown litter; | | N/A | | | |
| | Arrangement for regular collection of waste for transport off-site and final disposal; | | Implemented | | | |
| | Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by | | Implemented | | | |
| | either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; | | | | | |
| | • Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; | | N/A | | | |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|---|--|--------------------------|
| | • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed; and | | Implemented |
| | • A WMP should be prepared and should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. One may make reference to ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 for details. | | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.5 | Waste Reduction Measures Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include: | Construction Sites | |
| | • Segregate and store different types of construction related waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal; | | Implemented |
| | • Provide separate labelled bins to segregate recyclable waste such as aluminium cans from other general refuse generated by the work force, and to encourage collection by individual collectors; | | Implemented |
| | Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled; | | N/A |
| | Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D material; | | N/A |
| | • Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and / or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of to landfill; | | Implemented |
| | Adopt proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage to, or contamination of, construction materials; | | Implemented |
| | • Plan the delivery and stock of construction materials carefully to minimise the amount of surplus waste generated; | | N/A |
| | • Adopt pre-cast construction method instead of cast-in-situ method for construction of concrete structures as much as possible; and | | N/A |
| | • Minimise over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering. | | N/A |
| 6.6.1.7 | Storage of Waste Recommendations to minimise the impacts include: | Construction Sites | |
| | Waste, such as soil, should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment, thus minimising the potential of pollution; | | Implemented |
| | Maintain and clean storage areas routinely; | | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| | Stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; and | | Implemented |
| | Different locations should be designated to stockpile each material to enhance reuse. | | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.8 | <u>Collection of Waste</u> Licensed waste haulers should be employed for the collection and transportation of waste generated. The following measures should be enforced to minimise the potential adverse impacts: | Construction Sites | |
| | Remove waste in timely manner; | | Implemented |
| | Waste collectors should only collect wastes prescribed by their permits; | | Implemented |
| | • Impacts during transportation, such as dust and odour, should be mitigated by the use of covered trucks or in enclosed containers; | | Implemented |
| | • Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities, in accordance with the WDO (Cap. 354), Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 345) and the Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 28); | | Implemented |
| | Waste should be disposed of at licensed waste disposal facilities; and | | Implemented |
| | Maintain records of quantities of waste generated, recycled and disposed. | | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.10 | Transportation of Waste In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at PFRFs and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be established in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 6/2010. A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed, including the disposal sites, should also be set up. Warning signs should be put up to remind the designated disposal sites. CCTV should be installed at the vehicular entrance and exit of the site as additional measures to prevent fly-tipping. | Transportation Route of Waste / Construction Phase | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 6.6.1.12 | Construction and Demolition Material Careful design, planning together with good site management can reduce over-ordering and generation of C&D materials such as concrete, mortar and cement grouts. Formwork should be designed to maximize the use of standard wooden panels, so that high reuse levels can be achieved. Alternatives such as steel formwork or plastic facing should be considered to increase the potential for reuse | Construction Sites | N/A |
| 6.6.1.13 | The excavated material arising from site formation and foundation works should be reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscaping works as far as practicable. Other mitigation requirements are listed below: | Construction Sites | |
| | A WMP, which becomes part of the EMP, should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005; | | Implemented |
| | A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be adopted for easy tracking; and | | Implemented |
| | • In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be adopted (refer to DEVB TCW 06/2010). | | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.14 | It is recommended that specific areas should be provided by the Contractors for sorting and to provide temporary storage areas (if required) for the sorted materials. Control measures for temporary stockpiles on-site should be taken in order to minimise the noise, generation of dust and pollution of water. These measures include: | Construction Sites | |
| | Surface of stockpiled soil should be regularly wetted with water especially during dry season; | | Implemented |
| | Disturbance of stockpile soil should be minimised; | | Implemented |
| | • Stockpiled soil should be properly covered with tarpaulin especially when heavy storms are predicted; and | | Implemented |
| | Stockpiling areas should be enclosed where space is available. | | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 6.6.1.15 | The Contactor should prepare and implement an EMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005, which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from construction activities. Such a management plan should incorporate site-specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The Contractor should implement waste management practices in the EMP throughout the construction stage of the Project. The EMP should be reviewed regularly and updated by the Contractor, preferably on a monthly basis. | Construction Sites | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.16 | The Contractor would be responsible for devising a system to work for on-site sorting of C&D materials and promptly removing all sorted and process materials arising from the construction activities to minimise temporary stockpiling on-site. The system should be included in the EMP identifying the source of generation, estimated quantity, arrangement for on-site sorting, collection, temporary storage areas and frequency of collection by recycling Contractors or frequency of removal off-site. | Construction Sites | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.17 – 6.6.1.18 | The sediment should be excavated, handled, transported and disposed of in a manner that would minimise adverse environmental impacts. To minimise sediment disposal, it is proposed to reuse the Type 1 sediment generated (e.g. as backfilling materials) as far as possible. Requirements of the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation, where relevant, shall be adhered to during excavation, transportation and disposal of the sediment. | Construction Sites | N/A |
| 6.6.1.19 | Workers shall, if necessary, wear appropriate personal protective equipments (PPE) when handling contaminated sediments. Adequate washing and cleaning facilities shall also be provided on site. | Construction Sites | N/A |
| 6.6.1.20 | For off-site disposal, the basic requirements and procedures specified under ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002 shall be followed. | Transportation Route of Waste / Construction Phase | N/A |
| 6.6.1.24 | Stockpiling of contaminated sediments should be avoided as far as possible. If temporary stockpiling of contaminated sediments is necessary, the excavated sediment should be covered by tarpaulin and the area should be placed within earth bunds or sand bags to prevent leachate from entering the ground, nearby drains and surrounding water bodies. The stockpiles should be completely paved or covered by linings in order to avoid contamination to underlying soil or groundwater. Separate and clearly defined areas should be provided for stockpiling of contaminated and uncontaminated materials. Leachate, if any, should be collected and discharged according to the Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO). | Construction Sites | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 6.6.1.25 | In order to minimise the potential odour / dust emissions during excavation and transportation of the sediment, the excavated sediments shall be wetted during excavation / material handling and shall be properly covered when placed on trucks or barges. Loading of the excavated sediment to the barge shall be controlled to avoid splashing and overflowing of the sediment slurry to the surrounding water. | Construction sites & transportation route of waste / Construction phase | N/A |
| 6.6.1.26 | The barge transporting the sediments to the designated disposal sites shall be equipped with tight fitting seals to prevent leakage and shall not be filled to a level that would cause overflow of materials or laden water during loading or transportation. In addition, monitoring of the barge loading shall be conducted to ensure that loss of material does not take place during transportation. Transport barges or vessels shall be equipped with automatic self-monitoring devices as specified by the DEP. | Transportation route of waste / Construction phase | N/A |
| 6.6.1.27 | Suitable containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall employ a licensed collector to transport and dispose of the chemical wastes, to the licensed CWTC, or other licensed facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | Construction and OperationPhases | N/A |
| 6.6.1.28 | It is recommended to place clearly labelled recycling bins at designated locations with convenient access. Other general refuse should be separated from chemical and industrial waste by providing separated bins or skips for storage to maximise the recyclable volume. A reputable licensed waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse on a daily basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. | Construction and Operation Phases | Implemented |
| 6.6.1.29 | Should buildings are found with potential ACM, sufficient and reasonable lead time shall be allowed for preparation, vetting and implementation of Asbestos Investigation Report and Asbestos Abatement Plan in accordance with Air Pollution Control Ordinance before commencement of any demolition or site clearance work. | Demolition | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| 7.8.1.2 - 7.8.1.3;7.8.2.1 | Prior to the commencement of the SI works, a review of the Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) should be conducted to confirm whether the proposed SI works (e.g. sampling locations, testing parameters etc.) are still valid. Supplementary CAP(s), presenting findings of the review, the latest site conditions and updated sampling strategy and testing protocol, should be submitted to EPD for endorsement. The SI works should be carried out according to EPD's agreed supplementary CAP(s).SI works should be carried out according to the supplementary CAP endorsed by EPD. Following completion of SI works and receipt of laboratory test results, Contamination Assessment Report(s) ((CAR)(s)) should be prepared to present the findings of the SI works and to discuss the presence, nature and extent of contamination. If contamination is identified, Remedial Action Plan(s) ((RAP)(s)) which provides details of the remedial actions for the identified contaminated soil and / or groundwater should be endorsed by EPD. The possible remediation methods are detailed in Section 5.2 of the CAP provided in Appendix 7.1 of the EIA Report.Remediation action, if necessary, will be carried out according to EPD endorsed RAP(s) and Remediation Report(s) (RR(s)) will be submitted after completion of the remediation action. The RR(s) should be endorsed by EPD prior to the commencement of construction works at the respective identified contaminated areas (if any). | Existing YLSTW /Construction Phase (afterdecommissioning of theconcerned facilities / areasbut prior to the constructionworks at the concernedfacilities / areas) | Implemented |
| 7.8.3.1 | The mitigation measures will be recommended in the RAP and would typically include the following: | Project Site / Construction | |
| | • Excavation profiles must be properly designed and executed with attention to the relevant requirements for environment, health and safety; | Phase | Implemented |
| | • Excavation shall be carried out during dry season as far as possible to minimise contaminated runoff from contaminated soils; Supply of suitable clean backfill material (or treated soil) after excavation; | | N/A |
| | • Stockpiling site(s) shall be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles shall be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. If this is not practicable due to frequent usage, regular watering shall be applied. However, watering shall be avoided on stockpiles of contaminated soil to minimise contaminated runoff. | | Implemented |
| | Vehicles containing any excavated materials shall be suitably covered to limit potential dust emissions or contaminated wastewater run-off, and truck bodies and tailgates shall be sealed to prevent any discharge during transport or during wet conditions; | | N/A |
| | Speed control for the trucks carrying contaminated materials shall be enforced; | | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| | • Vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the site's exist points shall be established and used; and | | N/A |
| | • Pollution control measures for air emissions (e.g. from biopile blower and handling of cement), noise emissions (e.g. from blower or earthmoving equipment), and water discharges (e.g. runoff control from treatment facility) shall be implemented and complied with relevant regulations and guidelines. | | N/A |
| | act (Terrestrial and Aquatic) | | |
| Construction Pl | nase | | |
| 8.10.2.1 | Avoidance of Recognised Site of Conservation Importance Construction works are designed to be confined to the boundary of the existing YLSTW that direct impacts on all other sites of conservation importance within the assessment area, including the Ramsar Site, Priority Site, WCA, WBA, SSSI and CA would be avoided. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 8.10.2.3 – 8.10.2.4 | Avoidance of Demolition Works Using Breakers Mounted on Excavators and Percussive Piling during Dry Season In order to minimise the construction noise disturbance on overwintering waterbirds, the noisy construction works, i.e. all percussive piling works and demolition using breakers mounted on excavators, would therefore be scheduled outside the dry season (i.e. November to March, which is the peak overwintering period of waterbirds). | Construction sites /Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 8.10.2.5 | Restriction of Construction Hours No construction activities with the use of PME should be conducted within 100m from any night roost confirmed by the pre-construction survey after 18:00 during wet season and 17:30 during dry season to avoid disturbance to the nearby ardeids night roosts. | Construction sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 8.10.3.2 – 8.10.3.3 | Minimising Construction Noise Disturbance Impacts through Consideration of Alternative Construction Methods Demolition using concrete crusher is quieter than demolition using breaker that its construction noise level is comparable to other general construction activities and concrete crusher would be used for demolition works to be undertaken during dry season months. The quieter foundation methods, including bored piling, raft foundation and shallow foundation, would be adopted as far as possible. | Construction sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 8.10.3.4 – 8.10.3.5 | Minimising Construction Noise Disturbance Impacts Through Careful Phasing of Construction Activities Percussive piling works and demolition using breakers mounted on excavators would typically be completed over two wet seasons and not be undertaken in the same construction zone at the same time to localise the construction disturbance and to reduce the duration of high level of disturbances on sensitive wetland habitats and associated waterbirds nearby each construction zone. Facilities in the eastern side of the Project site (i.e. Phase 1A and Phase 1B) are scheduled to be developed first that the new structures could screen the works in the middle and western parts of the site in later stage of the construction phase after the structures in Phase 1A and Phase 1B are completed, hence minimising the construction noise and human disturbance on sensitive wetland habitats adjacent to the Project site in Shan Pui River, including the confluence of Shan Pui River and Kam Tin River and ardeid night roost to the immediate east of the Project site. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| 8.10.3.6 – 8.10.3.8 | Minimising Construction Noise Disturbance Impacts through Use of Noise Barriers Noise barriers with absorptive materials of about 4m high will be erected along the northern, eastern and western sides of the site, throughout the construction phase to screen the construction noise and human disturbance to the waterbirds foraging in ponds in Fung Lok Wai and Shan Pui River during construction phase. Adequate noise barriers should also be provided for demolition works using breakers mounted on excavators and percussive piling works, to further minimise the construction noise disturbance from these construction activities. Movable noise barriers should be provided to breaker mounted on excavator used for demolition works as discussed in Section 4.8 and acoustic mat should be provided to the piling plants around the rig. The contractor should provide enclosure for construction equipment, especially static plants, as appropriate to minimise the noise disturbance as far as practicable. | Construction sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 8.10.3.9 | Use of Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment The contractor should source QPMEs for construction as far as practicable to further minimise the overall construction noise and other disturbance to the nearby wetland habitats and associated waterbirds to the maximum practical extent. | Construction sites / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| Ecology & Fish | eries Impact | | |
| 8.12.1.4, 9.7 | Groundwater observation wells and recharge wells will be provided at the northern and western side of the site. Groundwater table will be closely monitored at the observation well. In case of any unlikely events of abnormal drawdown of groundwater table near the excavation area, groundwater dewatering will stop and water will be pumped into the recharge wells to recover the normal groundwater table as necessary. | Construction Phase | N/A |
| Fisheries Impa | ct | | |
| 9.7 | The implementation of good site practices during construction could minimise the potential water quality impacts from the land-based construction works. Mitigation measures recommended in the Water Quality Impact Assessment (Section 5) for controlling water quality impact would also serve to protect fisheries resources and activities from indirect impacts. | Construction and Operation Phase | N/A |
| Landscape and | Visual Impact | | |
| Table 10.11 | Preservation of Existing Vegetation (CM1) All the existing Trees to be retained and not to be affected by the Project shall be carefully protected during construction accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 - Tree Preservation and the latest Guidelines on Tree Preservation during Development issued by GLTM Section of DevB. Any existing vegetation in landscaped areas and natural terrain not to be affected by the Project shall be carefully preserved. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| Table 10.11 | Transplanting of Affected Trees (CM2) Trees unavoidably affected by the works shall be transplanted as far as possible in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 - Tree Preservation and the latest Guidelines on Tree Transplanting issued by GLTM Section of DevB. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |

Hazard to Life

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|-------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Table 10.11 | Compensatory Tree Planting (CM3) Any trees to be felled under the Project shall be compensated in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 7/2015 - Tree Preservation. For trees to be compensated on slopes, the guidelines for tree planting stipulated in GEO Publication No. 1/2011 will be followed. | Project site / Construction Phase | N/A |
| Table 10.11 | Control of Night-time Lighting Glare (CM4) All the night time lighting shall be avoided except for safety purpose. No light glare shall illuminate directly outside the site. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| Table 10.11 | Erection of Decorative Screen Hoarding (CM5) Site hoardings, if any, shall be painted in dull green colour | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| Table 10.11 | Management of Construction Activities and Facilities (CM6) Construction activities shall be well scheduled and avoid powered mechanical equipment's operating simultaneously. All stockpiling areas and idled area shall be covered by tarpaulin sheet or hydroseeded as far as possible. | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |

11.5.6.911.5.6.12 • Implementation of those major construction works and movement of plants and vehicles would be stringently controlled to have a setback of at least 15m clear distance, or physical barrier with an empty digester / gas holder from the digesters / gas holders in operation; • For those construction works to be carried out in close proximity to the 15m zone from digesters / gas holders in operation, the height of plants for those major construction shall be limited to 15m such that the plants would not damage digesters /gas holders in such incident as plant collapse or overturning;

N/A

| • Whenever practicable, the construction sequence shall be arranged with empty unit(s) for |
|--|
| separating the major construction works from these digesters / gas holders in use; and |

Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|---|--|--------------------------|
| | Physical barriers such as concrete blocks shall be set up at the 15m zone in order to avoid those construction plants or vehicles from colliding to the digester / gas holder units in use. | | N/A |
| 11.5.8 | • Method statements and risk assessments shall be prepared and safety control measures shall be in place before commencement of work | Project site / Construction Phase | Implemented |
| | • All work procedures shall be complied with the operating plant procedures or guidelines and regulatory requirements; | | Implemented |
| | • Work permit system, on-site pre-work risk assessment and emergency response procedure shall be in place before commencement of work; | | Implemented |
| | All construction workers shall equip with appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) when working at the Project Site; | | Implemented |
| | Safety training and briefings shall be provided to all construction workers; | | Implemented |
| | Regular site safety inspections shall be conducted during the construction phase of the Project; | | Implemented |
| 11.9.1.2 | • Ensure speed limit enforcement is specified in the contractor's method statement to limit the speed of construction vehicles onsite; | Project site / ConstructionPhase | Implemented |
| | • Conduct speed checks to ensure enforcement of speed limits and to ensure adequate site access control; | | N/A |
| | A lifting plan, with detailed risk assessment, should be prepared and endorsed for heavy lifting of large equipment; | | Implemented |
| | Vehicle crash barriers should be provided between the construction site and the operating biogas facilities; | | N/A |
| | • Ensure that a hazardous are classification study is conducted and hazardous area maps are updated before the start of the construction activities to ensure ignition sources are controlled during both construction and operation phases; | | Implemented |
| | • Ensure work permit system for hot work activities within the Project Site is specified in the contractor's method statement to minimize and control the ignition sources during the construction phase; | | Implemented |
| | Ensure effective communication system / protocol is in place between the contractors and the operation staff; | | Implemented |
| | • Ensure the Project Construction Emergency Response Plan is integrated with the Emergency Response Plan for the YLEPP during construction phase. The plan should address stop work instructions to be promptly communicated to all construction workers performing hot works in case a confirmed biogas detection at the Project Site; | | N/A |

Construction of Yuen Long Effluent Polishing Plant Stage 1

| EIA Ref. | Environmental Protection Measures | Location / Duration of Measures / Timing of Completion of Measures | Implementation Status |
|----------|---|--|--------------------------|
| | • Ensure that the construction activities do not impede the functions of fire and gas detection system, fire protection system, muster areas, fire-fighting vehicle access and escape routes; | | N/A |
| | • Ensure a Job Safety Analysis is conducted for construction activities of the Project during the construction phase, to identify and analyze hazards associated with the construction activities (e.g. lifting operations by cranes) onto the operating biogas facilities. | | Implemented |
| | Potential risks of the construction activities shall be assessed, and risk precautionary measures shall be implemented in Contractor's works procedures. | | Implemented |

Note:

Implementation status: Implemented / Partially Implemented / Not Implemented / Not Applicable (N/A)

Sources / reference of the Implementation Status: Appendix B of EIA Report, AEIAR-220/2019

Appendix K

Weather and Meteorological

Conditions



April 2022 Weather

Station: Wetland Park

| | Mean | ean Air Temperature | Air Temperature | | | Total | |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| Date | Pressure (hPa) | Maximum (deg. C) | Mean (deg. C) | Minimum (deg. C) | Relative Humidity (%) | Rainfall (mm) | |
| April 2022 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1021.2 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 14.5 | 80 | 1.0 | |
| 2 | 1024.3 | 16.6 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 85 | 1.5 | |
| 3 | 1022.9 | 26.4 | 18.7 | 13.9 | 58 | 0 | |
| 4 | 1022.6 | 28.0 | 19.3 | 13.0 | 68 | 0 | |
| 5 | 1020.5 | 28.2 | 20.9 | 14.3 | 72 | 0 | |
| 6 | 1017.9 | 29.9 | 21.9 | 16.3 | 74 | 0 | |
| 7 | 1017.2 | 30.6 | 23.0 | 17.5 | 72 | 0 | |
| 8 | 1016.0 | 32.3 | 23.2 | 16.7 | 56 | 0 | |
| 9 | 1014.1 | 29.9 | 23.2 | 18.1 | 68 | 0 | |
| 10 | 1012.8 | 31.0 | 23.3 | 16.9 | 74 | 0 | |
| 11 | 1011.6 | 31.1 | 25.2 | 19.7 | 78 | 0 | |
| 12 | 1009.3 | 31.5# | 25.3 | 20.1# | 83 | 0 | |
| 13 | 1007.3 | 31.1# | 25.8 | 23.3# | 86 | 0 | |
| 14 | 1009.2 | 31.0# | 26.0 | 21.0# | 70 | 0 | |
| 15 | 1012.4 | 31.6 | 25.5 | 22.3 | 68 | 0 | |
| 16 | 1014.0 | 28.0 | 23.2 | 21.8 | 74 | 0 | |
| 17 | 1016.1 | 27.4 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 73 | 0 | |
| 18 | 1017.4 | 25.2 | 21.9 | 20.0 | 79 | 0 | |
| 19 | 1018.4 | 20.8 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 91 | 3.0 | |
| 20 | 1015.9 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 18.9 | 82 | 0 | |
| 21 | 1013.6 | 30.2# | 24.4 | 19.7# | 80 | 0 | |
| 22 | 1012.7 | 32.1 | 25.9 | 21.6 | 84 | 0 | |
| 23 | 1011.2 | 30.7 | 26.9 | 23.5 | 86 | 0.5 | |
| 24 | 1009.7 | 32.6 | 27.7 | 24.5 | 84 | 0.5 | |
| 25 | 1008.9 | 32.7 | 28.4 | 26.0 | 83 | 0 | |
| 26 | 1008.6 | 33.3# | 28.5 | 25.6# | 84 | 0 | |
| 27 | 1009.8 | 34.2# | 29.0 | 25.8# | 82 | 0 | |
| 28 | 1011.2 | 34.5# | 29.1 | 26.3# | 79 | 0 | |
| 29 | 1011.5 | 35.9 | 28.8 | 24.4 | 81 | 0 | |
| 30 | 1012.5 | 29.8 | 26.4 | 24.8 | 86 | 0 | |

Note (From Hong Kong Observatory):

Source: Hong Kong Observatory

^{1. #} Data incomplete

^{2.} Rainfall measured in increment of 0.5 mm. Amount of < 0.5 mm cannot be detected

May 2022 Weather

Station: Hong Kong Observatory

| | Mean | - | Air Temperatur | e | Mean Relative | Total |
|------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Date | Pressure (hPa) | Maximum (deg. C) | Mean (deg. C) | Minimum (deg. C) | Humidity (%) | Rainfall (mm) |
| | | | May 2022 | | | |
| 1 | 1012.6 | 24.6 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 89 | 32.4 |
| 2 | 1014.6 | 21.3 | 18.5 | 16.4 | 84 | 23.4 |
| 3 | 1015.8 | 26.6 | 22.3 | 18.8 | 62 | 0 |
| 4 | 1014.3 | 28.5 | 24.6 | 21.6 | 63 | 0 |
| 5 | 1012.6 | 29.3 | 25.2 | 23.2 | 73 | 0 |
| 6 | 1012.4 | 28.9 | 25.5 | 23.4 | 76 | 0 |
| 7 | 1013.0 | 29.7 | 25.4 | 23.6 | 77 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 1013.2 | 27.5 | 25.0 | 23.4 | 70 | Trace |
| 9 | 1012.3 | 29.0 | 25.6 | 24.3 | 75 | Trace |
| 10 | 1009.7 | 27.7 | 25.7 | 24.4 | 88 | 1.4 |
| 11 | 1007.8 | 25.9 | 25.0 | 24.2 | 95 | 61.4 |
| 12 | 1006.0 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 24.6 | 91 | 123.5 |
| 13 | 1005.2 | 26.9 | 25.5 | 24.3 | 92 | 107.1 |
| 14 | 1008.2 | 26.5 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 93 | 5.0 |
| 15 | 1009.8 | 24.9 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 91 | 26.2 |
| 16 | 1012.4 | 20.8 | 20.0 | 18.8 | 85 | 4.7 |
| 17 | 1013.6 | 26.3 | 22.4 | 19.6 | 72 | 0 |
| 18 | 1013.8 | 27.1 | 23.9 | 21.9 | 52 | 0 |
| 19 | 1011.9 | 30.0 | 25.8 | 23.5 | 64 | 0 |
| 20 | 1009.2 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 24.5 | 76 | 0 |
| 21 | 1007.8 | 30.7 | 26.9 | 24.6 | 78 | 0 |
| 22 | 1007.3 | 27.2 | 25.0 | 24.1 | 83 | 0.6 |
| 23 | 1007.6 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 23.1 | 90 | 11.2 |
| 24 | 1009.2 | 25.0 | 24.4 | 23.7 | 93 | 10.3 |
| 25 | 1007.7 | 27.4 | 25.3 | 23.8 | 91 | 1.3 |
| 26 | 1004.7 | 28.6 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 88 | 2.4 |
| 27 | 1004.3 | 28.5 | 27.4 | 26.1 | 89 | 24.7 |
| 28 | 1005.5 | 31.3 | 28.7 | 27.1 | 81 | Trace |
| 29 | 1005.8 | 32.2 | 29.1 | 27.8 | 79 | Trace |
| 30 | 1005.9 | 32.7 | 29.2 | 27.4 | 78 | Trace |
| 31 | 1006.8 | 30.7 | 28.2 | 27.4 | 82 | 0.1 |

Note (From Hong Kong Observatory):

Trace means rainfall less than 0.05 mm

Source: Hong Kong Observatory

Remark: The corresponding weather station at Wetland Park were unavailable at the time of preparation of this report. The corresponding month's weather will be provided in the next reporting month.

Appendix L

Cumulative Statistics on Environmental
Complaints, Notifications of Summons and
Successful Prosecutions



Environmental Complaints Log

| Reference No. | Date of Complaint Received | Received From | Received By | Nature of Complaint | Date of Investigation | Outcome | Date of Reply |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | |

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints

| Environmental Parameters | Cumulative No. Brought Forward | No. of Complaints This Month | Cumulative Project-to- Date |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Air | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Cumulative Statistics on Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

| Environmental Parameters | Cumulative No. Brought Forward | No. of Notification of Summons and Prosecutions This Month | Cumulative Project-to- Date |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Air | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix M

ET Leader's Site Environmental Audit



Summary of ET Leader's Site Environmental Audit in the Reporting Month

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Air Quality | | NA | | | | |
| Noise | | NA | | | | |
| Water Quality | | NA | | | | |
| Chemical and Waste Management | 25 May 2022 | Reminder 1: The Contractor is reminded to clean up the oil stain on road with chemical absorbent pad and treat it as chemical waste for disposal (Portion 1 - YLSTW). | | | | |
| Land Contamination | | | | | | |
| | 4 May 2022 | Reminder 1: The Contractor is reminded to maintain and reinstate the bird curtains at the east site boundary (Portion 1 - YLSTW). | NA | | | |
| Ecological Impact | 18 May 2022 | Reminder 1: The Contractor is reminded to maintain and reinstate the bird curtains at the northern and eastern site boundary (Portion 1 - YLSTW). | NA | | | |
| | 25 May 2022 | Reminder 1: The Contractor is reminded to maintain and reinstate the bird curtains at the eastern & northern site boundary (Portion 1 - YLSTW). | NA | | | |
| Landscape and Visual Impact | 18 May 2022 | Recommendation 1 (Landscape & Visual Impact): All trees shall be checked to ensure no construction materials or cables attached to them (Portion 1 - YLSTW). | 19 May 2022 | | | |
| Permit / Licenses | | NA | | | | |
| Others | NA | | | | | |

Appendix N

Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies



Summary of Outstanding Issues and Deficiencies in the Reporting Month


| Parameters | Outstanding Issues | Deficiencies |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Air Quality | NA | |
| Noise | NA | |
| Water Quality | NA | |
| Chemical and Waste Management | NA | Any items of deficiencies can be referred to Appendix M . |
| Land Contamination | NA | |
| Landscape and Visual Impact | NA | |
| Permit / Licenses | NA | |
| Others | NA | |

Appendix O

Active Night Roost Monitoring Area and Vantage Points; and Noise Monitoring Stations



O.1 Map of the Monitoring Area, Vantage Points for Observation of Active Night Roosts and Noise Monitoring Stations




Appendix O.1: Monitoring Area, Vantage Points for Observation of Active Night Roosts and Noise Monitoring Stations

O.2 Survey Photos

O.2.1 Pre-roosting Aggregate



Appendix O.2.1a: Pre-roost aggregate of Chinese Pond Heron *Ardeola bacchus*, Great Egret *Ardea alba*, and Intermediate Egret *Egretta intermedia* in the mudflat area east of the Project boundary observed on 19 May 2022 around 18:25



Appendix O.2.1b: Pre-roost aggregate of some Great Egret *Ardea alba*, and Little Egret *Egretta garzetta* in the mudflat area northeast of the Project boundary observed on 19 May 2022 around 18:25

O.2.2 Active Night Roosting Site and Roosting Substrates



Appendix O.2.2a: Active night roost on *Sonneratia apetala* and *S. caseolaris* mangrove roosting substrate located east of the Project boundary observed on 19 May 2022 around 19:01




Appendix O.2.2b: Active night roost on *Sonneratia apetala* and *S. caseolaris* mangrove roosting substrate located northeast of the Project boundary observed on 19 May 2022 around 19:01

Appendix P

Ecological Bird Monitoring Area with Locations of Point Count Sites and Transect Routes





Appendix P: Ecological bird monitoring area with the locations of point count sites and transect routes

